Title: Specificity, synergy, and mechanisms of splice-modifying drugs

**Authors**: Yuma Ishigami<sup>1,†</sup>, Mandy S. Wong<sup>1,2,†</sup>, Carlos Martí-Gómez<sup>1</sup>, Andalus Ayaz<sup>1</sup>, Mahdi Kooshkbaghi<sup>1</sup>, Sonya Hanson<sup>3</sup>, David M. McCandlish<sup>1</sup>, Adrian R. Krainer<sup>1,\*</sup>, Justin B. Kinney<sup>1,\*</sup>.

**Affiliations**: 1. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY, 11724, USA. 2. Present address: Beam Therapeutics, Cambridge, MA, 02142, USA. 3. Flatiron Institute, New York, New York, 10010, USA. <sup>†</sup>Equal contribution. <sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: <u>krainer@cshl.edu</u> (ARK), <u>jkinney@cshl.edu</u> (JBK).

**Abstract:** Drugs that target pre-mRNA splicing hold great therapeutic potential, but the quantitative understanding of how these drugs work is limited. Here we introduce mechanistically interpretable quantitative models for the sequence-specific and concentration-dependent behavior of splice-modifying drugs. Using massively parallel splicing assays, RNA-seq experiments, and precision dose-response curves, we obtain quantitative models for two small-molecule drugs, risdiplam and branaplam, developed for treating spinal muscular atrophy. The results quantitatively characterize the specificities of risdiplam and branaplam for 5' splice site sequences, suggest that branaplam recognizes 5' splice sites via two distinct interaction modes, and disprove the prevailing two-site hypothesis for risdiplam activity at *SMN2* exon 7. The results also show that anomalous single-drug cooperativity, as well as multi-drug synergy, are widespread among small-molecule drugs and antisense-oligonucleotide drugs that promote exon inclusion. Our quantitative models thus clarify the mechanisms of existing treatments and provide a basis for the rational development of new therapies.

### Introduction

Alternative pre-mRNA splicing has become a major focus of drug development<sup>1–10</sup>. Three splice-modifying drugs—nusinersen, risdiplam, and branaplam—have been developed to treat spinal muscular atrophy (though branaplam has withdrawn). All three drugs function by promoting the inclusion of *SMN2* exon 7. Nusinersen<sup>11–13</sup> is an antisense oligonucleotide (ASO) that binds to a complementary site in intron 7 of *SMN2* pre-mRNA, thereby blocking the binding of the splicing repressors hnRNP A1/A2 and promoting exon 7 inclusion. Unlike nusinersen, risdiplam<sup>14–16</sup> (**Fig. 1A**) and branaplam<sup>17–19</sup> (**Fig. 1B**) are small molecules, and the mechanisms by which they promote *SMN2* exon 7 inclusion are not well understood.

Biochemical studies<sup>18,20</sup> have shown that both risdiplam and branaplam bind to and stabilize the complex formed by the U1 snRNP and the 5' splice site (5'ss) of *SMN2* exon 7. However, it is unclear why risdiplam and branaplam are so specific for *SMN2* exon 7, as opposed to other exons in the human genome. It is also unclear why branaplam is less specific than risdiplam for *SMN2* exon 7<sup>18</sup>. Two independent studies<sup>18,21</sup> have proposed that risdiplam (but not branaplam) further promotes *SMN2* exon 7 inclusion by binding to a second RNA site within exon 7, and that the presence of this second site substantially increases the specificity of risdiplam relative to branaplam. This two-site hypothesis has become the prevailing explanation for risdiplam's specificity<sup>1,20,22–31</sup>, but the mechanism by which risdiplam recognizes the second putative RNA site remains unclear, as does the quantitative influence that the second putative RNA site has on *SMN2* exon 7 inclusion.

A major obstacle to defining the specificities of risdiplam and branaplam, as well as the mechanistic basis for each drug's specificity, is the lack of mechanistically interpretable quantitative models for how splice-modifying drugs affect their targets. Biophysically interpretable models for protein-targeted drugs have existed for over a century and are routinely used to discern drug mechanism<sup>32–34</sup>, but the complexity of splicing prevents the direct application of these models to splice-modifying drugs. There remains the possibility, however, that relatively simple quantitative models—ones that approximate the complex behavior of the spliceosome using a small number of parameters—might be useful for understanding how splice-modifying drugs work. Indeed, such quantitative models have been proposed to describe how splicing is affected by genetic variation<sup>35</sup>, but analogous models that describe how splicing is affected by splice-modifying drugs have yet to be developed.

Here we introduce quantitative models for the sequence-specific and concentration-dependent effects of splice-modifying drugs. We first report the results of massively parallel splicing assays<sup>36</sup> (MPSAs) and RNA-seq experiments performed to study the specificity of risdiplam and branaplam for variant 5'ss sequences. An exploratory analysis of these data reveals that the 5'ss specificity of risdiplam is well described by a single

IUPAC motif (i.e., a qualitative motif that specifies the allowed bases at each nucleotide position<sup>37</sup>), but that the specificity of branaplam is not. Rather, the 5'ss specificity of branaplam is well-described by a combination of two distinct IUPAC motifs. Based on these exploratory findings, and motivated by the success of quantitative biophysical modeling approaches in transcriptional regulation<sup>38–43</sup> and RNA biology<sup>35,44</sup>, we propose a quantitative biophysical model in which branaplam recognizes 5'ss sequences via two distinct interaction modes. We find that this two-interaction-mode model for branaplam explains MPSA and RNA-seq data much better than a model that assumes only one interaction mode for branaplam. We then discuss the implications of this two-interaction-mode model in light of existing structural data.

Next we report the results of dose-response measurements for risdiplam and branaplam. The results disprove the two-site hypothesis for risdiplam activity at *SMN2* exon 7 and provide an alternative explanation for previously reported evidence offered in favor of the two-site hypothesis. Finally, we report dose-response measurements for other splice-modifying drugs, as well as for mixtures of splice-modifying drugs. The results reveal anomalous single-drug cooperativity in the dose-response behavior of multiple splice-modifying drugs, as well as widespread multi-drug synergy between splice-modifying drugs that target the same exon. Our study thus quantitatively characterizes the sequence-specificities of risdiplam and branaplam, changes the mechanistic understanding of how these drugs function, and suggests novel strategies for developing therapeutics.

## Results

## MPSAs quantify the 5'ss-dependent effects of risdiplam and branaplam.

To characterize the 5'ss specificities of risdiplam and branaplam, we used MPSAs to measure the effects that risdiplam and branaplam have on variant 5'ss sequences in a fixed genetic context (**Fig. 1C**). We constructed a library of 285 three-exon minigenes (spanning exons 6, 7, and 8 of *SMN2*, with intron 6 truncated) in which all possible single-position and pairwise mutations were introduced at 8 positions of the exon 7 5'ss (agga/GUaagu, mutated positions in lowercase); see **Supplemental Information (SI) Sec. 1.4** for details. This minigene library was transiently transfected into HeLa cells in the presence of 100 nM risdiplam, 50 nM branaplam, or DMSO. Note that different drug concentrations were used to compensate for differences in drug potency; see **SI Sec. 1.5**. The abundance of minigene mRNA including exon 7 relative to total minigene mRNA was then quantified using reverse transcription and high-throughput sequencing of barcodes present in different populations of isoforms. Positive and negative controls confirmed the ability of this MPSA to precisely measure percent spliced in (PSI) over a ~300× dynamic range; PSI was limited on the low end by the use of cryptic 5'ss (**Fig. S1**). The resulting PSI values are plotted in **Figs. 1D-F**.

To study the 5'ss specificities of risdiplam and branaplam in a different gene context, we repeated these experiments using our previously reported<sup>36</sup> minigene library for *ELP1* exon 20 that contains nearly all 32,768 variant 5'ss of the form ANNN/GYNNNN (**Fig. S2A**). The resulting PSI values are plotted in **Fig. S2B-D**.

## MPSAs identify an IUPAC motif for 5'ss activation by risdiplam.

We next carried out an exploratory analysis of the sequence specificity of risdiplam using qualitative IUPAC motifs<sup>37,45</sup>. Specifically, we sought to identify an IUPAC motif that describes which 5'ss in the *SMN2* minigene library were activated by risdiplam relative to DMSO. Based on PSI measurements in the presence of risdiplam or DMSO, we categorized each assayed 5'ss into one of three classes: (class 1-ris) 5'ss activated by risdiplam; (class 2-ris) 5'ss insensitive to risdiplam; or (neither class 1-ris nor class 2-ris) 5'ss for which the effect of risdiplam could not be confidently determined, due to PSI in the absence of drug being too high or PSI in the presence of drug being too low; see **SI Sec. 2.2** for details. We then searched for IUPAC motifs that matched all 5'ss in class 1-ris and did not match any 5'ss in class 2-ris. Multiple IUPAC motifs met these classification criteria. One motif that met the classification criteria was ANGA/GUHDNN (**Fig. 1D**); we call this the "risdiplam IUPAC motif" below. See **SI Sec. 2.3** (including **Fig. S3A,B**) for a discussion of other motifs that matched the classification criteria. We also observed that the 5'ss sequences that match the risdiplam IUPAC motif tended to be activated by risdiplam relative to DMSO in the *ELP1* minigene library (**Fig. S2C**). We conclude that the

risdiplam IUPAC motif provides a plausible (though not unique) qualitative explanation for the specificity of risdiplam observed in our MPSA experiments.

## MPSAs identify a two-IUPAC-motif model for 5'ss activation by branaplam.

We next carried out an exploratory analysis of the sequence specificity of branaplam. As with risdiplam, we sought to identify a qualitative IUPAC motif that describes which 5'ss in our minigene library were activated by branaplam relative to DMSO. We categorized each assayed 5'ss into one of three classes: (class 1-bran) 5'ss activated by branaplam; (class 2-bran) 5'ss insensitive to branaplam; or (neither class 1-bran nor class 2-bran) 5'ss for which the effect of branaplam could not be confidently determined. Unlike with risdiplam, however, no IUPAC motifs met these classification criteria. This finding was not sensitive to the boundaries used to define class 1-bran or class 2-bran (**Fig. S4**, **SI Sec. 2.2**). We conclude that the specificity of branaplam for 5'ss in our MPSA experiments cannot be qualitatively described by a single IUPAC motif.

We therefore considered an alternative hypothesis: that there might be an IUPAC motif that describes activation by branaplam relative to risdiplam. This hypothesis was motivated by the observations that most assayed 5'ss in the *SMN2* minigene library exhibited similar PSI in the presence of branaplam relative to risdiplam, that no 5'ss showed substantially higher PSI in the presence of risdiplam relative to branaplam, and that a few 5'ss exhibited substantially higher PSI in the presence of branaplam relative to risdiplam (**Fig. 1E**). We therefore categorized each assayed 5'ss into one of three classes: (class 1-hyp) 5'ss activated by branaplam relative to risdiplam; (class 2-hyp) 5'ss with similar sensitivity to branaplam and risdiplam; or (neither class 1-hyp nor class 2-hyp) 5'ss for which the effect of branaplam relative to risdiplam could not be confidently determined. Multiple IUPAC motifs met these classification criteria. One motif that met the classification criteria was NAGA/GUNNNN (**Fig. 1E**); we call this the "hyper-activation IUPAC motif" below. See **Fig S3C,D** for other motifs that matched the classification criteria. 5'ss that match the hyper-activation IUPAC motif also tended to be activated by branaplam relative to risdiplam in the *ELP1* minigene library (**Fig. S2B**). We conclude that the hyper-activation IUPAC motif provides a plausible (though not unique) qualitative explanation for the specificity of branaplam relative to risdiplam observed in our MPSA experiments.

The above result suggested that, instead of being well-described by a single IUPAC motif, the specificity of branaplam might instead be well-described by a two-IUPAC-motif model in which a 5'ss is activated by branaplam if it matches either the risdiplam IUPAC motif or the hyper-activation IUPAC motif. Consistent with the two-motif model, **Fig. 1F** shows that every 5'ss activated by branaplam relative to DMSO in the *SMN2* minigene library (class 1-bran) matches either the risdiplam IUPAC motif or the hyper-activation IUPAC motif, and that every 5'ss insensitive to branaplam (class 2-bran) matches neither the risdiplam IUPAC motif or the hyper-activation IUPAC motif nor the hyper-activation IUPAC motif. Moreover, 5'ss that match the risdiplam IUPAC motif or the hyper-activation IUPAC motif tended to be activated by branaplam relative to DMSO in the *ELP1* minigene library (**Fig. S2D**). We conclude that the two-motif model provides a plausible qualitative explanation for the specificity of branaplam observed in our MPSA experiments.

### Allelic manifolds quantify 5'ss-dependent drug effects from RNA-seq data.

We next asked whether the one-motif model for risdiplam and the two-motif model for branaplam were consistent with the effects of these two drugs on exons in the human transcriptome. To answer this question, we performed RNA-seq experiments in HeLa cells treated with risdiplam, branaplam, or DMSO. As in the MPSA experiments, different drug concentrations were used to compensate for differences in drug potency. PSI values were determined for all internal exons using the rMATS software package<sup>46</sup> (**Fig. 2A**). See **SI Sec. 1.7** for details.

We then sought to quantify the 5'ss-dependent effects of risdiplam and branaplam observed in these RNA-seq data. Quantifying drug effect, however, required distinguishing the influence of 5'ss sequence from the influence of other genomic context factors, including other splice-regulatory elements. We therefore proposed a thermodynamic model (the "drug-effect model"; **Fig. 2B** and **Fig. S5**) for drug-dependent exon inclusion. The drug-effect model predicts PSI as a function of two quantities: drug effect (quantified by *E*), and context strength (quantified by *S*). The model assumes that PSI is given by 100 times the equilibrium occupancy of U1

binding to 5'ss RNA. The model also assumes that *E* is dependent on 5'ss sequence, but not on other locusspecific factors that influence context strength. See **SI Sec. 3.1** and **Fig. S5** for details.

Next we determined 5'ss-specific values for drug effect *E* via the Bayesian inference of allelic manifolds<sup>47</sup>. Each allelic manifold corresponded to a 5'ss of the form NNNN/GUNNNN that occurred in a sufficient number of exons in the human transcriptome. The shape of the allelic manifold was parameterized by the drug effect *E* of the corresponding 5'ss, and the position along the allelic manifold of each two-dimensional exon-specific measurement (PSI in the presence and absence of drug) was parameterized by the context strength *S* of that exon. The inference of these allelic manifolds thus involved the determination of 194,129 parameters: context strength values *S* for 189,087 different exons, and drug effect values *E* (one for risdiplam and one for branaplam) for 2,521 distinct 5'ss sequences; see **SI 4.1** for details. **Fig. 2D** shows the resulting *E* values determined for risdiplam and for branaplam. **Fig. 2E** shows the inferred allelic manifolds, as well as the underlying PSI values, for the four specific 5'ss sequences indicated in **Fig. 2D**. Some exons exhibited a statistically significant deviation from their inferred allelic manifold, implying that sequence context outside the 10 bp 5'ss can, at least in some cases, impact drug effect. Nevertheless, visual inspection of **Fig. 2E** confirms that the inferred allelic manifolds provide good first-order quantitative explanations for the PSIs of genomic exons measured in the presence vs. absence of drug, thereby lending support to our approach for quantifying 5'ss-dependent drug effect.

To validate the *E* values determined for risdiplam and branaplam, we asked whether these *E* values were consistent with known targets of these drugs. The 5'ss sequences of four exons known to be affected by risdiplam and/or branaplam are annotated in **Fig. 2D**; the corresponding allelic manifolds are shown in **Fig. 2E**. As expected, the *E* values for risdiplam and branaplam were nearly equal on the 5'ss sequence of *SMN2* exon 7. *E* values for risdiplam and branaplam were also nearly equal for the alternative 5'ss of *FOXM1* exon 9, an established off-target of risdiplam that is believed to contribute to clinically relevant side effects<sup>15</sup>. Also as expected, branaplam had a much larger *E* value than risdiplam for the 5'ss of *HTT* pseudoexon 50a, the target against which branaplam had a much larger *E* value than risdiplam for the 5'ss of *SF3B3* pseudoexon 2a, which was recently used to develop a branaplam-inducible gene therapy platform<sup>25</sup>. We conclude that the drug-effect values *E* determined for risdiplam and branaplam are consistent with the known targets of these two drugs.

#### RNA-seq results support a one-motif model for risdiplam and a two-motif model for branaplam.

We next investigated whether the *E* values we determined for risdiplam and branaplam were consistent with the above one-motif model for risdiplam and two-motif model for branaplam. **Fig. 2D** shows the 5'ss sequences matched by the risdiplam IUPAC motif and/or by the hyper-activation IUPAC motif. Consistent with the MPSA data in **Fig. 1D**, the risdiplam IUPAC motif matches the 5'ss most strongly activated by risdiplam. Consistent with MPSA data in **Fig. 1E**, the hyper-activation IUPAC motif matches 5'ss activated substantially more by branaplam than by risdiplam. And consistent with the MPSA data in **Fig. 1F**, the 5'ss most strongly activated by branaplam all match either the risdiplam IUPAC motif or the hyper-activation IUPAC motif. We conclude that both the one-motif model for risdiplam and the two-motif model for branaplam are qualitatively consistent with the drug effects observed across the human transcriptome.

### Biophysical modeling quantitatively characterizes the 5'ss specificities of risdiplam and branaplam.

Next we sought to quantitatively characterize the 5'ss specificities of risdiplam and branaplam. To do this, we extended the thermodynamic drug-effect model in **Fig. 2B** to incorporate three assumptions: (assumption 1) branaplam binds to the U1/5'ss complex in two distinct interaction modes; (assumption 2) one branaplam interaction mode has the same 5'ss sequence specificity as risdiplam; and (assumption 3) each base in the 10-nt 5'ss has an independent and additive effect on the Gibbs free energy of drug binding to the U1/5'ss complex. Note that additivity assumptions, like assumption 3, are common in thermodynamic models of sequence-dependent interaction energies<sup>41,44,52,53</sup>. The resulting thermodynamic model is illustrated in **Fig. 3A**. In the absence of drug, pre-mRNA can be in one of two states: not bound by U1 (state 1, Gibbs free energy 0); or bound by U1 (state 2, Gibbs free energy  $\Delta G_{U1}$ ). In the presence of risdiplam, pre-mRNA can be in one of three states: state 1; state 2; or bound by U1 and risdiplam (state 3, Gibbs free energy  $\Delta G_{U1} + \Delta G_{ris}$ ). In the

presence of branaplam, pre-mRNA can be in one of four states: state 1; state 2; bound by U1 and by branaplam in a "risdiplam" interaction model (state 4, Gibbs free energy same as state 3); or bound by U1 and by branaplam in a "hyper-activation" interaction model (state 5, Gibbs free energy  $\Delta G_{U1} + \Delta G_{hyp}$ ). Assumption 1 is reflected in the presence of two branaplam-bound states (state 4 and state 5). Assumption 2 is reflected in state 4 having the same Gibbs free energy as state 3. Assumption 3 is reflected in the assumption that both  $\Delta G_{ris}$  (described by a "risdiplam energy motif") and  $\Delta G_{hyp}$  (described by a "hyper-activation energy motif") are additive functions of 5'ss sequence; see **SI Sec. 3.2** for mathematical details. We refer to this 5'ss-dependent drug-effect model with two branaplam interaction modes as the "two-interaction-mode model".

We then used the MPSA data and RNA-seq data to jointly infer values for the parameters of the two-interaction mode model. Using a Bayesian inference procedure, we sampled posterior values for all 351 parameters of this model, using as data the PSI values measured by MPSA and the drug-effect values *E* measured by RNA-seq. See **SI Sec. 4.2** for details. Plotting the resulting model predictions against the MPSA and RNA-seq data confirms that the two-interaction-mode model explains both MPSA and RNA-seq data well (**Fig. 3B-E**). We note that higher  $R^2$  was obtained on the MPSA data relative to RNA-seq data. This was due, at least in part, to the fact that different sets of 5'ss sequences were assayed by the different methods. The residual deviations between measured *E* values and predicted *E* values (**Figs. 3B**, **3D**) also suggest that risdiplam and branaplam binding energies are not perfectly additive. Nevertheless, we conclude that the two-interaction-mode

Moreover, the energy motifs inferred as part of the two-interaction-mode model largely recapitulated the IUPAC motifs determined in **Fig. 1** and **Fig. 2**. In the exonic region of the 5'ss, the risdiplam energy motif (**Fig. 3F**) and branaplam energy motif (**Fig. 3G**) both show a prominent requirement for  $G_{-2}$  and  $A_{-1}$ . The risdiplam energy motif falso requires  $A_{-4}$  but is agnostic to the base at position -3, whereas the hyper-activation energy motif favors  $A_{-3}$  but is largely agnostic to the base at -4. In the intronic region of the 5'ss, the risdiplam energy motif strongly penalizes both  $G_{+3}$  and  $C_{+4}$ —behavior that is again consistent with the risdiplam IUPAC motif (**Fig. 1D**). We conclude that the two-interaction-mode biophysical model is qualitatively consistent with the observed specificities of risdiplam and branaplam.

To quantitatively test the hypothesis that branaplam binds to U1/5'ss complexes in two distinct interaction modes, we defined a 5'ss-dependent drug-effect model with one branaplam interaction mode (the "one-interaction-mode model") that has the same number of parameters and hyperparameters as the two-interaction-mode model (SI Sec. 3.3 and Sec. 4.3, Fig. S6A). We then applied our Bayesian inference procedure to this model; the resulting model predictions and energy motifs are shown in Fig. S6B-G. The results show that the two-interaction-mode model explains the data much better than the one-interaction-mode model does, corresponding to a log likelihood ratio of 117.4, with a 95% credible interval of [64.4, 172.5] (Fig. S7A). Stratifying by dataset, the results show that the two-interaction-mode model better accounts for the branaplam MPSA data and branaplam RNA-seq data, while similarly accounting for the risdiplam MPSA data, the DMSO MPSA data, and the risdiplam RNA-seq data (Fig. S7B-F). We conclude that quantitative biophysical modeling supports the hypothesis that branaplam interacts with U1/5'ss complexes in two distinct interaction mode.

### Risdiplam and branaplam exhibit specificity beyond that suggested by the bulge-repair mechanism.

NMR structures of the U1 snRNA/5'ss mRNA complex in the presence and absence of SMN-C5 (an analog of risdiplam) led to the suggestion of a "bulge-repair" mechanism for risdiplam activity<sup>20</sup>. In the absence of SMN-C5, the 5'ss A<sub>-1</sub> bulges out of the minor groove of the U1 snRNA/5'ss double helix (**Fig. 4A**), destabilizing the helix and potentially clashing with U1-C, a protein component of the U1 snRNP. This A<sub>-1</sub> bulge is stabilized by the 5'ss G<sub>-2</sub> pairing in a shifted register with C<sub>9</sub> of the U1 snRNA. SMN-C5 binds within the dsRNA major groove, where its carbonyl group forms a hydrogen bond with the amino group of A<sub>-1</sub>, thereby pulling the A<sub>-1</sub> out of the minor groove and into the helical stack (**Fig. 4B**). This interaction stabilizes the RNA double helix by ~1 °C, and eliminates the potential clash with U1-C<sup>20</sup>. SMN-C5 is structurally similar to risdiplam (**Fig. 4C,D**), and is likely to stabilize the U1/5'ss complex by the same mechanism. Branaplam is less similar to SMN-C5 (**Fig. 4E**), but branaplam does possess a hydroxyl group and a pyridazine group in its center, and either of these groups might be positioned appropriately to form a hydrogen bond with the amino group of A<sub>-1</sub>. Chemical

shifts observed in a different NMR study<sup>18</sup> confirm the binding of branaplam to the U1 snRNA/5'ss mRNA complex in the vicinity of A<sub>-1</sub>. These structural studies provide important context for interpreting our biophysical-modeling results.

Our biophysical-modeling results reveal specificity determinants beyond those suggested by the bulge-repair mechanism. The bulge-repair mechanism predicts a critical role for the G<sub>2</sub>pA<sub>-1</sub> dinucleotide. Consistent with this mechanism, both G<sub>-2</sub> and A<sub>-1</sub> are strongly required in both the risdiplam energy motif and the hyperactivation energy motif (Figs. 3F,G; see also Figs. S8B,C). However, the bulge-repair mechanism does not obviously explain the requirement of risdiplam activation for an A at position -4 [observed in the risdiplam energy motif (Fig. 3F) and in the raw MPSA data (Fig. S8A)], or the requirement of hyper-activation by branaplam for an A at position -3 [observed in the hyper-activation energy motif (Fig. 3G) and in the raw MPSA data (Fig. S9)]. Indeed, the 5'ss RNA fragment used in the NMR structures of ref.<sup>20</sup> has a G at position -3 and does not extend to position -4. The bulge-repair mechanism also does not explain the preference, in both the risdiplam energy motif and the hyperactivation energy motif, for G > A > U > C at position +4. Low-throughput gPCR measurements (Fig. 4F,G) confirmed that both drugs prefer G > A > U > C at position +4 (as expected from Fig. 3F,G; see also Fig. S8E), and further revealed that both drugs prefer A > C, G, U at position +3 (see also Fig. S8D) and G > A, C, U at position +5. We note that these observations of sequence specificity at positions +3, +4, and +5 are consistent with chemical-shift data for branaplam and two risdiplam analogs reported by ref.<sup>18</sup>. We conclude that risdiplam and branaplam exhibit sequence specificity for the G<sub>-2</sub>pA<sub>-1</sub> dinucleotide, consistent with the bulge-repair mechanism, but also exhibit additional specificity both upstream and downstream of the G<sub>2</sub>pA<sub>1</sub> dinucleotide, specificity that is not obviously explained by the bulge-repair mechanism.

# Structural properties of branaplam suggest possible explanations for the two-interaction-mode mechanism.

We offer several possible and non-mutually-exclusive structural explanations for the two branaplam interaction modes suggested by our biophysical modeling results. One possible explanation is that branaplam has multiple tautomeric forms (**Fig. 4E**), and the different tautomeric forms of branaplam might bind the U1/5'ss complex in different orientations. A second possible explanation is that branaplam has two potential hydrogen-bonding groups in its center (**Fig. 4E**, yellow highlight), and these could allow branaplam to interact with the A<sub>-1</sub> in two different ways. A third possible explanation is that branaplam has more rotational degrees of freedom than risdiplam (**Fig. 4E**, green highlight), which could allow branaplam to adopt multiple conformations when interacting with the U1/5'ss complex. Molecular dynamics simulations confirmed that branaplam has greater conformational flexibility than risdiplam does (**Fig. S10**). A fourth possible explanation is that the approximate dihedral symmetry of dsRNA could facilitate the binding of risdiplam or branaplam in two flipped orientations, and that the sequence specificities of the two flipped orientations of branaplam are more distinct than those of risdiplam. Additional studies are needed to discern which (if any) of these possible explanations are correct.

## Dose-response curves falsify the two-site hypothesis for risdiplam activity at SMN2 exon 7.

Our two-interaction-mode model for branaplam explains why risdiplam is more specific than branaplam at *SMN2* exon 7. Two publications<sup>18,21</sup> have proposed a different mechanism, which we refer to as the "two-site hypothesis for risdiplam". In addition to one molecule of risdiplam stabilizing the U1/5'ss complex, the two-site hypothesis for risdiplam states that a second molecule of risdiplam binds a purine tract (PT) within *SMN2* exon 7, thereby synergistically promoting exon inclusion (**Fig. 5A**). Distinguishing between the two-site hypothesis for risdiplam and the two-interaction-mode hypothesis for branaplam is essential for understanding the molecular mechanisms of risdiplam, branaplam, and splice-modifying small molecules more generally.

A key prediction of the two-site hypothesis is that disrupting the PT should reduce the cooperativity (i.e., Hill coefficient) of the response of *SMN2* exon 7 to risdiplam, but not the cooperativity of the response to branaplam. To test this prediction, we used qPCR to obtain risdiplam dose-response curves for *SMN2* minigenes that carried either the wild-type PT or one of three previously reported PT disruptions: 25T26T (ref.<sup>54</sup>),  $\Delta$ 22-27 (ref.<sup>21</sup>), and  $\Delta$ 17-28 (ref.<sup>18</sup>); see **Fig. 5B**. We then used these data to infer the parameters of an empirical quantitative model for concentration-dependent drug effect (**Fig. 5C,D**), which builds on the drug-

effect model of **Fig. 2B**. This model has four parameters: (i) context strength of the target exon (*S*); (ii) maximal drug effect ( $E_{max}$ ); (iii) concentration of two-fold drug effect ( $EC_{2x}$ ); and (iv) Hill coefficient (*H*). Note that this model is parameterized using  $EC_{2x}$  instead of the more standard  $EC_{50}$  (the concentration of drug that yields PSI halfway between basal PSI and saturation PSI); the reasons for this are presented in **SI Sec. 3.4**. After defining this model, a Bayesian inference procedure was then used to infer values for these four parameters from each dose-response curve (**SI Sec. 4.4**). The resulting parameter values are shown in **Table S1**. Contrary to the cooperative formulation of the two-site hypothesis for risdiplam at *SMN2* exon 7 (**Fig. 5E-H**). Measurements for a non-target exon (*ELP1* exon 20) confirmed that the observed dose-response behavior was specific to *SMN2* exon 7, and thus did not reflect global changes in spliceosome behavior (**Fig. S12A,B**). Moreover, the risdiplam and branaplam Hill coefficients are quantitatively similar, regardless of whether or not the PT is present (**Fig. 5E-L**). We conclude that the dose-response data contradict the cooperative formulation of the two-site hypothesis.

The empirical modeling results in Fig. 5 provide an alternative explanation for data reported in two prior studies<sup>18,21</sup>, which were previously interpreted as supporting the two-site hypothesis for risdiplam. Both prior studies measured dose-response curves for risdiplam analogs (SMN-C3 and SMN-C5, respectively) in the context of SMN2 minigenes having the wild-type PT or deletions in the PT ( $\Delta$ 17-28 and  $\Delta$ 22-27, respectively). Both prior studies observed that PT deletions increased EC<sub>50</sub>, and both prior studies interpreted this result as evidence for reduced cooperativity in the response of exon 7 to risdiplam analogs. Our quantitative model, however, shows that  $EC_{50}$  is affected by multiple parameters (SI Sec. 3.4). Genetic changes that affect  $EC_{50}$ can therefore be caused by changes in any of these four parameters, and therefore reflect different molecular mechanisms. When applied to our dose-response data, our quantitative model reveals that PT mutations strongly reduce context strength (quantified by S) while modestly increasing, not decreasing, cooperativity (quantified by *H*). This reduction in context strength fully accounts for the increases in  $EC_{50}$  that both prior studies observed when deleting the PT, and is consistent with the fact that the splicing activator Tra2-B1 is known<sup>55</sup> to bind the GGA sequence at positions 25-27 of exon 7. A similar critique applies to a more recent study<sup>31</sup>, which also assayed the effects of risdiplam and branaplam in the presence of mutations in the interior of SMN2 exon 7. We propose that similar reductions in context strength likely account for the increase in EC<sub>50</sub> observed by one of these prior studies<sup>21</sup> upon knocking down FUBP1 and KHSRP, two proteins that were proposed to bind the PT and mediate risdiplam-dependent splicing activation. We conclude that the prior observed effects of PT disruptions in cells are explained by the PT acting as a risdiplam-independent, rather than risdiplam-dependent, splicing enhancer. We also conclude that empirical quantitative models can play a valuable role in mechanistic studies of splice-modifying drugs.

### Anomalous cooperativity is a common feature of splice-modifying drugs.

Our dose-response curves unexpectedly revealed that risdiplam and branaplam exhibit substantial cooperativity, i.e., have Hill coefficients greater than one. We refer to this phenomenon as "anomalous cooperativity" because it is unlikely to result from the simultaneous binding of multiple drug molecules. Anomalous cooperativity is interesting, both because of the mechanistic questions it raises and because it has the potential to impact drug specificity. To understand if anomalous cooperativity occurs more generally, we investigated the dose-response behavior of other splice-modifying drugs.

We first asked if other drugs that promote the inclusion of *SMN2* exon 7 exhibit anomalous cooperativity. We measured dose-response curves for two antisense oligonucleotides, ASOi7 and ASOi6<sup>11</sup>. ASOi7 binds to *SMN2* intron 7 at positions +9 to +23 (relative to the 5'ss of exon 7), and presumably functions by the same mechanism as nusinersen, i.e., by blocking RNA binding by the splicing repressor hnRNP A1/A2. ASOi6 binds *SMN2* intron 6 at positions -55 to -41 (relative to the 3'ss of exon 7), and may function by blocking RNA binding by the splicing repressor HuR<sup>56</sup>. The results show that ASOi7 exhibited anomalous cooperativity, similar to risdiplam and branaplam (**Fig 6A**). The results also show that ASOi6 exhibited substantially less (if any) cooperativity (**Fig 6B**). Measurements for a non-target exon (*ELP1* exon 20) confirmed that the observed dose-response behaviors of ASOi7 and ASOi6 were specific to *SMN2* exon 7 (**Fig. S12C,D**). A BLASTN<sup>57</sup> analysis confirmed that ASOi7 does not have significant complementarity to off-target sites within the *SMN2* minigene pre-mRNA. ASOi6 does have partial complementarity to nearby sites, but has substantially lower Hill

coefficient than ASOi7. We conclude that a splice-modifying drug can exhibit cooperativity even when it binds only a single site on target pre-mRNA, and that the extent of this cooperativity can differ between drugs that promote inclusion of the same cassette exon.

We then asked if splice-modifying drugs that promote the inclusion of exons other than *SMN2* exon 7 also exhibit anomalous cooperativity. We therefore measured dose-response curves for two drugs, RECTAS and ASOi20, previously developed as potential treatments for familial dysautonomia. Both RECTAS and ASOi20 promote the inclusion of *ELP1* exon 20. RECTAS is a small molecule that was proposed to function by indirectly enhancing the phosphorylation of the splicing factor SRSF6<sup>58,59</sup>. ASOi20 binds to *ELP1* intron 20 at positions +6 to +20 (relative to the 5'ss of exon 20), and functions through an unknown mechanism, presumably involving blocking of an intronic splicing silencer<sup>60</sup>. Our results show that RECTAS exhibited substantial cooperativity (**Fig 6C**), whereas ASOi20 exhibited less (if any) cooperativity (**Fig 6D**). Measurements for a non-target exon (*SMN2* exon 7) confirmed that the observed dose-response behavior was specific to *ELP1* exon 20 (**Fig. S12E,F**). We conclude that anomalous cooperativity is a common feature of some (but not all) splice-modifying drugs.

## Multi-drug synergy is another common feature of splice-modifying drugs.

We next asked whether two distinct drugs that promote inclusion of the same cassette exon, but which bind to distinct molecular targets, could synergistically promote exon inclusion. To test for synergy, we measured exon inclusion/exclusion ratios in response to different linear mixtures of drugs, i.e., mixtures of two drugs that interpolate between two single-drug endpoints: (100% drug 1, 0% drug 2) and (0% drug 1, 100% drug 2). Under the no-synergy hypothesis, one should observe a monotonic response that interpolates between the two single-drug endpoints. We therefore tested for synergy based on whether any intermediate drug mixture vielded an inclusion/exclusion ratio higher than both of the single-drug endpoints. P-values were computed using a Bayesian inference and sampling procedure described in SI Sec. 4.5. While many different tests of synergy have been proposed in the literature<sup>61</sup>, the linear mixture test used here is among the most intuitive and direct. The results showed no significant synergy between risdiplam and branaplam at SMN2 exon 7 (Fig. 6E). This observation is consistent with risdiplam and branaplam binding the same or overlapping sites in the SMN2 exon 7 U1/5'ss complex. By contrast, the results show significant synergy among all other pairs of drugs that target SMN2 exon 7 (Fig. 6B-G). The results also show remarkably strong synergy at ELP1 exon 20 between RECTAS and ASOi20 (Fig. 6K). We confirmed these findings using a separate test for synergy, i.e., one based on the Hill coefficients of dose-response curves measured for two-drug cocktails (Fig. S13 and **Table S1**). We conclude that synergy is widespread (and possibly universal) among splice-modifying drugs that can simultaneously promote inclusion of the same cassette exon.

### Discussion

We have introduced and applied quantitative models for splice-modifying drugs. We used these quantitative models to analyze data from MPSAs, RNA-seq experiments, and precision dose-response curves. The results quantitatively characterize the 5'ss specificities of risdiplam and branaplam. The results also change the mechanistic understanding of how these two small-molecule drugs function. Contrary to the prevailing two-site hypothesis for risdiplam<sup>18,21</sup>, our results show that risdiplam does not promote *SMN2* exon 7 inclusion, even in part, by binding to a purine tract within *SMN2* exon 7. The results also suggest that branaplam binds U1/5'ss complexes in two distinct interaction modes: one interaction mode that confers specificity similar to risdiplam's (the "risdiplam interaction mode"), and a second interaction mode that confers alternative sequence specificity and multi-drug synergy are widespread in the dose-response behavior of splice-modifying drugs. These findings establish quantitative modeling as a powerful tool in the study of splice-modifying drugs, reveal new knowledge about the mechanisms of two clinically important drugs, and suggest new approaches for developing new therapeutics.

Our biophysical modeling approach provides a new way to study the specificities of splice-modifying drugs. Prior studies have reported position weight matrices (PWMs) for genomic 5'ss sequences that are activated by risdiplam or branaplam<sup>17,25,50</sup>. However, these PWMs convolve two fundamentally different signals: the

sequence features that a 5'ss must have to be activated by a drug, and the sequence features a 5'ss must have to be functional in the absence of the drug (see **Fig. S14**). Our approach deconvolves these signals, and is thus able to quantify the energetic effects of individual 5'ss nucleotides on the binding of risdiplam or branaplam to the U1/5'ss complex.

Our biophysical modeling results provide new mechanistic insight into how risdiplam and branaplam interact with the U1/5'ss complex. The 5'ss specificities of risdiplam and branaplam are consistent with a bulge-repair mechanism<sup>20</sup>, in that both drugs require a non-canonical G<sub>2</sub>pA<sub>1</sub> dinucleotide in the target 5'ss. However, both risdiplam and branaplam exhibit additional specificity upstream and downstream of the G<sub>-2</sub>pA<sub>-1</sub> dinucleotide, specificity that is not obviously explained by existing structural data. For example, we find that the hyperactivation energy motif (for branaplam) strongly favors an A at position -3 (which might base-pair with  $U_{10}$  of the U1 snRNA), and that the risdiplam energy motif favors an A or G at position +3 (which might base-pair with  $\Psi_6$  of the U1 snRNA). Our results also suggest specific RNA sequences that, if used in structural studies similar to that of ref.<sup>20</sup>, could provide direct evidence for or against the two-interaction-mode model for branaplam. In particular, we predict that branaplam should bind in a different way to the U1/5'ss complex when the 5'ss sequence contains an  $A_4pB_3$  dinucleotide as opposed to a  $B_4pA_3$  dinucleotide (where B denotes any nucleotide other than A). More generally, our finding that two independently developed small-molecule therapeutics target the same non-canonical U1/5'ss complex—and not other RNA features—and that doing so confers an unexpectedly high level of specificity, underscores the importance of non-canonical 5' splice sites, such as those containing shifted registers or asymmetric bulges<sup>62,63</sup>, as targets for future therapeutic development.

Our quantitative empirical modeling of the dose-response behavior of individual splice-modifying drugs revealed that such drugs often exhibit cooperativity, i.e., have Hill coefficients greater than one. We describe this cooperativity as "anomalous", because it appears not to be due to multiple drug molecules simultaneously binding to the same RNA/protein complex. One possible explanation for the widespread anomalous cooperativity of splice-modifying drugs is the presence of biochemical feedback that couples the splicing of multiple pre-mRNA transcripts from the same gene (e.g., through effects on chromatin<sup>64</sup>). A second possible explanation for this widespread anomalous cooperativity is kinetic proofreading during the splicing of individual pre-mRNA transcripts<sup>65–67</sup>, as kinetic proofreading could cause the binding of a drug to its target to be effectively "read out" by the spliceosome more than once. Indeed, multiple DExD/H-box ATPase components of the spliceosome are known to play important (ATP-dependent) roles in ensuring spliceosome fidelity<sup>65</sup>. Additional quantitative studies will be needed to establish the roles, if any, that these two possible mechanisms play in sensitizing pre-mRNA transcripts to the effects of splice-modifying drugs.

Our quantitative empirical modeling of the dose-response behavior of mixtures of splice-modifying drugs further revealed widespread synergy between drugs that can simultaneously promote inclusion of the same cassette exon. We expect that other alternative splicing processes, such as alternative 5'ss usage, alternative 3'ss usage, intron retention, and mutually exclusive cassette exons, might be affected by splice-modifying drugs in similar synergistic ways. Developing quantitative models that can predict (rather than just describe) this synergistic behavior is an important goal for future work. Such models have the potential to provide mechanistic insight into the stages of spliceosome assembly and mRNA processing that splice-modifying drugs target. Such models also have the potential to guide the development of drug-cocktail therapies.

Our findings have immediate implications for the understanding and development of new splice-modifying therapies. First, our experimental and analytical approach can be used to quantitatively characterize the specificities of other splice-modifying small molecules. Obvious candidates include kinetin<sup>68</sup>, RECTAS<sup>59</sup>, and BPN-15477<sup>69</sup>, which have been developed as potential treatments for familial dysautonomia. Second, our two-interaction-mode biophysical model for branaplam suggests that the specificity of branaplam for pseudoexon 50a in *HTT*<sup>48,50,51</sup> might be increased, and thus clinical side-effects decreased, by chemical changes that stabilize the hyper-activation interaction mode or eliminate the risdiplam-like interaction mode. Third, our observation of widespread multi-drug synergy should motivate the clinical investigation of splice-modifying drug cocktails. We note that drug effects need to be large for this type of synergy to have a substantial effect on PSI. Drug cocktails that leverage this type of synergy are therefore likely to be most therapeutically useful for promoting mRNA isoforms that naturally occur at very low levels (e.g., inclusion of pseudoexon 50a in *HTT*).

More generally, our work establishes the value of quantitative modeling in the study of splice-modifying drugs. Quantitative models based on statistical mechanics and chemical kinetics have, over the last century, played a central role in enzymology and in the development of protein-targeted therapeutics<sup>32–34</sup>. The complexity of the spliceosome, however, has prevented the direct application of these models to splice-modifying drugs. Here we showed that relatively simple quantitative models (both biophysical models and empirical models) can, nevertheless, be useful for studying splice-modifying drugs, including for illuminating the molecular mechanisms of drug action. In addition to facilitating the development of new therapies, similar quantitative modeling strategies will likely facilitate the use of splice-modifying drugs in quantitative studies of the fundamental mechanisms of alternative mRNA splicing.

**Materials and Methods**: Here we summarize the materials and methods used in this work; details are provided in **SI Sec. 1** describes experimental methods. All experiments were performed in HeLa cells, which were cultured as described in **SI Sec. 1.1**. RNA extraction was performed as described in **SI Sec. 1.2**. Individual *SMN2* minigene plasmids were constructed as described in **SI Sec. 1.3**. *SMN2* minigene libraries were constructed as described in **SI Sec. 1.4**. MPSA experiments were performed on the *SMN2* minigene libraries constructed in this work, as well as on *ELP1* minigene libraries constructed in ref. <sup>36</sup>, as described in **SI Sec. 1.5**. Radioactive gels were performed as described in **SI Sec. 1.6**. RNA-seq experiments were performed as described in **SI Sec. 1.8**. Dose-response and linear-mixture experiments were performed as described in **SI Sec. 1.9**. **SI Sec. 1.8**. Dose-response and linear-mixture experiments were performed as described in **SI Sec. 1.9**. **SI Sec. 3** provides formal mathematical definitions of the quantitative models used in this work. **SI Sec. 4** describes the Bayesian inference procedures used to infer the parameters of these quantitative models. In particular, Bayesian model inference was carried out in STAN and in Python using numpyro. Plasmids, primers, and key resources are listed in **Tables S2**, **S3**, and **S4**. All unique biological materials are available upon request.

**Data Availability**: MPSA and RNA-seq data have been deposited in the NCBI GEO database (accession number GSE221868).

**Code Availability:** Data-analysis scripts have been deposited on GitHub at <a href="https://github.com/jbkinney/22\_drugs">https://github.com/jbkinney/22\_drugs</a>. A snapshot of this repository is available on Zenodo at <a href="https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8353692">https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8353692</a>.

**Author Contributions**: MSW, YI, CM-G, AA, ARK and JBK designed the research. YI, MSW, and AA performed the experiments. MK, CM-G, and JBK analyzed the data. SH performed the molecular dynamics simulations. JBK, YI, CM-G, and SH wrote the manuscript with additional contributions from MSW, AA, MK, DMM, and ARK. These authors contributed equally: YI, MSW. These authors jointly supervised this work: ARK, JBK.

**Acknowledgements**: We thank Christopher Trotta (PTC Therapeutics), Leemor Joshua-Tor (CSHL), and John Moses (CSHL) for helpful conversations. This work was supported by a JSPS Overseas Research Fellowship (YI), an Interdisciplinary Scholar in Experimental and Quantitative Biology fellowship from the Simons Center for Quantitative Biology at CSHL (MSW), the Simons Foundation (SH), NIH grant R35 GM133613 (CM-G, DMM), the Alfred P. Sloan foundation (DMM), NIH grant R37 GM42699 (YI, MSW, ARK), NIH grant R35 GM133777 (MSW, AA, JBK), NIH grant R01 HG011787 (MK, JBK), and additional funding from the Simons Center for Quantitative Biology at CSHL (DMM, JBK). Shared resources at CSHL were supported by NIH grants P30 CA045508, S10 OD020122, and S10 OD28632.

**Competing Interests**: ARK is: an inventor on issued nusinersen patents licensed by CSHL to lonis Pharmaceuticals and Biogen; an inventor on an issued patent for familial dysautonomia ASOs; a co-founder, Director, Chair of the SAB, and shareholder of Stoke Pharmaceuticals; a paid consultant for Biogen; a collaborator of lonis Pharmaceuticals; and a member of the SABs and shareholder of Skyhawk Pharmaceuticals, Envisagenics, Autoimmunity BioSolutions, and assembl.cns.



**Figure 1. MPSAs reveal IUPAC motifs for the 5'ss specificities of risdiplam and branaplam**. (**A**,**B**) Structures of (**A**) risdiplam and (**B**) branaplam. (**C**) MPSA performed in the context of a minigene library spanning exons 6, 7, and 8 of *SMN2*. In this library, the 5'ss of exon 20 was replaced by 285 variant 5'ss sequences of the form agga/GUaagu, where lower-case letters indicate mutagenized positions. (**D**-**F**) PSI values measured in the presence of (**D**) risdiplam vs. DMSO, (**E**) branaplam vs. risdiplam, or (**F**) branaplam vs. DMSO. Black dots, wild-type *SMN2* exon 7 5'ss (AGGA/GUAAGU). Cyan dots, 5'ss that match the risdiplam IUPAC motif. Purple circles, 5'ss that match the hyper-activation IUPAC motif. Light green outlined areas, 5'ss classified as activated by y-axis treatment relative to x-axis treatment (class 1-ris in panel **D**, class 1-hyp in panel **E**, class 1-bran in panel **F**). Peach outlined areas, 5'ss classified as insensitive to y-axis treatment relative to x-axis treatment (class 2-ris in panel **D**, class 2-hyp in panel **E**, class 2-bran in panel **F**). MPSA, massively parallel splicing assay. 5'ss, 5' splice site. PSI, percent spliced in. DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide.



Figure 2. RNA-seq measurements of drug effect are consistent with IUPAC motifs for the 5'ss specificities of risdiplam and branaplam. (A) Experimental and computational approach for measuring 5'ss-specific drug effects by RNA-seq. (B) Allelic manifold model for PSI as a function of 5'ss-specific drug effect (quantified by E; E = 1 in the absence of drug) and locus-specific context strength (quantified by *S*). See Fig. S5 for a derivation of this model as a biophysical model defined by states and Gibbs free energies. (C) Example allelic manifold and simulated RNA-seq data. (D) Scatter plot of drug effects determined for 2,521 distinct 5'ss sequences occurring in at least 10 exons identified by rMATS<sup>46</sup>; see SI Sec. 1.7 for details. 5'ss sequence matching the risdiplam IUPAC motif and/or branaplam IUPAC motif are indicated. *SMN2* 5'ss: AGGA/GUAAGU, sequence of the 5'ss of *SMN2* exon 7. *FOXM1* 5'ss: AUGA/GUAAGU, sequence of the alternative 5'ss of *FOXM1* exon 9. *HTT* 5'ss: CAGA/GUAAGG, sequence of the 5'ss of *HTT* pseudoexon 50a. *SF3B3* 5'ss: UAGA/GUAAGA, sequence of the 5'ss of *SF3B3* pseudoexon 2a. (E) Allelic manifolds determined for the four 5'ss sequences annotated in panel D. *N*, number of exons identified by rMATS and having the indicated 5'ss. *E*, 5'ss-dependent drug effect inferred by Bayesian curve fitting (median and 95% posterior credible interval). PSI, percent spliced in. 5'ss, 5' splice site. DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide.



Figure 3. Biophysical model for the sequence specificity of risdiplam and branaplam. (A) The "twointeraction-mode model" for how risdiplam and branaplam affect splicing. PSI is assumed to be 100 times the equilibrium occupancy of U1 binding to the 5'ss. Model assumes three sequence-dependent Gibbs free energies:  $\Delta G_{U1}$ , energy of U1 binding to the 5'ss;  $\Delta G_{ris}$ , energy of risdiplam binding to the U1/5'ss complex or of branaplam binding to the U1/5'ss complex in the "risdiplam mode";  $\Delta G_{hyp}$ , Gibbs free energy of branaplam binding to the U1/5'ss complex in the "hyper-activation mode". Model parameters were inferred from the PSI values measured by MPSA on cells treated with DMSO, risdiplam, or branaplam (Fig. 1D-F), as well as from drug effect values E for risdiplam or branaplam determined by the RNA-seq (Fig. 2D). See text and SI Sec. 3.2 and Sec. 4.2 for additional information. (B-E) Experimentally measured vs. model-predicted PSI values and drug-effect values. PSI values are from the SMN2 exon 7 MPSA performed on cells treated with risdiplam or branaplam (Fig. 1); drug-effect values are from the RNA-seg analysis in Fig. 2. (F,G) Inferred single-nucleotide effects for (F) the "risdiplam energy motif" and (G) the "hyper-activation energy motif". Top panels show median parameter values illustrated as sequence logos<sup>70</sup>. Bottom panels show medians (colored dots, with colors corresponding to each of the four RNA bases as indicated) and 95% credible intervals (colored lines) for motif parameters. Colored squares, median values that lie outside the y-axis limits. 5'ss, 5' splice site. MPSA, massively parallel splicing assay.



Figure 4. Risdiplam and branaplam specificities are incompletely explained by the bulge-repair mechanism. (A,B) Bulge-repair mechanism proposed for the specificity of risdiplam. NMR structures (PDB:6HMI and PDB:6HMO, from ref.<sup>20</sup>) show a U1 RNA/5'ss RNA complex in the (A) presence and (B) absence of SMN-C5, a risdiplam analog. A schematic of each structure is also shown. Red, U1 snRNA; brown, exonic 5'ss RNA; gray, intronic 5'ss RNA; green, SMN-C5; salmon, bulged A<sub>-1</sub> stabilized by SMN-C5. Blue highlight, intronic positions observed to affect the activities of risdiplam and branaplam in panels F and G. (C-E) Structures of (C) SMN-C5, (D) risdiplam, and (E) three tautomeric forms of branaplam (cis-keto, enol, and trans-keto). Yellow highlight, potential hydrogen-bonding partners for the amino group of A<sub>-1</sub>. Green highlight, rotational degree of freedom. (F,G) qPCR validation of intronic specificities for (F) risdiplam and (G) branaplam, assayed on the indicated single-nucleotide variants of AGGA/GUAAGU in the context of an *SMN1* minigene. *E* denotes drug effect. Dots, biological replicates; error bars, standard error across technical replicates; dashed line, no effect; dashed/dotted line, wild-type effect value (geometric mean of biological replicates). Daggers indicate 5'ss variants for which the dominant inclusion isoform uses a cryptic 5'ss at +52 of *SMN1* intron 7.



**Figure 5. Dose-response curves falsify the two-site hypothesis for risdiplam.** (**A**) Two-site hypothesis for risdiplam activity at *SMN2* exon 7. Cloud, proteins hypothesized to mediate the effect of risdiplam at the PT of *SMN2* exon 7 [hnRNP G (ref.<sup>18</sup>) or FUBP1 and KHSRP (ref.<sup>21</sup>)]. (**B**) PT variants assayed in *SMN2* minigenes. (**C**) Empirical model for concentration-dependent drug activity; see also **Fig. S11**. (**D**) Schematic illustration of model predictions for the inclusion/exclusion ratio as a function of drug concentration, as well as how model parameters shape this function. (**E-H**) Risdiplam titration curves for *SMN2* exon 7 minigenes containing (**E**) the wild-type PT or (**F-H**) mutated PTs. (**I-L**) Branaplam titration curves for *SMN2* exon 7 minigenes containing (**I**) the wild-type PT or (**J-L**) mutated PTs. Dots and triangles, qPCR data for biological replicates at nonzero drug concentration (dots) or zero drug concentration (triangles). Lines and shaded regions, predictions (median and 95% credible interval) of inferred dose-response curves. *H*, inferred Hill coefficients (median and 95% credible interval). PT, purine tract.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.30.522303; this version posted October 30, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.



**Figure 6.** Anomalous cooperativity and multi-drug synergy among splice-modifying drugs. (A,B) Single-drug dose-response curves for *SMN2* exon 7 in response to (A) ASOi7 and (B) ASOi6. (C,D) Single-drug dose-response curves for *ELP1* exon 20 in response to (C) RECTAS and (D) ASOi20. (E-J) Two-drug linear-mixture curves measured for *SMN2* exon 7 in response to (E) risdiplam/branaplam mixtures, (F) risdiplam/ASOi6 mixtures, (G) branaplam/ASOi6 mixtures, (H) risdiplam/ASOi7 mixtures, (I) branaplam/ASOi7 mixtures, and (J) ASOi6/ASOi7 mixtures. (K) Two-drug linear-mixture curves measured for *ELP1* exon 20 in response to RECTAS/ASOi7 mixtures. In panels **E-K**, curves are second-order polynomials fit to the data points shown using a Bayesian inference procedure (described in **SI Sec. 4.5**). 1x concentration of each drug (corresponding to approximate EC<sub>2x</sub> values) is 14 nM for risdiplam, 7 nM for branaplam, 0.6 nM for ASOi6, 0.1 nM for ASOi7, 300 nM for RECTAS, and 0.08 nM for ASOi20. *P*, p-value for no-synergy null hypothesis (i.e., that the maximal inclusion/exclusion ratio occur at one of the two ends of the mixture curve) computed using Hamiltonian Monte Carlo sampling as described in **SI Sec. 4**. \*\*\*, *P* < 0.001; \*\*, *P* < 0.01; \*, *P* < 0.05; n.s., *P* ≥ 0.05.

### References

1. Tang, Z., Zhao, J., Pearson, Z. J., Boskovic, Z. V. & Wang, J. RNA-targeting splicing modifiers: drug development and screening assays. *Molecules* **26**, 2263 (2021).

2. Warner, K. D., Hajdin, C. E. & Weeks, K. M. Principles for targeting RNA with drug-like small molecules. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* **17**, 547–558 (2018).

3. Daguenet, E., Dujardin, G. & Valcárcel, J. The pathogenicity of splicing defects: mechanistic insights into pre-mRNA processing inform novel therapeutic approaches. *EMBO Rep* **16**, 1640–1655 (2015).

4. Wu, P. Inhibition of RNA-binding proteins with small molecules. *Nat Rev Chem* 4, 441–458 (2020).

5. Scotti, M. M. & Swanson, M. S. RNA mis-splicing in disease. Nat Rev Genet 17, 19–32 (2015).

6. Ravi, B., Chan-Cortés, M. H. & Sumner, C. J. Gene-targeting therapeutics for neurological disease: lessons learned from spinal muscular atrophy. *Annu Rev Med* **72**, 1–14 (2021).

7. Levin, A. A. Treating disease at the RNA level with oligonucleotides. New Engl J Med 380, 57–70 (2019).

8. Crooke, S. T., Baker, B. F., Crooke, R. M. & Liang, X. Antisense technology: an overview and prospectus. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* **20**, 427–453 (2021).

9. Crooke, S. T., Witztum, J. L., Bennett, C. F. & Baker, B. F. RNA-targeted therapeutics. *Cell Metab* 27, 714–739 (2018).

10. Barraza, S. J., Bhattacharyya, A., Trotta, C. R. & Woll, M. G. Targeting strategies for modulating pre-mRNA splicing with small molecules: recent advances. *Drug Discov Today* **28**, 103431 (2022).

11. Hua, Y., Vickers, T. A., Okunola, H. L., Bennett, C. F. & Krainer, A. R. Antisense masking of an hnRNP A1/A2 intronic splicing silencer corrects SMN2 splicing in transgenic mice. *Am J Hum Genet* **82**, 834–848 (2008).

12. Finkel, R. S., Chiriboga, C. A., Vajsar, J., Day, J. W., Montes, J., Vivo, D. C. D., Yamashita, M., Rigo, F., Hung, G., Schneider, E., Norris, D. A., Xia, S., Bennett, C. F. & Bishop, K. M. Treatment of infantile-onset spinal muscular atrophy with nusinersen: a phase 2, open-label, dose-escalation study. *Lancet* **388**, 3017–3026 (2016).

13. Bennett, C. F., Krainer, A. R. & Cleveland, D. W. Antisense oligonucleotide therapies for neurodegenerative diseases. *Annu Rev Neurosci* **42**, 385–406 (2019).

14. Naryshkin, N. A., Weetall, M., Dakka, A., Narasimhan, J., Zhao, X., Feng, Z., Ling, K. K. Y., Karp, G. M., Qi, H., Woll, M. G., Chen, G., Zhang, N., Gabbeta, V., Vazirani, P., Bhattacharyya, A., Furia, B., Risher, N., Sheedy, J., Kong, R., Ma, J., Turpoff, A., Lee, C.-S., Zhang, X., Moon, Y.-C., Trifillis, P., Welch, E. M., Colacino, J. M., Babiak, J., Almstead, N. G., Peltz, S. W., Eng, L. A., Chen, K. S., Mull, J. L., Lynes, M. S., Rubin, L. L., Fontoura, P., Santarelli, L., Haehnke, D., McCarthy, K. D., Schmucki, R., Ebeling, M., Sivaramakrishnan, M., Ko, C.-P., Paushkin, S. V., Ratni, H., Gerlach, I., Ghosh, A. & Metzger, F. SMN2 splicing modifiers improve motor function and longevity in mice with spinal muscular atrophy. *Science* **345**, 688–693 (2014).

15. Ratni, H., Ebeling, M., Baird, J., Bendels, S., Bylund, J., Chen, K. S., Denk, N., Feng, Z., Green, L., Guerard, M., Jablonski, P., Jacobsen, B., Khwaja, O., Kletzl, H., Ko, C.-P., Kustermann, S., Marquet, A.,

Metzger, F., Mueller, B., Naryshkin, N. A., Paushkin, S. V., Pinard, E., Poirier, A., Reutlinger, M., Weetall, M., Zeller, A., Zhao, X. & Mueller, L. Discovery of risdiplam, a selective survival of motor neuron-2 (SMN2) gene splicing modifier for the treatment of spinal muscular atrophy (SMA). *J Med Chem* **61**, 6501–6517 (2018).

16. Dhillon, S. Risdiplam: first approval. *Drugs* **80**, 1853–1858 (2020).

17. Palacino, J., Swalley, S. E., Song, C., Cheung, A. K., Shu, L., Zhang, X., Hoosear, M. V., Shin, Y., Chin, D. N., Keller, C. G., Beibel, M., Renaud, N. A., Smith, T. M., Salcius, M., Shi, X., Hild, M., Servais, R., Jain, M., Deng, L., Bullock, C., McLellan, M., Schuierer, S., Murphy, L., Blommers, M. J. J., Blaustein, C., Berenshteyn, F., Lacoste, A., Thomas, J. R., Roma, G., Michaud, G. A., Tseng, B. S., Porter, J. A., Myer, V. E., Tallarico, J. A., Hamann, L. G., Curtis, D., Fishman, M. C., Dietrich, W. F., Dales, N. A. & Sivasankaran, R. SMN2 splice modulators enhance U1-pre-mRNA association and rescue SMA mice. *Nat Chem Biol* **11**, 511–517 (2015).

18. Sivaramakrishnan, M., McCarthy, K. D., Campagne, S., Huber, S., Meier, S., Augustin, A., Heckel, T., Meistermann, H., Hug, M. N., Birrer, P., Moursy, A., Khawaja, S., Schmucki, R., Berntenis, N., Giroud, N., Golling, S., Tzouros, M., Banfai, B., Duran-Pacheco, G., Lamerz, J., Liu, Y. H., Luebbers, T., Ratni, H., Ebeling, M., Cléry, A., Paushkin, S., Krainer, A. R., Allain, F. H.-T. & Metzger, F. Binding to SMN2 pre-mRNA-protein complex elicits specificity for small molecule splicing modifiers. *Nat Commun* **8**, 1476 (2017).

19. Cheung, A. K., Hurley, B., Kerrigan, R., Shu, L., Chin, D. N., Shen, Y., O'Brien, G., Sung, M. J., Hou, Y., Axford, J., Cody, E., Sun, R., Fazal, A., Fridrich, C., Sanchez, C. C., Tomlinson, R. C., Jain, M., Deng, L., Hoffmaster, K., Song, C., Hoosear, M. V., Shin, Y., Servais, R., Towler, C., Hild, M., Curtis, D., Dietrich, W. F., Hamann, L. G., Briner, K., Chen, K. S., Kobayashi, D., Sivasankaran, R. & Dales, N. A. Discovery of small molecule splicing modulators of survival motor neuron-2 (SMN2) for the treatment of spinal muscular atrophy. *J Med Chem* **61**, 11021–11036 (2018).

20. Campagne, S., Boigner, S., Rüdisser, S., Moursy, A., Gillioz, L., Knörlein, A., Hall, J., Ratni, H., Cléry, A. & Allain, F. H.-T. Structural basis of a small molecule targeting RNA for a specific splicing correction. *Nat Chem Biol* **1**, 919–8 (2019).

21. Wang, J., Schultz, P. G. & Johnson, K. A. Mechanistic studies of a small-molecule modulator of SMN2 splicing. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **115**, 201800260 (2018).

22. Ratni, H., Scalco, R. S. & Stephan, A. H. Risdiplam, the first approved small molecule splicing modifier drug as a blueprint for future transformative medicines. *ACS Med Chem Lett* **12**, 874–877 (2021).

23. Tang, Z., Akhter, S., Ramprasad, A., Wang, X., Reibarkh, M., Wang, J., Aryal, S., Thota, S. S., Zhao, J., Douglas, J. T., Gao, P., Holmstrom, E. D., Miao, Y. & Wang, J. Recognition of single-stranded nucleic acids by small-molecule splicing modulators. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**, 7870–7883 (2021).

24. Singh, R. N., Ottesen, E. W. & Singh, N. N. The first orally deliverable small molecule for the treatment of spinal muscular atrophy. *Neurosci Insights* **15**, 1–11 (2020).

25. Monteys, A. M., Hundley, A. A., Ranum, P. T., Tecedor, L., Muehlmatt, A., Lim, E., Lukashev, D., Sivasankaran, R. & Davidson, B. L. Regulated control of gene therapies by drug-induced splicing. *Nature* **596**, 291–295 (2021).

26. Costales, M. G., Childs-Disney, J. L., Haniff, H. S. & Disney, M. D. How we think about targeting RNA with small molecules. *J Med Chem* **63**, 8880–8900 (2020).

27. Ando, S., Suzuki, S., Okubo, S., Ohuchi, K., Takahashi, K., Nakamura, S., Shimazawa, M., Fuji, K. & Hara, H. Discovery of a CNS penetrant small molecule SMN2 splicing modulator with improved tolerability for spinal muscular atrophy. *Sci Rep* **10**, 17472 (2020).

28. Mercuri, E., Sumner, C. J., Muntoni, F., Darras, B. T. & Finkel, R. S. Spinal muscular atrophy. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* **8**, 52 (2022).

29. Donlic, A. & Hargrove, A. E. Targeting RNA in mammalian systems with small molecules. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA* **9**, e1477 (2018).

30. Vincent, F., Nueda, A., Lee, J., Schenone, M., Prunotto, M. & Mercola, M. Phenotypic drug discovery: recent successes, lessons learned and new directions. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 1–16 (2022). doi:10.1038/s41573-022-00472-w

31. Ottesen, E. W., Singh, N. N., Luo, D., Kaas, B., Gillette, B. J., Seo, J., Jorgensen, H. J. & Singh, R. N. Diverse targets of SMN2 -directed splicing-modulating small molecule therapeutics for spinal muscular atrophy. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2023). doi:10.1093/nar/gkad259

32. Johnson, K. A. & Goody, R. S. The original Michaelis constant: translation of the 1913 Michaelis-Menten paper. *Biochemistry* **50**, 8264–8269 (2011).

33. Gesztelyi, R., Zsuga, J., Kemeny-Beke, A., Varga, B., Juhasz, B. & Tosaki, A. The Hill equation and the origin of quantitative pharmacology. *Arch Hist Exact Sci* **66**, 427–438 (2012).

34. Segel, I. H. Enzyme Kinetics. (Wiley-Interscience, 1975).

35. Baeza-Centurion, P., Miñana, B., Schmiedel, J. M., Valcárcel, J. & Lehner, B. Combinatorial genetics reveals a scaling law for the effects of mutations on splicing. *Cell* **176**, 549-563.e23 (2019).

36. Wong, M. S., Kinney, J. B. & Krainer, A. R. Quantitative activity profile and context dependence of all human 5' splice sites. *Mol Cell* **71**, 1012-1026.e3 (2018).

37. Kinney, J. B. & McCandlish, D. M. Massively parallel assays and quantitative sequence-function relationships. *Annu Rev Genom Hum G* **20**, 99–127 (2019).

38. Shea, M. A. & Ackers, G. K. The OR control system of bacteriophage lambda: a physical-chemical model for gene regulation. *J Mol Biol* **181**, 211–230 (1985).

39. Bintu, L., Buchler, N. E., Garcia, H. G., Gerland, U., Hwa, T., Kondev, J. & Phillips, R. Transcriptional regulation by the numbers: models. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* **15**, 116–124 (2005).

40. Kuhlman, T., Zhang, Z., Saier, M. H. & Hwa, T. Combinatorial transcriptional control of the lactose operon of Escherichia coli. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **104**, 6043–6048 (2007).

41. Kinney, J. B., Murugan, A., Callan, C. G. & Cox, E. C. Using deep sequencing to characterize the biophysical mechanism of a transcriptional regulatory sequence. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **107**, 9158–9163 (2010).

42. Phillips, R., Kondev, J., Theriot, J. & Garcia, H. Physical Biology of the Cell. (Garland Science, 2012).

43. Wong, F. & Gunawardena, J. Gene Regulation in and out of Equilibrium. *Annu Rev Biophys* **49**, 199–226 (2020).

44. Jarmoskaite, I., Denny, S. K., Vaidyanathan, P. P., Becker, W. R., Andreasson, J. O. L., Layton, C. J., Kappel, K., Shivashankar, V., Sreenivasan, R., Das, R., Greenleaf, W. J. & Herschlag, D. A Quantitative and Predictive Model for RNA Binding by Human Pumilio Proteins. *Mol Cell* **74**, 966-981.e18 (2019).

45. Cornish-Bowden, A. Nomenclature for incompletely specified bases in nucleic acid sequences: recommendations. *Nucleic Acids Res* **13**, 3021–3030 (1985).

46. Shen, S., Park, J. W., Lu, Z., Lin, L., Henry, M. D., Wu, Y. N., Zhou, Q. & Xing, Y. rMATS: Robust and flexible detection of differential alternative splicing from replicate RNA-Seq data. *Proc National Acad Sci* **111**, E5593–E5601 (2014).

47. Forcier, T. L., Ayaz, A., Gill, M. S., Jones, D., Phillips, R. & Kinney, J. B. Measuring cis-regulatory energetics in living cells using allelic manifolds. *eLife* **7**, e40618 (2018).

48. Keller, C. G., Shin, Y., Monteys, A. M., Renaud, N., Beibel, M., Teider, N., Peters, T., Faller, T., St-Cyr, S., Knehr, J., Roma, G., Reyes, A., Hild, M., Lukashev, D., Theil, D., Dales, N., Cha, J.-H., Borowsky, B., Dolmetsch, R., Davidson, B. L. & Sivasankaran, R. An orally available, brain penetrant, small molecule lowers huntingtin levels by enhancing pseudoexon inclusion. *Nat Commun* **13**, 1150 (2022).

49. Novartis. Novartis receives FDA fast track designation for branaplam (LMI070) for the treatment of Huntington's disease. (2021). at <<u>https://www.novartis.com/news/novartis-receives-fda-fast-track-designation-branaplam-Imi070-treatment-huntingtons-disease>;</u>

50. Bhattacharyya, A., Trotta, C. R., Narasimhan, J., Wiedinger, K. J., Li, W., Effenberger, K. A., Woll, M. G., Jani, M. B., Risher, N., Yeh, S., Cheng, Y., Sydorenko, N., Moon, Y.-C., Karp, G. M., Weetall, M., Dakka, A., Gabbeta, V., Naryshkin, N. A., Graci, J. D., Tripodi, T., Southwell, A., Hayden, M., Colacino, J. M. & Peltz, S. W. Small molecule splicing modifiers with systemic HTT-lowering activity. *Nat Commun* **12**, 7299 (2021).

51. Krach, F., Stemick, J., Boerstler, T., Weiss, A., Lingos, I., Reischl, S., Meixner, H., Ploetz, S., Farrell, M., Hehr, U., Kohl, Z., Winner, B. & Winkler, J. An alternative splicing modulator decreases mutant HTT and improves the molecular fingerprint in Huntington's disease patient neurons. *Nat Commun* **13**, 6797 (2022).

52. Berg, O. & Hippel, P. von. Selection of DNA binding sites by regulatory proteins. Statistical-mechanical theory and application to operators and promoters. *J Mol Biol* **193**, 723–750 (1987).

53. Stormo, G. & Fields, D. Specificity, free energy and information content in protein-DNA interactions. *Trends Biochem Sci* **23**, 109–113 (1998).

54. Singh, N. N., Androphy, E. J. & Singh, R. N. In vivo selection reveals combinatorial controls that define a critical exon in the spinal muscular atrophy genes. *RNA* **10**, 1291–1305 (2004).

55. Hofmann, Y., Lorson, C. L., Stamm, S., Androphy, E. J. & Wirth, B. Htra2-β1 stimulates an exonic splicing enhancer and can restore full-length SMN expression to survival motor neuron 2 (SMN2). *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **97**, 9618–9623 (2000).

56. Wu, X., Wang, S.-H., Sun, J., Krainer, A. R., Hua, Y. & Prior, T. W. A-44G transition in SMN2 intron 6 protects patients with spinal muscular atrophy. *Hum Mol Genet* **26**, 2768–2780 (2017).

57. Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W. & Lipman, D. J. Basic local alignment search tool. *J. Mol. Biol.* **215**, 403–410 (1990).

58. Ajiro, M., Awaya, T., Kim, Y. J., Iida, K., Denawa, M., Tanaka, N., Kurosawa, R., Matsushima, S., Shibata, S., Sakamoto, T., Studer, R., Krainer, A. R. & Hagiwara, M. Therapeutic manipulation of IKBKAP mis-splicing with a small molecule to cure familial dysautonomia. *Nat Commun* **12**, 4507 (2021).

59. Yoshida, M., Kataoka, N., Miyauchi, K., Ohe, K., Iida, K., Yoshida, S., Nojima, T., Okuno, Y., Onogi, H., Usui, T., Takeuchi, A., Hosoya, T., Suzuki, T. & Hagiwara, M. Rectifier of aberrant mRNA splicing recovers tRNA modification in familial dysautonomia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **112**, 2764–2769 (2015).

60. Sinha, R., Kim, Y. J., Nomakuchi, T., Sahashi, K., Hua, Y., Rigo, F., Bennett, C. F. & Krainer, A. R. Antisense oligonucleotides correct the familial dysautonomia splicing defect in IKBKAP transgenic mice. *Nucleic Acids Res* **46**, 4833–4844 (2018).

61. Greco, W. R., Bravo, G. & Parsons, J. C. The search for synergy: a critical review from a response surface perspective. *Pharmacol Rev* **47**, 331–85 (1995).

62. Roca, X., Akerman, M., Gaus, H., Berdeja, A., Bennett, C. F. & Krainer, A. R. Widespread recognition of 5' splice sites by noncanonical base-pairing to U1 snRNA involving bulged nucleotides. *Gene Dev* **26**, 1098–1109 (2012).

63. Roca, X. & Krainer, A. R. Recognition of atypical 5' splice sites by shifted base-pairing to U1 snRNA. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **16**, 176–182 (2009).

64. Marasco, L. E., Dujardin, G., Sousa-Luís, R., Liu, Y. H., Stigliano, J. N., Nomakuchi, T., Proudfoot, N. J., Krainer, A. R. & Kornblihtt, A. R. Counteracting chromatin effects of a splicing-correcting antisense oligonucleotide improves its therapeutic efficacy in spinal muscular atrophy. *Cell* **185**, 2057-2070.e15 (2022).

65. Semlow, D. R. & Staley, J. P. Staying on message: ensuring fidelity in pre-mRNA splicing. *Trends Biochem Sci* **37**, 263–273 (2012).

66. Hopfield, J. J. Kinetic proofreading: a new mechanism for reducing errors in biosynthetic processes requiring high specificity. *Proc National Acad Sci* **71**, 4135–4139 (1974).

67. Ninio, J. Kinetic amplification of enzyme discrimination. *Biochimie* 57, 587–595 (1975).

68. Slaugenhaupt, S. A., Mull, J., Leyne, M., Cuajungco, M. P., Gill, S. P., Hims, M. M., Quintero, F., Axelrod, F. B. & Gusella, J. F. Rescue of a human mRNA splicing defect by the plant cytokinin kinetin. *Hum Mol Genet* **13**, 429–436 (2004).

69. Gao, D., Morini, E., Salani, M., Krauson, A. J., Chekuri, A., Sharma, N., Ragavendran, A., Erdin, S., Logan, E. M., Li, W., Dakka, A., Narasimhan, J., Zhao, X., Naryshkin, N., Trotta, C. R., Effenberger, K. A., Woll, M. G., Gabbeta, V., Karp, G., Yu, Y., Johnson, G., Paquette, W. D., Cutting, G. R., Talkowski, M. E. & Slaugenhaupt, S. A. A deep learning approach to identify gene targets of a therapeutic for human splicing disorders. *Nat Commun* **12**, 3332 (2021).

70. Tareen, A. & Kinney, J. B. Logomaker: beautiful sequence logos in Python. *Bioinformatics* **36**, 2272–2274 (2020).