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## Background

We report here our analyses and discovery of an extended pedigree with Prader-Willi Syndrome (PWS), Hereditary Hemochromatosis (HH), Familial Dysautonomia (FD), Tourette Syndrome and other illnesses. Since genetic architectures between these diseases are heterogeneous, we chose to perform whole genome sequencing (WGS) on nine people of this pedigree, enabling a wide scope of variant calling from a single SNP to large structural events. To reduce false positive/negative variant calls, we used more than one pipeline to detect SNPs, INDELs, large structural variations, and copy number variations.
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|  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc_{9}$ | ] Fam | Hemochromatosis Syndrome <br> ysautonomia |
| Figure 1. A three-generation pedigree with multiple rare diseases in this study. The pedigree members with a number underneath indicates that WGS has been performed on this person. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table 1. A list of variants (MAF $<\mathbf{1} \%$ in ESP and 1000G) found in the three people with Familial Dysautonomia. These variants are heterozygous, called by at least one pipelines, within coding regions, ranked high by pVAAST, and a CADD c-score greater than 20 . The alternative allele frequency ( AF ) is computed based on the European population in the ExAC database. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gene | Genomic coordinates |  | Change | Brfect | CAD |  |
| PLA2G4E | chri5: 42281727 |  | C>T | missense | e 36 | Het, 0.007 |
| SLC35C2 | chr20: 44987076 |  | A>G | missense | se 27.5 | Het, $0.006 \%$ |
| hhatl | chr3: 42740594 |  | C>T | missense | se 23.8 | Het, 0.04\% |
| GRSFI | chr4: 71701950 |  | C>T | missense | se 23.0 | Het, 0.1\% |
| gabrat | chr5: 161128514 |  | C>T | missense | se 18.0 | Het, 0.003\% |
| ALG3 | chr3: 183963549 |  | T>C | missense | se 18.3 | Het, 0.1\% |
| NISCH | chr3: 52505834 |  | $A>T$ | missense | ce 17.8 | Het, 0.06\% |
| RBM27 | chr5: 145634638 |  | T>C | missense | se 18.7 | Het, 0.05\% |
| муӧн | chr 12: 109862622 |  | A>G | missense | se 19.5 | Het, $0.002 \%$ |
| Table 2. A list of variants with previous evidence in ClinVar were found in the pedigree members. The mother with Hereditary Hemochromatosis is homozygous for the C282Y variant in HFE. The carriers are represented by the numbers shown in Figure 1. ARautosomal recessive, CH - compound heterozygous |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| co | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cenomic } \\ & \text { coordinates } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Change } \\ & \& \text { Efrect } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Zygosity \& } \\ & \text { Carriers } \end{aligned}$ |  | AsF | Supporting Evidence |
| HFE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { chr6: } \\ & 26093141 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}}{\text { missense }} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hom: } 1,4 \\ & \text { Het: } 2,3,6,7,8,9 \end{aligned}$ |  | .07\% | Hemochromatosis <br> (AR) |
| ${ }^{\text {BRIP1 }} 5$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { chr17: } \\ 59937223 \end{gathered}$ | G>C missense | Het: $1,4,6,7,8$ |  | 0.04\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Breast cancer, early- } \\ & \text { onset (AR) } \end{aligned}$ |
| MKKS | $\begin{gathered} \text { chr20: } \\ 10393439 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T} \\ \text { missense } \end{gathered}$ | Het: 4,9 |  | 0.9\% | Mckusick-kaufman Syndrome (AR) |
| PRSSI 14 <br>  14 | chr7: 142458451 chr7: 142458526 | $\begin{gathered} \text { A> } \\ \text { misense } \\ \text { ABG } \\ \text { missense } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Compound Het: } 1 \text {, } \\ & 2,4,6,7,8,9 \end{aligned}$ <br> e |  | $\begin{gathered} 47 \% \\ 3 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { pancreatitis (CH) }}{\text { Heditr }}$ |
| Table 3. Recommended dosages for Coumadin and Simvastatin dosages based on the oldest daughter's WGS results, in comparison to what she was actually prescribed in the absence of any genetic testing. Pharmacogenomics analyses were performed based on guidelines and algorithms from the International Warfarin Pharmarcogenomics Consortium (IWPC) and the Clinical Pharmacogenomics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) in the PharmGKB database. People who are homozygous for major alleles at both sites in CYP2C9 are designated as *1/*1. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drug | Recommend dosages based on genotypes |  |  | FDArecommendations |  | Genotypes |
| Coumadin (Warfarin Sodium) | $5.85 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{day}$ |  | $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{day}$ | 2 to $10 \mathrm{mg} /$ day (Consider genetic testing results |  | VKORC1: A/G (rs9923231) CYP2C9: *1/*1 (rs1799853, rs1057910) |
| Simvastatin |  | $\mathrm{mg} /$ day sed risk of with 40 mg vastatin | $\text { gg } 20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{day}$ |  | mg/day | $\underset{(\text { (rs4149056) }}{\text { SLCOIBI:T/C }}$ |

## Results

First, we used ERDS to identify two deletions with size of 450 Kb and 4.8 Mbp , spanning the regions of $15 \mathrm{q} 11.2,15 \mathrm{q} 12,15 \mathrm{q} 13.1$ in the boy with PWS. These deletions were confirmed with Illumina 2.5 M array data using PennCNV. Second, the mother with Hereditary
Hemochromatosis is homozygous for the C282Y variant in HFE, with the variant being called by HaplotypeCaller and FreeBayes. These two variants were previously reported in the literature, suggesting they are likely large effect-size variants contributing to this phenotype. Third, none of the family members with FD carry any previously reported variants in IKBKAP that have been implicated in the autosomal recessive transmission of FD. The WGS data had good sequence coverage ( $>$ average coverage 40x) for this gene but we did not identify any novel rare variants.


Figure 2. Lyon lab variant analysis pipeline for whole genome sequencing data. The left-hand side is the
major analysis work flow while the right-hand side are the details of each procedure. major analysis work flow while the right-hand side are the details of each procedure


It is likely that FD is dominantly inherited in the family, which has not been reported in any detailed manner. Thus, this is possibly a novel type of FD that might be relevant to variants in other genes. To investigate, we leveraged the power of the large pedigree and WGS with the use of pVAAST and CADD. Fourth, pharmacogenomic analyses were performed using TuteGenomics and PharmGKB platforms. We found pharmacogenetic variants influencing the metabolism of Coumadin and Simvastatin, which were being routinely prescribed to the daughter.

We highlight the importance of detailed phenotyping and sharing of both genomic and phenotyping data, due to extreme heterogeneity of illnesses across families and insufficient knowledge of the genetic architecture of most diseases. Ongoing effort will focus on identifying variants that might be relevant to FD and other illnesses segregating in the pedigree.





Figure 5 . Validation of the copy number variant using Illumina 2.5 m microarray data. We used PencnCNV to call
this deletion from the microarray data,., which is also only detected from the proband, but not from the father and the two unaffected brothers. The dash lines in the figure of proband indicates the interval of the ERDS copy number variant this del
two un
call.

