Establishing Physalis as a Solanaceae model system enables genetic reevaluation of the inflated calyx syndrome

Jia He\textsuperscript{1,2}, Michael Alonge\textsuperscript{3†}, Srividya Ramakrishnan\textsuperscript{3}, Matthias Benoit\textsuperscript{1,2†}, Sebastian Soyk\textsuperscript{1,4}, Nathan T. Reem\textsuperscript{4†}, Anat Hendelman\textsuperscript{1}, Joyce Van Eck\textsuperscript{4,5}, Michael C. Schatz\textsuperscript{1,3,6}, Zachary B. Lippman\textsuperscript{1,2,*}

\textsuperscript{1}Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY 11724, USA
\textsuperscript{2}Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY 11724, USA
\textsuperscript{3}Department of Computer Science, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA
\textsuperscript{4}Boyce Thompson Institute, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA
\textsuperscript{5}Plant Breeding and Genetics Section, School of Integrative Plant Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA
\textsuperscript{6}Department of Biology, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA
\textsuperscript{†}Current addresses: Ohalo Genetics, Aptos, CA 95003, USA (M.A.); LIPME, Université de Toulouse, INRAE, CNRS, Castanet-Tolosan 31326, France (M.B.); Center for Integrative Genomics, University of Lausanne, CH-1005 Lausanne, Switzerland (S.S.); Benson Hill, St. Louis MO 63132 (N.T.R).
* To whom correspondence may be addressed: lippman@cshl.edu

The author responsible for distribution of materials integral to the findings presented in this article in accordance with the policy described in the Instructions for Authors (https://academic.oup.com/plcell) is: Zachary B. Lippman (lippman@cshl.edu).

ABSTRACT

The highly diverse Solanaceae family contains several widely studied model and crop species. Fully exploring, appreciating, and exploiting this diversity requires additional model systems. Particularly promising are orphan fruit crops in the genus Physalis, which occupy a key evolutionary position in the Solanaceae and capture understudied variation in traits such as inflorescence complexity, fruit ripening and metabolites, disease and insect resistance, self-compatibility, and most notable, the striking inflated calyx syndrome (ICS), an evolutionary novelty found across angiosperms where sepals grow exceptionally large to encapsulate fruits in a protective husk. We recently developed transformation and genome editing in Physalis grisea (groundcherry). However, to systematically explore and unlock the potential of this and related Physalis as genetic systems, high-quality genome assemblies are needed. Here, we present chromosome-scale references for P. grisea and its close relative P. pruinosa and use these resources to study natural and engineered variation in floral traits. We first rapidly identified a natural structural variant in a bHLH gene that causes petal color variation. Further, and against expectations, we found that CRISPR-Cas9 targeted mutagenesis of 11 MADS-box genes, including purported essential regulators of ICS, had no effect on inflation. In a forward genetics screen, we identified huskless, which lacks ICS due to mutation of an AP2-like gene that causes sepals and petals to
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merge into a single whorl of mixed identity. These resources and findings elevate Physalis to a new Solanaceae model system, and establish a paradigm in the search for factors driving ICS.

INTRODUCTION

The Solanaceae family is one of the most important plant families in fundamental and applied research due not only to its remarkable morphological and ecological diversity but also to its far-reaching economic value from its many members used as food crops, ornamentals, and sources of pharmaceuticals (Añibarro-Ortega et al., 2022; Gebhardt, 2016; Shenstone et al., 2020). The most studied Solanaceae include major food crops such as eggplant (Solanum melongena), pepper (Capsicum annuum), potato (Solanum tuberosum), and tomato (Solanum lycopersicum), in addition to the model species petunia (Petunia hybrida) and Nicotiana benthamiana. However, various species-specific limitations of the other taxa have made tomato a preferred model for many studies, as it has a full suite of genetic and genomic resources that enable maximal biological discovery and translation to agriculture.

Developing new Solanaceae model systems that equal the utility of tomato is essential to study incompletely explored diversity, including traits of economic importance. Most challenging is identifying potential systems with noteworthy comparative and species-specific variation that, critically, can be dissected by efficient forward and reverse genetics that is enabled by tractable genomics, genome editing, and cultivation. We previously identified species in the genus Physalis as promising in all these aspects (Lemmon et al., 2018). This genus includes orphan crops such as tomatillo (P. philadelphica and P. ixocarpa), goldenberry (P. peruviana), and groundcherry (P. grisea and P. pruinosa), and many other species that yield edible fruits or are grown as ornamentals.

Physalis occupies a key phylogenetic position that complements other Solanaceae models. It is a representative genus of Physaleae, an under-studied Solanaceae tribe that has the most genera in the family (Deanna et al., 2019; Pretz & Deanna, 2020; Zamora-Tavares et al., 2016), and diverged from established Solanum model systems about 19 million years ago (Ma) (Särkinen et al., 2013). In addition, recently discovered Physaloid fruiting fossils dated to about 52 Ma pushed back the evolutionary timing of Solanaceae divergence from other taxa considerably (Deanna et al., 2020; Wilf et al., 2017). Thus, Physalis has great potential to analyze diversification over long evolutionary distances in comparative studies within the Solanaceae. Moreover, Physalis species show substantial variation in developmental and
molecular traits, including inflorescence complexity, secondary metabolism, and disease resistance (Baumann & Meier, 1993; Huang et al., 2020; Park et al., 2014; Whitson, 2012; W.-N. Zhang & Tong, 2016), providing additional avenues for discovery. However, the most conspicuous and impressive feature of Physalis, also found in other angiosperms, is the inflated calyx syndrome (ICS), a remarkable evolutionary novelty where sepals grow excessively large after fertilization to form balloon-like husks that encapsulate fruits (He et al., 2004; Wilf et al., 2017).

Dissecting the evolutionary and mechanistic origins of morphological novelties is a fundamental goal in biology (Muller & Wagner, 1991; Shubin et al., 2009), and it is not surprising that botanists and evolutionary biologists have long been fascinated by ICS (He et al., 2004; U. T. Waterfall, 1958; Wilf et al., 2017). Though Physalis has historically lacked molecular and functional genetics tools, studies on ICS over the last few decades have suggested a central role for two MADS-box genes, including an ortholog of one gene in potato, StMADS16 (an ortholog of Arabidopsis thaliana AGAMOUS-LIKE 24), which causes leaf-like sepals when overexpressed in other Solanaceae (He et al., 2004). Prompted by this observation, supportive molecular and functional genetic data generated within Physalis suggested that heterotopic expression of the StMADS16 ortholog MPF2 was key to the evolution of ICS. Later studies suggested this essential role emerged from modified cis-regulatory control of MPF2 by the euAP1-like gene MPF3 (He & Saedler, 2005; Zhao et al., 2013).

A recent genome of P. floridana and additional functional work suggested that loss of another MADS-box gene, MBP21/JOINTLESS-2 (J2), a member of the SEPALLATA4 (SEP4) clade, was also critical, and seemingly reinforced an additional conclusion that fertilization is an integral physiological driver of ICS (Lu et al., 2021). The proposed role of fertility and previous findings that flower-specific MPF2 expression is ancestral to ICS suggested this trait may have been lost during evolution (He & Saedler, 2007; Hu & Saedler, 2007). However, a recent deeply sampled taxonomic study showed that, although being invariantly present in a large monophyletic clade such as Physalis subgenus Rydbergi, ICS was gained multiple times throughout the tribe of Physalideae in a stepwise and directional manner, from noninflation to enlarged sepals appressed to the fruit (accrescent-appressed), and finally to an inflated calyx (Deanna et al., 2019). These findings, along with independent emergence of ICS in other angiosperms (Deanna et al., 2019), may indicate that there is a deeper genetic and molecular
complexity behind ICS, determined by factors besides MPF2 and other proposed MADS-box genes (Deanna et al., 2019; Hu & Saedler, 2007).

Outstanding questions regarding ICS and our broad interest in Solanaceae biology and agriculture led us several years ago to begin establishing Physalis as a new model system. We developed efficient Agrobacterium-mediated transformation and CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing in the diploid groundcherry species P. grisea, and demonstrated the utility of these tools by mutating orthologs of tomato domestication genes in groundcherry to improve productivity traits (Lemmon et al., 2018; Swartwood & Van Eck, 2019). More recently, P. grisea was critical in revealing pleiotropic functions of an ancient homeobox gene, and in dissecting the evolution of redundancy between duplicated signaling peptide genes controlling stem cell proliferation in the Solanaceae (Hendelman et al., 2021; Kwon et al., 2022). However, high-quality reference genomes of P. grisea and other species have been lacking, and are needed to promote the full potential and deployment of this system as has been achieved in tomato. Here, we report high-quality chromosome-scale genomes for P. grisea and its close relative P. pruinosa. We demonstrate the power of these resources in enabling forward and reverse genetics by revealing multiple genotype-to-phenotype relationships in floral development, including ICS. Our work establishes Physalis as a new Solanaceae reference system that can advance comprehensive studies of long-standing and emerging biological questions within and beyond the genus.

RESULTS
Chromosome-scale reference genomes of P. grisea and P. pruinosa

Among Solanaceae genera, Physalis is more closely related to Capsicum (pepper) than Solanum (eggplant, potato, tomato) (Figure 1A). Chinese lantern (Alkekengi officinarum, closely related to Physalis), tomatillo (Physalis philadelphica and Physalis ixocarpa) and many other Physalis orphan crops are self-incompatible, large plants with tetraploid genomes, making them challenging to develop into model systems. In contrast, the groundcherry species P. grisea, P. pruinosa, and close relatives have reasonable genome sizes (estimated ~1-2 Gb), are diploid, self- and cross-compatible, have rapid generation times (first mature fruit 66-70 days after sowing), and are easy to grow and manage in both greenhouses and fields. The taxonomy and naming of Physalis species has a convoluted past that was recently clarified (Pretz & Deanna, 2020). P. pruinosa was initially designated to describe Physalis in the northeastern United States,
showing erect or prostrate growth with large, thick and coarsely sinuate-dentate leaves (Rydberg, 1896). A revision of Physalis in the last century proposed *P. pubescens* var. *grisea* to differentiate species included in *P. pruinosa* (U. T. Waterfall, 1958). Additional species were then identified (U. T. Waterfall, 1967), and *P. pubescens* var. *grisea* was ultimately recognized as a separate species, *P. grisea* (Martínez, 1993; Pretz & Deanna, 2020).

As *P. grisea* and *P. pruinosa* are closely related, they share similar vegetative and reproductive shoot and organ morphologies, including inflated calyces encapsulating fruits of similar size, shape, and color (Figure 1B-D). Their primary shoots terminate in a single flower inflorescence after 5-6 leaves, and new shoots emerge according to the sympodial growth habit that is characteristic of all Solanaceae (Lemmon et al., 2018). In Physalis, sympodial units comprise one leaf, one flower and two axillary (sympodial) shoots (Figure 1C). A conspicuous feature distinguishing *P. pruinosa* from *P. grisea* is the absence of purple pigmentation on stems and petal nectar guides. *P. pruinosa* also has narrower leaves and a smaller stature due to shorter internodes (Figure 1B, D; Supplemental Data Set S1).

Based on the features described, *P. grisea* and *P. pruinosa* are excellent candidates occupying a key phylogenetic position among Solanaceae model systems. We integrated PacBio high fidelity (HiFi) and Oxford Nanopore (ONT) long-read sequencing to establish highly accurate and complete chromosome-scale genome assemblies for both species, with assembly sizes of 1.37 Gb for *P. grisea* and 1.38 Gb for *P. pruinosa* (Figure 1E). The *P. grisea* and *P. pruinosa* assemblies are the first Physalis genus reference-quality assemblies, demonstrating substantially improved contiguity, accuracy, and completeness compared to a recent *P. floridana* genome (Lu et al., 2021) (Supplemental Table S1). Specifically, the *P. floridana* genome has an error rate (errors/bp) of 3.83x10^{-4} and a contig N50 of 4.6 Mbp, whereas our assemblies produced substantially lower error rates of 3.09x10^{-6} (*P. grisea*) and 1.66 x 10^{-6} (*P. pruinosa*) and much higher contig N50s of 31.6 and 82.2 Mbp, respectively, with gapless assemblies of chromosomes 5 and 7 for *P. pruinosa*.

Based on RNA-sequencing data from vegetative and reproductive tissues ((Lemmon et al., 2018), and Methods), we annotated 33,833 and 34,187 genes in the *P. grisea* and *P. pruinosa* assemblies, respectively (Supplemental Table S2), with most genes concentrated at the ends of the 12 chromosomes, as was observed in other Solanaceae genomes (Kim et al., 2014; Sato et al., 2012; Wei et al., 2020; X. Xu et al., 2011) (Figure 1E, see Methods). Both
genomes are highly repetitive, with 79% of the sequence representing transposable elements, especially LTR retrotransposons (Figure 1E). Comparing the two genomes, we observed nearly complete macrosynteny across all 12 chromosomes, consistent with the close relationship of these species, but also detected a few small-scale inversions and translocations (Figure 1E). Calling single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) using *P. pruinosa* Illumina short read sequences against the *P. grisea* reference revealed 60,087 homozygous SNPs, with predicted high impact changes (SNPeff, (Cingolani et al., 2012)) on 43 gene transcripts (Supplemental Table S3, S4). Despite the broad similarity of these genomes, we identified over 900 structural variants (SVs) between 30 bp and 10 kbp in length, many of which intersect coding and putative cis-regulatory sequences (Figure 1F, G and Supplemental Table S5, Supplemental Dataset S2). Some of these variants could explain phenotypic differences between *P. grisea* and *P. pruinosa*.

A structural variant in the bHLH transcription factor gene ANTHOCYANIN1 controls nectar guide color variation

We first sought to utilize our genomes to map the most conspicuous phenotype distinguishing the two species, nectar guide color variation. *P. grisea* displays deep purple nectar guides typical of most *Physalis* species, whereas *P. pruinosa* does not. (Figure 2A). This pigmentation difference is also found on stems and branches. Crossing *P. grisea* and *P. pruinosa* resulted in F1 hybrids showing purple pigmentation, and an F2 population showed that the yellow color segregated as a single recessive mutation. Mapping-by-sequencing localized the mutation to chromosome 4; however, limited recombination resulted in a large interval spanning most of the chromosome (Figure 2B).

To identify candidate genes, we searched for homologs of genes involved in the production of anthocyanins in the *Solanaceae* genus *Petunia*. Anthocyanins belong to a class of polyphenolic secondary metabolites named flavonoids, and one outcome of their accumulation in tissues and organs is purple pigmentation (Liu et al., 2018). Many ornamental *Petunia* species show variation in anthocyanin accumulation, and studies on this diversity have identified enzymes and transcription factors in the anthocyanin pathway (Bombarely et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2018).
Anthocyanin biosynthesis involves three major steps, including the conversion of phenylalanine to 4-coumaroyl-CoA through stepwise enzymatic reactions, and the conversion of 4-coumaroyl-CoA to dihydroflavonols, which are precursors in the final synthesis steps of specific anthocyanins (Figure 2C). We identified four orthologs of anthocyanin pathway genes and their regulators on chromosome 4. Overlaying our SV analysis revealed a mutation in only one of these genes, a 43 bp deletion in the second intron of the *P. pruinosa* gene Phypru04g010390, which encodes a bHLH transcription factor orthologue of petunia ANTHOCYANIN1 (AN1) (Spelt et al., 2000) (Figure 2D). AN1 activates the structural gene DIHYDROFLAVONOL REDUCTASE and other anthocyanin regulators (Spelt et al., 2000). Notably, mutations in petunia *AN1* result in loss of anthocyanins in all tissues (Spelt et al., 2000, 2002). Using RT-PCR and sequencing of cDNA, we found that *AN1* transcripts in *P. pruinosa* were longer than those in *P. grisea* due to a retention of 179 bp from intron 2, which results in a premature stop codon (Figure 2E). We validated this result by CRISPR-Cas9 targeting *PgAN1* (Phygr04g010290) in *P. grisea*. Five out of 11 first generation (T0) transgenic lines failed to produce anthocyanins, and sequencing showed that these plants carried edited alleles of *PgAN1* (Figure 2F, G). Though another variant closely linked to *AN1* on chromosome 4 could be responsible for the color variation, our genetic and molecular results strongly support that the SV in *P. pruinosa* *AN1* (*PprAN1*) underlies the absence of purple pigmentation in *P. pruinosa* and further demonstrate the utility of our genomic resources in deploying forward genetics in *Physalis*.

The MADS-box genes *MPF2* and *MPF3* are not essential regulators of ICS.

The most striking feature of *Physalis* is the ICS, which evolved repeatedly in other *Solanaceae* genera and angiosperms (Deanna et al., 2019; Padmaja et al., 2014; Paton, 1990). Soon after fertilization, sepals undergo remarkable growth and expansion acropetally to encapsulate fruits in balloon-like papery husks, which may provide protection from pathogens and promote seed dispersal (Figure 3A) (Baumann & Meier, 1993; J. Li et al., 2019). Despite long-standing interest, the evolutionary and mechanistic origins of ICS remain unclear. One early defining study proposed that heterotopic expression of *MPF2* was essential to the evolution of ICS (He & Saedler, 2005). This hypothesis was based on overexpression of the potato ortholog StMADS16 in tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*), which produced leaf-like sepals. Empirical support
in *Physalis* came from RNA interference (RNAi) knock-down of **MPF2** in *P. floridana*, where multiple transgenic lines showed a reduced calyx size, the severity of which was highly correlated with impaired fertility, but counterintuitively not the level of reduction of **MPF2** transcripts (He & Saedler, 2005).

Despite this contradictory result, follow-up studies proposed and tested an extended mechanism involving regulation of **MPF2** by the **AP1**-like transcription factor gene **MPF3** (orthologue of Arabidopsis **APETALA1** and tomato **MACROCALYX**), in combination with hormonal control and fertilization (He & Saedler, 2007; Zhao et al., 2013). However, functional data supporting these conclusions were based on overexpression, plus also RNAi and virus induced gene silencing (VIGS) knockdown of expression. Pleiotropic phenotypic outcomes are common in overexpression experiments, and are challenging to relate to specific genes studied, whereas RNAi and VIGS are difficult to interpret due to variable knock-down efficiencies and potential off-target effects (Senthil-Kumar & Mysore, 2011; P. Xu et al., 2006). Further convolution of a possible ICS mechanism emerged with the recent publication of the *P. floridana* genome, and the suggestion that absence of the **SEP4** orthologue of the tomato MADS-box gene **SlMBP21/J2** in *Physalis* was yet another critical factor in the origin of ICS (Lu et al., 2021).

To address these inconsistencies and provide a more robust genetic dissection of ICS, we first used CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing to eliminate **MPF2** and **MPF3** function in *P. grisea*. We generated five alleles of **PgMPF2** (Phygri11g023460) and four alleles of **PgMPF3** (Phygri12g018350) (**Figure 3B**), and these independent mutations caused different premature stop codons. Notably, none of these homozygous mutants disrupted ICS; all **Pgmpf2CR** mutants showed similar calyx inflation as wild type (WT), and **Pgmpf3CR** mutants displayed enlarged and more leaf-like tips of sepal before inflation, a phenotype also observed in tomato **mc** mutants (**Figure 3C**) (Yuste-Lisbona et al., 2016). Although this change of sepal tips was accompanied by a lower calyx height/width ratio (**Figure 3G**), inflation was unaffected. Besides the sepal phenotype, **Pgmpf3** also displayed abnormal branching patterns; **Pgmpf3** mutants frequently produced three instead of two sympodial shoots (**Figure 3D-F**). Finally, we generated double mutants to test whether eliminating **PgMPF2** and **PgMPF3** functions together would disrupt inflation. Notably, **Pgmpf2 Pgmpf3** plants matched the phenotypes of **Pgmpf3** single mutants, including the progression of ICS (**Figure 3H**). In summary, these CRISPR-Cas9 engineered loss-of-function mutations in **PgMPF2** and **PgMPF3** show that these MADS-box genes are not
responsible for the evolution of ICS and are not essential regulators of this developmental process.

**Targeted mutagenesis of additional MADS-box genes does not abolish ICS.**

In an effort to identify genes involved in ICS, we embarked on a more comprehensive reverse genetics approach targeting MADS-box genes known to regulate floral organ development in tomato and other species, including additional MADS-box family members that mimic ICS when overexpressed or mutated in non-ICS Solanaceae. For example, we characterized a spontaneous tomato mutant with greatly enlarged fleshy fruit-covering sepals and found a transposon insertion SV upstream of TOMATO AGAMOUS-LIKE1 (TAGL1) that caused >80-fold overexpression in developing sepals (Figure 4A). TAGL1 belongs to the AGAMOUS clade of MADS-box transcription factors, and is a close paralog of TOMATO AGAMOUS 1 (TAG1). Previous studies showed that both of these genes control flower development, and when either is overexpressed, enlarged and fleshy sepals are produced, in part mimicking ICS (Itkin et al., 2009; Pnueli et al., 1994). To test the roles of the Physalis orthologues of these genes, we generated CRISPR mutants. As observed in corresponding mutants of other species (Pan et al., 2010; Yanofsky et al., 1990), Phtag1cr-1 homozygous mutants displayed severe homeotic transformation of stamens to petal-like structures, while Phtagl1cr-1 displayed similar but weaker homeotic transformations (Figure 4B). Importantly, despite these floral organ defects, accompanied also by partial or complete loss of self-fertilization, both of these mutants maintained inflation, although calyx size was reduced, potentially due to secondary growth effects (Figure 4B-E).

Based on their roles in floral organ development and inflorescence architecture, SEPALLATA4 (SEP4) MADS-box genes are another set of ICS candidates. Tomato has four SEP4 clade MADS-box genes: J2, SIMADS1/ENHANCER OF J2 (hereafter EJ2), LONG INFLORESCENCE (LIN) and RIPENING INHIBITOR (RIN). We previously showed that EJ2 and LIN regulate sepal development; mutants of ej2 alone and in combination with lin develop enlarged sepals (Soyk et al., 2017). Analysis of the genome of P. floridana (Lu et al., 2021), and confirmed in our genomes, showed that Physalis lost the ortholog of J2, whereas the other three SEP4 genes are present. Curiously, loss of J2 was proposed to have promoted the evolution of ICS, but non-ICS Solanaceae such as pepper also lack J2. To test roles of the SEP4 clade in ICS,
we used CRISPR-Cas9 to mutate all three SEP4 genes in *P. grisea*. Notably, multiple independent mutations in *PgEJ2*, *PgLIN*, and *PgRIN* did not inhibit ICS. Similar to our findings in tomato *ej2* mutants (Soyk et al., 2017), mutants of *PgEJ2*CR-1 produced larger sepals in young and fully developed flowers, but inflation proceeded normally, with the only modification being sepal tips failing to coalesce to a single point after inflation is complete (Figure 4C).

**Fertilization is not required for ICS.**

In flower development, B-class MADS-box genes participate in specifying petal and stamen identity, and the loss of B function leads to homeotic transformations of petals and stamens, which impaired self-fertilization (Theißen & Saedler, 2001; Weigel & Meyerowitz, 1994; Yanofsky et al., 1990). If fertilization-related signals were required for ICS, as reported (He & Saedler, 2007), mutations in B-class MADS-box genes should result in abnormal ICS development. Previously, a mutation deleting the B-class MADS-box gene *GLOBOSA1* (*GLO1*) was shown to develop a double-layered calyx phenotype in *P. floridana* when fertilized with WT pollen (J.-S. Zhang et al., 2014). We identified four B-class MADS-box genes in *P. grisea*, including the four closest homologs of *GLO1*: *PgGLO1* (*Phygri01g009190*), *PgGLO2* (*Phygri06g017940*), *PgDEF* (*Phygri11g018450*) and *PgTM6* (*Phygri02g012900*). CRISPR-Cas9 induced null mutations in all four genes failed to disrupt ICS. Mutants of *PgTM6*CR-1 and *PgGLO2*CR-1 appeared WT, whereas *PgGLO1*CR-1 and *PgDEF*CR-1 both displayed expected homeotic transformations of stamens to carpels, and petals to sepals. Notably, calyx inflation was unaffected even in the second whorls of *PgGLO1*CR-1 and *PgDEF*CR-1 where petals were converted to sepals (Figure 4D, E).

Fertility or signals from developing fruits have also been observed to be required for the initiation and progression of inflation, perhaps due to the activity and signaling of hormones such as cytokinin and gibberellin (He & Saedler, 2007). However, many of our MADS-box mutants with severe floral organ homeotic transformations also fail to self-fertilize, and have various degrees of defects in fruit development. That ICS is unaffected in these mutants provides compelling genetic evidence that ICS can be uncoupled from normal fertilization. In particular, both *PgDEF*CR-1 and *PgGLO1*CR-1 homozygous mutants cannot self-fertilize and form multiple small fruits without seeds due to homeotic transformations of stamens to carpels, yet the twin outer layers of sepals still form inflated calyces (Figure 4E). Moreover, in *PgTA1*CR-1 and *Pgtag1*CR-
mutants, which cannot self-fertilize and whose fruits arrest early in development or fail to form entirely, respectively, inflation remained intact (Figure 4E).

In summary, although earlier observations, hypotheses, and data suggested critical roles of several MADS-box genes in the evolution of ICS, our results show that calyx inflation is maintained in loss-of-function mutants of the *P. grisea* AG clade, SEP4 clade and B-class MADS-box transcription factor genes. These data further demonstrate that although fertilization signals or developing fruit may contribute to the regulation of calyx inflation, neither is absolutely required.

The *huskless* mutant, caused by a mutation in an AP2-like transcription factor, eliminates inflated calyx

Forward genetics is a powerful and unbiased approach to identify genes controlling traits of interest in model systems. We performed a small-scale ethyl methanesulphonate (EMS) mutagenesis screen in *P. grisea* to identify genes involved in calyx development (see Methods). A recessive mutant bearing fruits without husks was identified and named *huskless* (*hu*) (Figure 5A, B). Scanning electron microscope (SEM) imaging of dissected flower buds showed that *hu* mutants developed three floral whorls instead of four compared to WT (Figure 5C, D). To isolate the causative mutation, we sequenced genomic DNA from a pool of *hu* mutants and WT siblings from the original *P. grisea* mutagenesis (M2) family (see Methods). Aligning Illumina-sequenced reads to the *P. grisea* genome allowed screening for single nucleotide variants (SNVs) that were homozygous in the *hu* pool but not in the WT sibling pool. We scored these SNVs for predicted functional consequences on annotated gene transcripts using SnpEff (Cingolani et al., 2012). Out of eight such SNVs, one was a G-to-A mutation in a 3’ splice site of Phygri09g010120, which encodes an APETALA2 (AP2)-like transcription factor (Figure 5E; Supplemental Table S6). Co-segregation analysis in M3 families confirmed association of this mutation with the *hu* phenotype (Supplemental Table S7), and sequencing RT-PCR products of Phygri09g010120 from *hu* floral tissue showed mis-splicing in the 4th intron, resulting in partial skipping of exon 5 (Figure 5E). Importantly, independent CRISPR generated mutations of this AP2-like gene in *P. grisea* resulted in independent mutations that caused the same phenotype as *hu* (Figure 5F).
HU is the homolog of Petunia hybrida AP2B/BLIND ENHANCER (BEN) (Figure 5G), which specifies 2\textsuperscript{nd} and 3\textsuperscript{rd} floral whorl identity (Morel et al., 2017) with its redundant paralog BROTHER OF BEN (BOB). Petal development is strongly inhibited in ben bob double mutants, resulting in severely reduced or absent petals, and partial conversion of sepals into petals, resembling hu (Morel et al., 2017). Because the Petunia hybrida genome is highly fragmented (Bombarely et al., 2016), we performed a synteny analysis of the chromosomal segments containing BOB in P. grisea, P. pruinosa, and S. lycopersicum and found that this paralog of HU (BEN) is present in tomato but not in groundcherry (Figure 5H). Thus, hu emerged in our forward genetics mutagenesis screen, because the BOB ortholog and therefore redundancy is absent in P. grisea.

The first floral whorl of hu displays characteristics of both sepals and petals (Figure 5I, J). The whorl begins developing with green as the dominant color, like sepals, but gradually turns yellow as the flower matures, maintaining green color at organ tips. Nectar guides are also visible throughout development of the first whorl, indicative of early petal identity. After fertilization, the first whorl mildly increases in size but fails to fully inflate before gradually senescing as hu fruits develop into the size of WT fruits.

To characterize the role of HU in whorl identity and ICS, we profiled transcriptomes by RNA-seq from WT sepals and petals at two stages of organ maturation and compared them with corresponding stages of hu first whorls (Figure 5K, and Methods). Principal component analysis (PCA) revealed hu expression profiles (denoted as hu-PeSe) were positioned between the profiles of WT sepals and petals at both stages, supporting the mixed-organ identity observed phenotypically. Thus, the loss of the inflated calyx in hu mutants is from a failure to properly specify sepal and petal identity as opposed to directly disrupting a mechanistic origin of ICS. Our identification of hu through forward genetics exemplifies how presence-absence variation of paralogs can shape genetic redundancies and genotype-to-phenotype relationships in related lineages, and further illustrates the value of multiple related model systems.

DISCUSSION

Discoveries in plant development, cell biology, and genetics continue to depend on a limited number of model systems, often centered around Arabidopsis thaliana and its relatives in the Brassicaceae family (Chang et al., 2016). New models are essential to advance fundamental and
applied research beyond the small amount of biological diversity captured by current models. While additional model species have been proposed or are under development (Chang et al., 2016), most lack the powerful combination of efficient genomics and genetics. Moreover, emphasis is largely on neglected lineages and single representative species within them. An approach with complementary benefits relies on multiple models within a lineage to address often overlooked questions of species-specific and comparative evolutionary history over short time frames. The Solanaceae family is ideal in this regard, including: i) rich diversity throughout ~100 genera and more than 3000 species spanning ~30 million years of evolution; ii) broad agricultural importance from more than two dozen major and minor fruit and vegetable crops; and iii) feasibility of rapidly developing and integrating genome editing with reference and pangenome resources.

By establishing high-quality chromosome-scale assemblies for P. grisea and P. pruinosa, we developed these Physalis species as new models to advance Solanaceae systems with genomics and genetics. Most significantly, our integration of these resources revealed that the mechanisms underlying ICS remain elusive. Indeed, despite previous evidence suggesting otherwise, we conclude that none of the 11 candidate MADS-box genes we functionally characterized using genome editing, nor fertility alone, are core regulators of ICS. Our findings therefore force a reset in the search for the physiological, genetic, and molecular mechanistic origins of this evolutionary novelty. Though a logical starting point, the candidate gene approach based on MADS-box overexpression phenotypes in other species was prone to misleading hypotheses and false positives, likely due to the complex evolutionary history of the MADS-box family members and their even more complex genetic and physical interactions. Indeed, multiple MADS-box genes appear to be capable of mimicking ICS through overexpression, possibly due to coordinated activation of closely related paralogs and subsequent complex feedback regulation and interactions among other family members. This might suggest double and higher order mutants of these or other MADS-box genes not investigated here would ultimately perturb ICS, possibly reflecting a collective role of multiple family members acting redundantly or in a network. However, such a result would not necessarily indicate direct roles for these genes in the evolutionary steps leading to ICS.

Based on our genetics, we expect additional or other genes and molecular programs are central, and the tools established here provide the foundation to revisit ICS in an unbiased way.
ICS is a rapid and dynamic process, where extraordinary morphological changes in sepal growth and inflation occur within a few days. This suggests that the molecular events driving and responding to the inception of the transition from a non-inflated sepal whorl to active inflation may be short-lived, happening in the order of hours. We propose that the future dissection of ICS should be based on detailed and integrated temporal, morphological and molecular analyses to capture these transient events. A recent study in tomato took advantage of transcriptome profiling and computational ordering of hundreds of single shoot apical meristems to capture and reconstruct a highly detailed temporal gene expression map of the floral transition. These data revealed previously hidden gene, short-lived expression programs and several genes that function in parallel transient pathways critical to the floral transition process (Meir et al., 2021). With the new reference genomes and annotations of *P. grisea* and *P. pruinosa*, a similar approach can be applied to ICS, where large numbers of individual sepals can readily and reliably be harvested and profiled throughout calyx development. As opposed to focusing on entire floral buds (H. Gao et al., 2020), such high-resolution temporal transcriptome profiling of sepals alone would provide comprehensive and unbiased information regarding global and possibly gene-specific molecular signatures in the initiation and maintenance of inflation, and expose new candidates that can be studied using the integrated genomics and genome editing strategies demonstrated here.

Beyond floral development and ICS in *Physalis*, our work sets a high-quality anchor to broaden biological questions and discoveries in the *Solanaceae*, and further illustrates fast and efficient approaches to building new model systems. Establishing new pangenome and genome editing tools in many additional genera of *Solanaceae* and of other plant families will enable comparative genomic and genetic studies over both short and long evolutionary timescales.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant material, growth conditions and phenotyping**

Seeds of *Physalis grisea* and *Physalis pruinosa* were obtained from the Solanaceae Germplasm Bank at the Botanical Garden of Nijmegen and from commercial seed sources. Seeds were directly sown into soil (PRO-MIX BX Mycorrhizae Growing Mix) in 96-well plastic flats and grown in the greenhouse under long-day conditions (16-hr light/8-hr dark) supplemented with artificial light from high-pressure sodium bulbs (~250 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹). The temperature ranged...
from 26-28°C during the day to 18-20°C during the night, with a relative humidity of 40%-60%.

4-week old seedlings were transplanted to 4 L pots filled with soil (PRO-MIX HP Mycorrhizae Growing Mix) in the same greenhouse, or into the fields at Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory (CSHL) unless otherwise noted. The tomato mutant displaying enlarged fleshy sepals from Figure 4 was a gift from Dr. Dani Zamir, which arose from the whole genome backcross lines constructed from a cross between Solanum pimpinellifolium (LA1589) and Solanum lycopersicum inbred variety cv. E6203 (TA209) (Grandillo & Tanksley, 1996). Branching and internode length phenotypes were assayed in greenhouse-grown plants 2 months after sowing.

**Extraction of high-molecular weight DNA and long-read sequencing**

For long-read sequencing, shoot apices of 3-week old seedlings were harvested after a 48-h dark treatment. Extraction of high-molecular weight genomic DNA, construction of Oxford Nanopore Technology (ONT) libraries and PacBio HiFi libraries, and sequencing were described previously (Alonge et al., 2020, 2021). Hi-C experiments were conducted at Arima Genomics (San Diego, CA) from 2 g of flash-frozen leaf tissue.

*P. grisea* chloroplast and mitochondria genome assembly

To assemble the *P. grisea* chloroplast genome, all HiFi reads were aligned to the previously published Physalis chloroplast reference genome (GenBank ID MH019243.1) with Minimap2 (v2.17-r974-dirty, -k19 -w19) (H. Li, 2018). All reads with at least one primary alignment spanning at least 90% of the read were assembled with HiCanu (v2.0, genomeSize=155k) (Nurk et al., 2020). The three resulting HiCanu unitigs were aligned to themselves with Nucmer (v3.1, -maxmatch) (Kurtz et al., 2004) and manually joined to produce a single trimmed and circularized contig. The contig was rotated to start at the same position as the reference. Liftoff was used to annotate the *P. grisea* chloroplast genome (Shumate & Salzberg, 2021).

*P. grisea* mitochondrial contigs were extracted from the polished ONT Flye assembly (see below). To identify mitochondrial contigs, tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*), pepper (*Capsicum annuum*), tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*), and eggplant (*Solanum melongena*) mitochondrial transcript sequences (GenBank IDs NC_006581.1, NC_024624.1, NC_035963.1, and NC_050334.1, respectively) (Sugiyama et al., 2005) were extracted with gffread (G. Pertea & Pertea, 2020) and aligned to the ONT Flye assembly with Minimap2 (v2.17-r941, -x splice). For
each query transcriptome, any ONT contig shorter than 500 kbp with at least one alignment at least 100 bp long was considered, and any such contig identified by at least two query transcriptomes was labeled as mitochondrial. These contigs were aligned to the *P. grisea* chloroplast genome which indicated that they were all mitochondrial and not chloroplast sequences. These ONT mitochondrial sequences were aligned to the raw HiCanu contigs (see below) with Nucmer (v3.1, --maxmatch), and nine ONT contigs were manually replaced with two homologous HiCanu contigs. Liftoff was used to annotate the *P. grisea* mitochondrial genome using the *S. melongena* annotation as evidence.

**P. grisea genome assembly**

*P. grisea* HiFi reads were assembled with HiCanu (v2.0, genomeSize=1500m). *P. grisea* ONT reads at least 38 kbp long and with an average quality score of at least Q12 were assembled with Flye (v2.8.1-b1676, --genome-size 1.5g) (Kolmogorov et al., 2019). The Flye contigs were iteratively polished for two rounds with Freebayes (Garrison & Marth, 2012). 200,000,000 Illumina short reads (SRA ID SRR7066586) were randomly sampled with seqtk ([https://github.com/lh3/seqtk](https://github.com/lh3/seqtk)) and aligned to the Flye contigs with BWA-MEM (v0.7.17-r1198-dirty) (H. Li, 2013). Alignments were sorted and indexed with samtools [(Patro et al., 2017). Freebayes was used to call variants (v1.3.2-dirty, --skip-coverage 480) and polishing edits were incorporated with bcftools consensus (-i'QUAL>1 && (GT="AA" || GT="Aa")' -Hla) (Danecek et al., 2021).

The HiCanu contigs were aligned to the *P. grisea* chloroplast and mitochondria genomes with minimap2 (v2.17-r941, -x asm5), and any contigs covered more than 50% by alignments were removed. Potential bacterial contaminant sequences were screened out using a process similar to that used by the Vertebrate Genomes Project (Rhie et al., 2021). The HiCanu contigs were first masked with windowmasker (v1.0.0, -mk_counts -sformat obinary -genome_size 1448242897) (Morgulis et al., 2006). Then, the HiCanu contigs were aligned to all RefSeq bacterial genomes (downloaded on May 21, 2020) with BLAST (v2.5.0, -task megablast -outfmt "6 std score" -window_masker_db) (Altschul et al., 1990). Any contigs with at least one alignment with an E-value less than 0.0001, a score of at least 100, and a percent-identity of at least 98% were manually inspected, and one contig was removed. To remove potential false haplotypic duplication, HiFi reads were aligned to the screened contigs with Minimap2 (v2.17-
r941, -x asm5), and any contigs with at least 50% of the contig with less than 5X coverage were purged (Guan et al., 2020).

The screened and purged contigs were patched with Grafter (https://github.com/mkirsche/Grafter), a beta version of RagTag “patch” (Alonge et al., 2021). Polished ONT contigs were aligned to the HiCanu contigs with Nucmer (v3.1, -maxmatch -l 100 -c 500) and these alignments were used by Grafter to make patches (minq=0 min_weight_supp=10 min_weight=10). Patched contigs were then scaffolded with Bionano optical maps generated at the McDonnell Genome Institute at Washington University. Finally, chromosome-scale scaffolds were manually derived with Hi-C using Juicebox Assembly Tools (Dudchenko et al., 2018). To identify and correct potential misassemblies, HiFi and ONT reads were aligned to the scaffolds with Winnowmap (v1.11, -ax map-pb and -ax map-ont, respectively) and structural variants (SVs) were called with Sniffles (v1.0.12, -d 50 -n -1 -s 3) (Jain, Rhie, Zhang, et al., 2020). We removed any SVs with less than 30% of reads supporting the alternative (ALT) allele and we merged the filtered SV calls with Jasmine (v1.0.10, max_dist=500 spec_reads=3 --output_genotypes) (Kirsche et al., 2021). After merging and manually inspecting the SV calls, a total of four misassemblies were manually corrected. VecScreen did not identify any “strong” or “moderate” hits to the adaptor contamination database (ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pub/kitts/adaptors_for_screening_euks.fa) (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/vecscreen/). Finally, we removed any unplaced contigs shorter than 1 kbp. Mercury was used to compute QV and completeness metrics (k=21) (Rhie et al., 2020).

**P. pruinosa genome assembly**

The *P. pruinosa* genome was assembled just as the *P. grisea* genome, with the following distinctions. HiFi reads were assembled with Hifiasm instead of HiCanu (v0.13-r308, -l0) (Cheng et al., 2021). Also, neither a chloroplast nor a mitochondria genome was assembled for *P. pruinosa*. To screen organellar contigs, raw Hifiasm primary contigs were aligned to the *P. pruinosa* reference chloroplast genome (GenBank ID MH019243.1) and the *P. grisea* mitochondria genome. As with *P. grisea*, SVs were called to identify potential misassemblies, and no misassemblies were found in the *P. pruinosa* scaffolds.
Gene and repeat annotation

Raw RNASeq reads from *P. grisea* were assessed for quality using FastQC v0.11.9 (*FastQC*, 2015), and were then aligned to the *P. grisea* assembly using STAR aligner (Dobin et al., 2013). Finally, reference-based transcripts were assembled using StringTie v2.1.2 (M. Pertea et al., 2015). We used the portcullis v1.2.0 (Mapleson et al., 2018) method to filter out the invalid splice junctions from the bam alignments. Additionally, we lifted orthologs from the Heinz ITAG4.0 annotation (Hosmani et al., 2019) and the pangenome annotation (L. Gao et al., 2019) using the Liftoff v1.6.1(-exclude_partial -copies) (Shumate & Salzberg, 2021) pipeline. Structural gene annotations were then generated using the Mikado v2.0rc2 (Venturini et al., 2018) framework using the evidence set mentioned above following the Snakemake-based pipeline, [Daijin]. Functional annotation of the Mikado gene models was identified using the blastp alignments to uniprot/swissprot (Bairoch & Apweiler, 2000), TREMBL, Heinz ITAG4.0, and pan genome proteins database (L. Gao et al., 2019; Hosmani et al., 2019) and transferred using the AHRD pipeline (https://github.com/asishallab/AHRD). The *P. pruinosa* assembly was gene-annotated with Liftoff, using the *P. grisea* gene annotation as evidence (-copies). Transposable elements were annotated with EDTA (v1.9.6, --sensitive 1 --anno 1 --evaluate 1 --cds) (Ou et al., 2019). BUSCO was run on each genome assembly using the “embryophyta_odb10” lineage database (v5.0.0, -e 1e-05 --augustus --long) (Simão et al., 2015).

Structural variant detection

Structural variation between *P. grisea* and *P. pruinosa* was identified using the same pipeline used to identify structural variant-like misassemblies described above. However, instead of aligning *P. grisea* reads to the *P. grisea* assembly and *P. pruinosa* reads to the *P. pruinosa* assembly, *P. grisea* reads were aligned to the *P. pruinosa* assembly and *P. pruinosa* reads were aligned to the *P. grisea* assembly. Also, Winnowmap2 (v2.0) was used instead of Winnowmap for alignments (Jain, Rhie, Hansen, et al., 2020). SVs intersecting genomic features in Figure 1G were counted as previously described (Alonge et al., 2020) based on *P. grisea* annotation v1.3.0.

CRISPR-Cas9 mutagenesis, plant transformation, and selection of mutant alleles

CRISPR-Cas9 mutagenesis was performed following our protocol as previously described (Lemmon et al., 2018; Swartwood & Van Eck, 2019). Gene IDs related to this study are listed in
Supplemental Table S8. Briefly, guide RNAs (gRNAs) were designed to be used in the Golden Gate cloning system (all gRNAs used in this study are listed in Supplemental Table S9 and were assembled into Level 1 (L1) constructs under the control of the U6 promoter. L1 guide constructs were then assembled with Level 1 constructs pICH47732-NOSpro:NPTII and pICH47742-35Spro:Cas9 into the binary Level 2 vector pAGM4723. The final binary vectors were then transformed into groundcherry by Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transformation through tissue culture (Swartwood & Van Eck, 2019). Multiple independent first-generation transgenic plants (T0) were genotyped with specific primers surrounding the target sites. T0 plants were self-pollinated and the T1 generation was genotyped for the target genes and the presence or absence of the CRISPR-Cas9 transgene. We noticed that tissue culture and transformation resulted in a variable frequency of tetraploidy. All mutants were verified as homozygous or biallelic and having only mutant alleles.

Tissue collection, RNA extraction, RT-PCR and RT-qPCR

All tissues used were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen before RNA extraction. For the analysis of AN1 transcripts in P. grisea and P. pruinosa, young flower buds were harvested. For TAGL1 gene expression analysis in the tomato calyx mutant, developing sepals at the open flower stage were harvested. Sepal tissue from three different WT plants, and from four different mutant plants were assayed as three biological replicates and four biological replicates respectively. For the analysis of huskless (hu) and WT sepal gene expression profiles, the first whorl of hu, and WT sepals and petals at the stages shown in Figure 5K were harvested. Total RNA was extracted with the Zymo Research Quick-RNA Microprep kit following the manufacturer’s protocol. cDNA synthesis was performed using SuperScript IV VILO Master Mix (Thermal Fisher) with 500 ng to 1,500 ng total RNA input. RT-PCR was performed with KOD One™ PCR Master Mix and primers listed in Supplemental Table S10. RT-qPCR was performed using Fast SYBR™ Green Master Mix with primers listed in Supplemental Table S10 on the Applied Biosystems™ QuantStudio 6 system.

Transcriptome analysis of huskless and WT

RNA-seq and differentially expressed genes (DEGs) analyses were performed as previously described with slight modification (Kwon et al., 2022). Briefly, the libraries for RNA-seq were
prepared by the KAPA mRNA HyperPrep Kit (Roche). Paired-end 150-base sequencing was conducted on the Illumina sequencing platform (NextSeq, High-Output). Reads for WT and hu were trimmed by quality using Trimmomatic (Bolger et al., 2014) (v.0.39, parameters: ILLUMINACLIP:TruSeq3-PE-2.fa:2:40:15:1:FALSE LEADING:30 TRAILING:30 MINLEN:50) and quantified to the reference transcriptome assembly of P. grisea v1.3.2 using Salmon v1.4.0 (Patro et al., 2017). Quantification results from Salmon were imported into R using tximport v1.24.0 (Soneson et al., 2016). PCA analysis of samples were performed and plotted using DEseq2 v1.36.0 (Love et al., 2014) and pcaExplorer v2.22.0 (Marini & Binder, 2019) with counts of the top 3000 variable genes.

**Mapping of the yellow nectar guide variant**

The yellow-guide trait displayed classical patterns of Mendelian inheritance of a single recessive gene in the F1 and F2 populations from the cross between P. grisea and P. pruinosa. A bulk segregant analysis (BSA) was performed using 20 plants from each of the yellow-guide pool and purple-guide pool in the F2 segregating population. All reads were assessed for overall quality by FastQC v0.11.9 (FastQC, 2015). Read mapping, variant calling, and SNP-index calculation of the Illumina reads from each pool was done by QTL-seq v2.2.2 (Takagi et al., 2013). Parameters used for the sliding window SNP-index calculation by the qtlplot command were -n1 20 -n2 20 -F 2 -D 250 -d 5 -w 1000 -s 50. The calculated SNP-index in each sliding window was imported into R (R Core Team, 2020) for the final plot.

**EMS mutagenesis and mutant screening in P. grisea**

A small-scale EMS mutagenesis was performed using approximately 1500 P. grisea seeds (measured by weight). Seeds were soaked in distilled water overnight and then treated with 0.2% EMS (ethyl methanesulfonate, Sigma Aldrich) for 6 h. After treatment, seeds were washed with distilled water thoroughly and sowed into 96-well flats. 4-week-old seedlings were then transplanted into the field. When harvesting, fruits from every four M1 plants were bulk harvested into one group. For mutant screening, 80 groups of M2s were sowed, transplanted, and screened for sepal related phenotypes.

**Mapping of huskless**
Three *huskless* phenotype plants were identified from the same group. The pooled DNA from the three mutants, and the pooled DNA from 30 WT-looking siblings from the same group, were obtained by CTAB extraction methods. Libraries were prepared for sequencing using the Kapa Hyper PCR-free Kit and sequenced on Illumina Nextseq (PE150, high output). All reads were assessed for overall quality by FastQC v0.11.9 (*FastQC*, 2015), and trimmed with Trimmomatic v0.39 (Bolger et al., 2014) with parameters ILLUMINACLIP:TruSeq3-PE.fa:2:40:15:1:FALSE LEADING:30 TRAILING:30 MINLEN:75 TOPHRED33. Trimmed paired reads were mapped to the reference *P. grisea* genome using BWA-MEM (H. Li, 2013). Alignments were then sorted with samtools (H. Li et al., 2009) and duplicates marked with PicardTools (Picard Toolkit, 2019). Variants were called with freebayes (Garrison & Marth, 2012) and filtered with VCFtools (Danecek et al., 2011) for SNPs with minimum read depth of 3 and minimum quality value of 20. SNPs that are homozygous in the mutant pool but not homozygous in the WT sibling pool were analyzed for effects on transcripts with snpEff (Cingolani et al., 2012) with *P. grisea* annotation v1.3.0.

**Molecular phylogenetic analyses**

In order to determine the phylogenetic relationship between the eleven selected *Solanaceae* species, eighteen genomes were used to define orthogroups by Conservatory (Hendelman et al., 2021). Protein sequences of the twenty most conserved orthogroups genes were aligned with MAFFT (v7.487) FFT-NS-2 (Katoh & Standley, 2013) (see *Supplemental Data Set S6*), before constructing the tree by IQ-tree with the following parameters -st AA -b 100 -pers 0.5 –wbtl (Minh et al., 2020). For the phylogenetic analysis of AP2-like proteins, protein sequences of the orthologs were retrieved from *P. grisea*, *S. lycopersicum* and *P. axillaris* by BLAST (Altschul et al., 1990). Protein sequences (see *Supplemental Data Set S7*) were imported in MEGA 11 (Tamura et al., 2021) and aligned with MUSCLE (default parameters). The tree was constructed using the maximum likelihood method and JTT matrix-based model. Bootstrap values (%) based on 500 replicates are indicated near the branching points; branches below 50% have been collapsed. Alignment and tree files are provided as *Supplemental Files S1 and S2*.

**Synteny analysis at the SlBOB locus**
Because the scaffold quality of the *P. axillaris* genome in the vicinity of *BOB* was suboptimal, we used SL4.0 with the *P. grisea* genome for the analysis. A BLAST search using Petunia *BOB* and *SlBOB* cDNA query sequences against the *P. grisea* genome failed to retrieve a high-confidence hit other than Phygr09g010120, which is the *BEN* ortholog. BLAST search of genes upstream and downstream of *SlBOB* located their syntenic regions in the *P. grisea* genome.

Genomic sequences with annotations from Solyc10g084240 ~ Solyc10g084420, and from Phygr10g011780 ~ Phygr10g011960 were used in clinker v0.0.23 (Gilchrist & Chooi, 2021) to generate gene translation alignments and visualizations.

**Accession numbers**

Genome assemblies and annotations are available at https://github.com/pan-sol/pan-sol-data/tree/main/Physalis. Raw sequence data from this article can be found in Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under the BioProject PRJNA862958.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

**Figure 1. Reference-quality genome assemblies of** \( P. \text{grisea} \) and \( P. \text{pruinosa} \).

A. Phylogeny of selected *Solanaceae* species based on the 20 most conserved protein sequences (see Methods). B. Whole plant images of \( P. \text{grisea} \) and \( P. \text{pruinosa} \) 40 d after sowing (DAS) in greenhouse conditions. Bar = 10 cm. C. Sympodial shoot architectures of \( P. \text{grisea} \) and \( P. \text{pruinosa} \). Quantification of internode lengths is in Supplemental Data Set S1. Bar = 5 cm. D. Images of \( P. \text{grisea} \) and \( P. \text{pruinosa} \) calyces and fruits at different stages of development. Husks were manually opened to show fruits. Bar = 2 cm. E. Circos plots comparing \( P. \text{grisea} \) and \( P. \text{pruinosa} \) genomes. Circos quantitative tracks are summed in 100-kbp windows and show the number of genes (lower tick = 0, middle tick = 25, higher tick = 49), LTR retrotransposons (lower tick = 0, middle tick = 102, higher tick = 204) and SVs (lower tick = 0, middle tick = 4, higher tick = 9). The inner ribbon track shows whole genome alignments, with blue indicating forward-strand alignments and red indicating reverse-strand alignments (inversions). Darker colors indicate alignment boundaries. F. Distribution of deletion and insertion SVs between 30 bp and 10 kbp from \( P. \text{pruinosa} \) compared to \( P. \text{grisea} \), summed in 200-bp windows. G. Counts of SVs intersecting genomic features, comparing \( P. \text{pruinosa} \) to \( P. \text{grisea} \).
Figure 2. Loss of purple pigmentation in *P. pruinosa* is due to an intronic SV in the bHLH transcription factor gene *ANTHOCYANIN1*.

A. Images showing the difference in pigmentation between *P. grisea* and *P. pruinosa*. Arrows point to purple (*P. grisea*) compared to yellow (*P. pruinosa*) pigmentation on stems and flowers. Top bars = 1 cm; bottom bars = 2 mm. B. Mapping by sequencing showing the ΔSNP-index across all twelve chromosomes using *P. grisea* as the reference, with SNP ratios between yellow-guide and the purple-guide pools from an interspecific F2 population. Yellow line: 95% confidence interval cut-offs of ΔSNP-index. C. Simplified pathway of anthocyanin biosynthesis based on data from petunia. Major transcriptional and enzymatic regulators are shown as abbreviations. PAL: Phenylalanine Ammonialyase; C4H: Cinnamate 4-Hydroxylase; 4CL: 4-Coumaroyl-CoA ligase; CHS: Chalcone Synthase; CHI: Chalcone Isomerase; F3H: Flavanone 3-hydroxylase; F3’H: Flavonoid 3’-hydroxylase; AN1: ANTHOCYANIN 1; AN2: ANTHOCYANIN 2; AN11: ANTHOCYANIN 11; DFR: Dihydroflavonol Reductase; ANS: Anthocyanin Synthase. Dashed lines indicate multiple steps condensed. Bold red font indicates components of the MYB-bHLH-WD40 (MBW) complex that transcriptionally activates late biosynthetic genes. D. Top: The ΔSNP-index plot for chromosome 4. The black arrow points to the genomic location of the *AN1* candidate gene. Bottom: a composite of Illumina mapped-reads from *P. pruinosa* at the 2nd intron of *AN1* showing a 43-bp deletion in all *PpAN1* (*Phypru04g010390*) sequences. In all gene models (including later figures), deep blue boxes, black lines, and light blue boxes represent exonic, intronic, and untranslated regions, respectively. E. Molecular consequences of the 43-bp intronic deletion in *PpAN1* revealed by RT-PCR and sequencing. Red arrows indicate the forward and reverse RT-PCR primers. Longer amplicons and thus *AN1* transcripts from both the yellow-guide F2 bulk pool and *P. pruinosa* were identified by agarose gel electrophoresis. Sanger sequencing revealed the inclusion of a 179-bp fragment of intron 2 in the *PpAN1* amplicon, resulting in a premature stop codon. Red box reflects intronic sequence retained in the transcript. Black asterisk, premature stop. F. Loss of purple pigmentation in CRISPR edited *PgAN1* T0 plants. Left bar = 2 cm; right bar = 5 mm. G. CRISPR-Cas9 generated mutant alleles from the yellow T0 chimeric plants are shown. Red dashed lines represent deletions. The red bold letter indicates a single nucleotide insertion.
Figure 3. CRISPR-Cas9 generated mutants of the MADS-box genes *PgMPF2* and *PgMPF3* do not prevent ICS.

A. Images showing sequential stages of ICS in *P. grisea* from early flower formation to calyx inflation over 3 d. Bar = 10 mm. B. Multiple, independently derived null alleles in *PgMPF2* and *PgMPF3*. Red boxes and lines, deletions; black asterisks, stop codons. Three alleles of *PgMPF2* (*Pgmpf2CR-1*, *Pgmpf2CR-2*, *Pgmpf2CR-3*) with different mutations in exon 3 result in the same premature stop codon. Specific mutations for all alleles are shown in **Supplemental Figure S2** and in **Supplemental Data Set S3**. C-G. Phenotypes of *Pgmpf2* and *Pgmpf3* null mutants. All homozygous mutants independently derived alleles showed the same phenotypes, and *Pgmpf2CR-5* and *Pgmpf3CR-1* were used as references for phenotypic analyses. C. Calyx inflation is not disrupted in *Pgmpf2* and *Pgmpf3* mutants. Representative images from *Pgmpf2CR-5* and *Pgmpf3CR-1* are shown. The leaf-like sepal tip of *Pgmpf3CR-1* is indicated by the red arrow. Bar = 10 mm. D and E. Shoot branching phenotype of *Pgmpf3CR-1* compared to WT. A typical sympodial unit of WT *Physalis* consists of one leaf, one flower and two side shoots. *Pgmpf3* mutants develop mostly three side shoots. Bar = 10 mm. (E). Branches are indicated by red arrows in representative images. F. Quantification of branching in WT, *Pgmpf2* and *Pgmpf3* shown as stacked bar charts. Branching counts are shown in **Supplemental Data Set S4**. G. Quantification of calyx height/width ratio in WT, *Pgmpf2* and *Pgmpf3*. Raw measurements are shown in **Supplemental Data Set S5**. Statistical significance determined by two-tailed, two-sample *t*-tests, and *p* values are shown. H. Calyx inflation is not disrupted in *Pgmpf2 Pgmpf3* double mutants. Two allelic combinations in double mutants of *Pgmpf2 Pgmpf3* (*a1* and *a2*) displayed the same phenotype, and allele *a2* was used as reference in the image shown. Bar = 10 mm.

Figure 4. CRISPR-Cas9 generated mutations in eight additional candidate MADS-box genes do not disrupt ICS.

A. Overexpression of *SlTAGL1* caused by a transposable element insertion (see **Methods**) results in an enlarged calyx in tomato, mimicking ICS and presenting another candidate MADS-box gene. Left: image of calyx phenotype from the *SlTAGL1* mutant. Bar = 10 mm. Right, top: gene model of *SlTAGL1* with the transposon insertion (black triangle) identified by genome sequencing. Right, bottom: RT-qPCR on cDNA derived from young sepals showing
overexpression of SITAGL1 in the mutant. Sepal tissue from three WT plants, and from four
mutant plants were assayed (see methods); each data point represents one technical replicate. B.
Mutations in PgTAGL1 and PgTAG1 cause homeotic transformations of stamens to petal-like
organs but do not disrupt ICS. Middle image: representative calyx phenotypes at different
developmental stages. Bar = 10 mm. Right image: single organs from the 3rd floral whorl. Bar =
2 mm. C. Mutations in three SEP4 homologs do not disrupt ICS. Bar = 10 mm. D. Mutations in
multiple B-function MADS-box genes do not disrupt ICS. Bar = 10 mm. E. ICS still occurs in
mutants with fertilization defects or those that fail to produce fruits. Mutations in PgTAG1,
PgTAGL1, PgDEF, and PgGlo1 cause homeotic transformations of floral organs that abolish
self-fertilization, but ICS is preserved. Bar = 5 mm.

Figure 5. The huskless mutant lacks an inflated calyx due to mutation of an AP2-like
transcription factor. A-D. Phenotypes of the EMS-derived huskless (hu) mutant. A and B.
Images of WT and the hu mutant displaying the loss of calyx phenotype at the mature green fruit
stage. Bar = 1 cm. C and D. Longitudinal SEM images of developing flowers of WT and hu
showing hu mutants develop only three floral whorls compared to four in WT. The first whorl of
hu flowers shows hallmarks of sepal and petal identity. Se: sepal; Pe: petal; St: stamen; Ca:
Carpel. Bar = 0.5 mm. E. Gene model showing the G-to-A point mutation causing partial
skipping of exon 5 in the AP2-like transcription factor gene Phygr09g010120. Blue-colored
nucleotides represent exonic sequences; red boxes indicate 3’ splice sites in WT and hu. F.
CRISPR-Cas9 generated mutations in Phygr09g010120. Top: gene models showing three
independent CRISPR null alleles of hu. Sequences 3’ of the 3rd intron are omitted. huCR-1 is
homozygous for allele 1 (a1). Bottom: images of huCR-1 flower phenotype. Bar = 2 mm. G.
Maximum likelihood consensus tree of the TOE-type euAP2 proteins from A. thaliana (gene
names in green), P. axillaris (Peaxi IDs in purple), S. lycopersicum (Solyc IDs in red), and P.
grisea (Phygri IDs in black). Bootstrap values (%) based on 500 replicates are indicated near the
branching points; branches below 50% have been collapsed. H. Local synteny analysis between
S. lycopersicum and P. grisea showing the absence of the Solyc10g084340 orthologue (petunia
BOB orthologue) in P. grisea. Arrows indicate genes and orientations. Protein identity
percentages between orthologues are indicated by ribbon shades in grayscale; only links above
80% identity are shown. I and J. Series of images of WT and hu developing flowers from before
anthesis through early fruit development. Bar = 5 mm. **K.** Principal component analysis (PCA) of WT and *hu* RNA-seq data. Right image: visual reference of the two stages used for expression profiling from WT and *hu* floral whorls. Numbers (-1 or -2) in the sample groups represent stage 1 or 2; petal or sepal whorls in WT are denoted as Pe, Se respectively; PeSe represents the merged outer whorl in *hu*. The top 3000 differentially expressed genes were used for PCA. Bar = 5 mm.
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Figure 1. Reference-quality genome assemblies of *P. grisea* and *P. pruinosa*.

A. Phylogeny of selected Solanaceae species based on the 20 most conserved protein sequences (see Methods). B. Whole plant images of *P. grisea* and *P. pruinosa* 40 d after sowing (DAS) in greenhouse conditions. Bar = 10 cm. C. 

E. Assembly size: 1.37 Gb, Merqury QV: 55.1, k-mer completeness: 98.9%, Genes: 33833

F. Structural Variants between *P. grisea* and *P. pruinosa*

G. Structural Variants intersecting genomic features (*P. grisea* as reference)
Sympodial shoot architectures of *P. grisea* and *P. pruinosa*. Quantification of internode lengths is in Supplemental Data Set S1. Bar = 5 cm. D. Images of *P. grisea* and *P. pruinosa* calyces and fruits at different stages of development. Husks were manually opened to show fruits. Bar = 2 cm. E. Circos plots comparing *P. grisea* and *P. pruinosa* genomes. Circos quantitative tracks are summed in 100-kbp windows and show the number of genes (lower tick = 0, middle tick = 25, higher tick = 49), LTR retrotransposons (lower tick = 0, middle tick = 102, higher tick = 204) and SVs (lower tick = 0, middle tick = 4, higher tick = 9). The inner ribbon track shows whole genome alignments, with blue indicating forward-strand alignments and red indicating reverse-strand alignments (inversions). Darker colors indicate alignment boundaries. F. Distribution of deletion and insertion SVs between 30 bp and 10 kbp from *P. pruinosa* compared to *P. grisea*, summed in 200-bp windows. G. Counts of SVs intersecting genomic features, comparing *P. pruinosa* to *P. grisea*. 
Figure 2. Loss of purple pigmentation in *P. pruinosa* is due to an intronic SV in the bHLH transcription factor gene *ANTHOCYANIN1*.
A. Images showing the difference in pigmentation between *P. grisea* and *P. pruinosa*. Arrows point to purple (*P. grisea*) compared to yellow (*P. pruinosa*) pigmentation on stems and flowers. Top bars = 1 cm; bottom bars = 2 mm. B. Mapping by sequencing showing the ΔSNP-index across all twelve chromosomes using *P. grisea* as the reference, with SNP ratios between yellow-guide and the purple-guide pools from an interspecific F2 population. Yellow line: 95% confidence interval cut-offs of ΔSNP-index. C. Simplified pathway of anthocyanin biosynthesis based on data from petunia. Major transcriptional and enzymatic regulators are shown as abbreviations. PAL: Phenylalanine Ammonialyase; C4H: Cinnamate 4-Hydroxylase; 4CL: 4-Coumaroyl-CoA ligase; CHS: Chalcone Synthase; CHI: Chalcone Isomerase; F3H: Flavanone 3-hydroxylase; F3′H: Flavonoid 3′-hydroxylase; AN1: ANTHOCYANIN 1; AN2: ANTHOCYANIN 2; AN11: ANTHOCYANIN 11; DFR: Dihydroflavonol Reductase; ANS: Anthocyanin Synthase. Dashed lines indicate multiple steps condensed. Bold red font indicates components of the MYB-bHLH-WD40 (MBW) complex that transcriptionally activates late biosynthetic genes. D. Top: The ΔSNP-index plot for chromosome 4. The black arrow points to the genomic location of the AN1 candidate gene. Bottom: a composite of Illumina mapped-reads from *P. pruinosa* at the 2nd intron of AN1 showing a 43-bp deletion in all *PpAN1* (*Phypru04g010390*) sequences. In all gene models (including later figures), deep blue boxes, black lines, and light blue boxes represent exonic, intronic, and untranslated regions, respectively. E. Molecular consequences of the 43-bp intronic deletion in *PpAN1* revealed by RT-PCR and sequencing. Red arrows indicate the forward and reverse RT-PCR primers. Longer amplicons and thus AN1 transcripts from both the yellow-guide F2 bulk pool and *P. pruinosa* were identified by agarose gel electrophoresis. Sanger sequencing revealed the inclusion of a 179-bp fragment of intron 2 in the *PpAN1* amplicon, resulting in a premature stop codon. Red box reflects intronic sequence retained in the transcript. Black asterisk, premature stop. F. Loss of purple pigmentation in CRISPR edited *PgAN1* T0 plants. Left bar = 2 cm; right bar = 5 mm. G. CRISPR-Cas9 generated mutant alleles from the yellow T0 chimeric plants are shown. Red dashed lines represent deletions. The red bold letter indicates a single nucleotide insertion.
Figure 3. CRISPR-Cas9 generated mutants of the MADS-box genes *PgMPF2* and *PgMPF3* do not prevent ICS.

A. Images showing sequential stages of ICS in *P. grisea* from early flower formation to calyx inflation over 3 d. Bar = 10 mm. B. Multiple, independently derived null alleles in *PgMPF2* and *PgMPF3*. Red boxes and lines, deletions; black
asterisks, stop codons. Three alleles of *PgMPF2* (*Pgmpf2^{CR-1}, Pgmpf2^{CR-2}, Pgmpf2^{CR-3}*) with different mutations in exon 3 result in the same premature stop codon. Specific mutations for all alleles are shown in Supplemental Figure S2 and in Supplemental Data Set S3. C-G. Phenotypes of *Pgmpf2* and *Pgmpf3* null mutants. All homozygous mutants independently derived alleles showed the same phenotypes, and *Pgmpf2^{CR-5} and Pgmpf3^{CR-1} were used as references for phenotypic analyses. C. Calyx inflation is not disrupted in *Pgmpf2* and *Pgmpf3* mutants. Representative images from *Pgmpf2^{CR-5} and Pgmpf3^{CR-1} are shown. The leaf-like sepal tip of *Pgmpf3^{CR-1} is indicated by the red arrow. Bar = 10 mm. D and E. Shoot branching phenotype of *Pgmpf3^{CR-1} compared to WT. A typical sympodial unit of WT *Physalis* consists of one leaf, one flower and two side shoots. *Pgmpf3* mutants develop mostly three side shoots. Bar = 10 mm. (E). Branches are indicated by red arrows in representative images. F. Quantification of branching in WT, *Pgmpf2* and *Pgmpf3* shown as stacked bar charts. Branching counts are shown in Supplemental Data Set S4. G. Quantification of calyx height/width ratio in WT, *Pgmpf2* and *Pgmpf3*. Raw measurements are shown in Supplemental Data Set S5. Statistical significance determined by two-tailed, two-sample t-tests, and p values are shown. H. Calyx inflation is not disrupted in *Pgmpf2* *Pgmpf3* double mutants. Two allelic combinations in double mutants of *Pgmpf2* *Pgmpf3* (*a1 and a2*) displayed the same phenotype, and allele *a2* was used as reference in the image shown. Bar = 10 mm.
Figure 4. CRISPR-Cas9 generated mutations in eight additional candidate MADS-box genes do not disrupt ICS.

A. Overexpression of \textit{SITAGL1} caused by a transposable element insertion (see Methods) results in an enlarged calyx in tomato, mimicking ICS and presenting another candidate MADS-box gene. Left: image of calyx phenotype from the \textit{SITAGL1} mutant. Bar = 10 mm. Right, top: gene model of \textit{SITAGL1} with the transposon insertion (black triangle) identified by genome sequencing. Right, bottom: RT-qPCR on cDNA derived from young sepals showing overexpression of \textit{SITAGL1} in the mutant. Sepal tissue from three WT plants, and from four mutant plants were assayed (see methods); each data point represents one technical replicate.

B. Mutations in \textit{PgTAGL1} and \textit{PgTAG1} cause homeotic transformations of stamens to petal-like organs but do not disrupt ICS. Middle image: representative calyx phenotypes at different developmental stages. Bar = 10 mm. Right image: single organs from the 3rd floral whorl. Bar = 2 mm.

C. Mutations in three \textit{SEP4} homologs do not disrupt ICS. Bar = 10 mm.

D. Mutations in multiple B-function MADS-box genes do not disrupt ICS. Bar = 10 mm. 

E. ICS still occurs in mutants with fertilization defects or those that fail to produce fruits. Mutations in \textit{PgTAG1}, \textit{PgTAGL1}, \textit{PgDEF}, and \textit{PgGlo1} cause homeotic transformations of floral organs that abolish self-fertilization, but ICS is preserved. Bar = 5 mm.
Figure 5. The *huskless* mutant lacks an inflated calyx due to mutation of an AP2-like transcription factor. A-D. Phenotypes of the EMS-derived *huskless* (*hu*) mutant. A and B. Images of WT and the *hu* mutant displaying the loss
of calyx phenotype at the mature green fruit stage. Bar = 1 cm. C and D. Longitudinal SEM images of developing flowers of WT and hu showing hu mutants develop only three floral whorls compared to four in WT. The first whorl of hu flowers shows hallmarks of sepal and petal identity. Se: sepal; Pe: petal; St: stamen; Ca: Carpel. Bar = 0.5 mm. E. Gene model showing the G-to-A point mutation causing partial skipping of exon 5 in the AP2-like transcription factor gene Phygr09g010120. Blue-colored nucleotides represent exonic sequences; red boxes indicate 3’ splice sites in WT and hu. F. CRISPR-Cas9 generated mutations in Phygr09g010120. Top: gene models showing three independent CRISPR null alleles of hu. Sequences 3’ of the 3rd intron are omitted. hu<sup>CR-1</sup> is homozygous for allele 1 (a1). Bottom: images of hu<sup>CR-1</sup> flower phenotype. Bar = 2 mm. G. Maximum likelihood consensus tree of the TOE-type euAP2 proteins from A. thaliana (gene names in green), P. axillaris (Peaxi IDs in purple), S. lycopersicum (Solyc IDs in red), and P. grisea (Phygr IDs in black). Bootstrap values (%) based on 500 replicates are indicated near the branching points; branches below 50% have been collapsed. H. Local synteny analysis between S. lycopersicum and P. grisea showing the absence of the Solyc10g084340 orthologue (petunia BOB orthologue) in P. grisea. Arrows indicate genes and orientations. Protein identity percentages between orthologues are indicated by ribbon shades in grayscale; only links above 80% identity are shown. I and J. Series of images of WT and hu developing flowers from before anthesis through early fruit development. Bar = 5 mm. K. Principal component analysis (PCA) of WT and hu RNA-seq data. Right image: visual reference of the two stages used for expression profiling from WT and hu floral whorls. Numbers (-1 or -2) in the sample groups represent stage 1 or 2; petal or sepal whorls in WT are denoted as Pe, Se respectively; PeSe represents the merged outer whorl in hu. The top 3000 differentially expressed genes were used for PCA. Bar = 5 mm.


