Senescence induction dictates response to chemo- and immunotherapy in preclinical models of ovarian cancer
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Over 70% of women diagnosed with high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma (HGSOC) succumb to their disease, making it the deadliest gynecological cancer (1). The standard of care for most patients consists of surgical debulking and platinum/taxane-based chemotherapy, though responses are typically transient, and resistance invariably emerges. Despite recent advances in targeted therapies such as poly (adenosine diphosphate [ADP]-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitors and antiangiogenic therapies, survival has only marginally improved in the past 30 y (1). Moreover, immune checkpoint blockade (ICB), which has revolutionized the treatment of several cancer types (2–4), shows only modest results in HGSOC (5–7). Yet, little is known about molecular mechanisms that dictate response or resistance to any of these modalities.

HGSOC can be divided into specific subtypes that exhibit distinct clinical behaviors (8). The disease is characterized by an almost universal appearance of TP53 mutations and an unusually high rate of copy number alterations (CNAs) (9) that target a range of known oncogenic events such as gains of the oncogene MYC. Moreover, tumors also harbor inactivating mutations in genes important for homologous recombination (HR) DNA repair, most commonly in BRCA1 and BRCA2 (9), which display an even greater degree of genomic rearrangements than HR-proficient tumors (10). HR deficiency sensitizes ovarian tumors to platinum-based therapies and PARP inhibitors (11) and, in other cancers, appears to sensitize tumors to immunotherapy (12). Senescence induction dictates response to conventional chemotherapy and immune checkpoint blockade. Our results identify a genotype-dependent therapy-induced senescence program that mediates sensitivity and resistance to first line chemotherapy and point to strategies to harness the senescence program to sensitize ovarian tumors to immune checkpoint blockade.

Over 70% of women diagnosed with high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma (HGSOC) succumb to their disease, making it the deadliest gynecological cancer (1). The standard of care for most patients consists of surgical debulking and platinum/taxane-based chemotherapy, though responses are typically transient, and resistance invariably emerges. Despite recent advances in targeted therapies such as poly (adenosine diphosphate [ADP]-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitors and antiangiogenic therapies, survival has only marginally improved in the past 30 y (1). Moreover, immune checkpoint blockade (ICB), which has revolutionized the treatment of several cancer types (2–4), shows only modest results in HGSOC (5–7). Yet, little is known about molecular mechanisms that dictate response or resistance to any of these modalities.

HGSOC can be divided into specific subtypes that exhibit distinct clinical behaviors (8). The disease is characterized by an almost universal appearance of TP53 mutations and an unusually high rate of copy number alterations (CNAs) (9) that target a range of known oncogenic events such as gains of the oncogene MYC. Moreover, tumors also harbor inactivating mutations in genes important for homologous recombination (HR) DNA repair, most commonly in BRCA1 and BRCA2 (9), which display an even greater degree of genomic rearrangements than HR-proficient tumors (10). HR deficiency sensitizes ovarian tumors to platinum-based therapies and PARP inhibitors (11) and, in other cancers, appears to sensitize tumors to immunotherapy (12). Senescence induction dictates response to conventional chemotherapy and immune checkpoint blockade. Our results identify a genotype-dependent therapy-induced senescence program that mediates sensitivity and resistance to first line chemotherapy and point to strategies to harness the senescence program to sensitize ovarian tumors to immune checkpoint blockade.

High-grade serous ovarian carcinoma (HGSOC) is a cancer with dismal prognosis due to the limited effectiveness of existing chemo- and immunotherapies. To elucidate mechanisms mediating sensitivity or resistance to these therapies, we developed a fast and flexible autotchonous mouse model based on somatic introduction of HGSOC-associated genetic alterations into the ovary of immunocompetent mice using tissue electroporation. Tumors arising in these mice recapitulate the metastatic patterns and histological, molecular, and treatment response features of the human disease. By leveraging these models, we show that the ability to undergo senescence underlies the clinically observed increase in sensitivity of homologous recombination (HR)-deficient HGSOC tumors to platinum-based chemotherapy. Further, cGas/STING-mediated activation of a restricted senescence-associated secretory phenotype (SASP) was sufficient to induce immune infiltration and sensitize HR-deficient tumors to immune checkpoint blockade. In sum, our study identifies senescence propensity as a predictor of therapy response and defines a limited SASP profile that appears sufficient to confer added vulnerability to concurrent immunotherapy and, more broadly, provides a blueprint for the implementation of electroporation-based mouse models to reveal mechanisms of oncogenesis and therapy response in HGSOC.
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immuno-modulating agents, but it is unclear to what extent this process plays a role in HGSOC (12, 13). Clearly, a better understanding of the biological and molecular mechanisms responsible for genotype-response patterns would enable existing therapies to be used more effectively and facilitate development of novel strategies to overcome resistance.

Relating clinical observations to mechanisms requires the availability of accurate model systems. However, until recently, models that faithfully recapitulate the heterogeneity of human HGSOC have been limited. Genetically engineered mouse models (GEMMs), which are generated by intercrossing a series of tissue-specific and/or conditional alleles and result in production of autochthonous tumors, have helped elucidate the consequences of cancer-associated mutations on HGSOC tumorigenesis (14). While such autochthonous models are powerful, they are time consuming, expensive, and the specific requirement for female mice leads to substantial animal waste. Consequently, it is impractical to develop animal cohorts of sufficient size and genotypic diversity for rapid and rigorous mechanistic and preclinical studies. Recently, both patient and murine HGSOC organoid models covering a range of genomic configurations have been developed, which enable perturbations in vitro or following orthotopic transplantation in vivo (15–19). However, these systems also have limitations: the human models cannot be studied in the presence of the intact immune system and the murine models that employ in vitro transformed cells do not undergo immunoediting and lack other microenvironmental factors that shape tumor development in vivo (20, 21).

Considering the need for more accurate and facile autochthonous models, we combined CRISPR genome engineering approaches with transposon/transposase-based systems and in vivo organ electroporation (EPO-GEMM) to model HGSOC in mice. The EPO-GEMM approach allows the study of autochthonous tumors in an immune-competent background while overcoming the logistical disadvantages of traditional GEMMs. Using this approach, we developed genetically and histopathologically accurate models of HGSOC and use them to gain mechanistic insights into genotype-dependent therapy responses to chemo- and immunotherapies.

**Results**

**Somatic Introduction of Oncogenic Lesions Generates High-Grade Serous Ovarian Carcinoma.** To develop murine genotypically diverse models of HGSOC, we optimized methods to introduce genetic elements into the ovary by direct tissue electroporation. Briefly, the ovary is surgically exposed and injected with plasmid DNA encoding CRISPR-Cas9 constructs and/or a transposon vector to enable introduction of diverse genetic elements into the ovary by direct tissue electroporation.

**Briefly, the ovary is surgically exposed and injected with plasmid DNA encoding CRISPR-Cas9 constructs and/or a transposon vector to enable introduction of diverse genetic elements into the ovary by direct tissue electroporation.**
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**Results**

**Somatic Introduction of Oncogenic Lesions Generates High-Grade Serous Ovarian Carcinoma.** To develop murine genotypically diverse models of HGSOC, we optimized methods to introduce genetic elements into the ovary by direct tissue electroporation. Briefly, the ovary is surgically exposed and injected with plasmid DNA encoding CRISPR-Cas9 constructs and/or a transposon vector to enable introduction of diverse genetic elements into the ovary by direct tissue electroporation. These approaches involve injecting plasmid DNA encoding CRISPR-Cas9 constructs and/or a transposon vector to enable introduction of diverse genetic elements into the ovary by direct tissue electroporation.
Fig. 1. Somatic introduction of oncogenic lesions generates high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma. (A) Schematic of the EPO-GEMM approach to generate ovarian cancer. A CRISPR-Cas9 vector targeting Trp53 is co-delivered with additional sgRNAs targeting tumor suppressor genes (TSGs) or an oncogene (Onc) containing transposon vector in combination with a Sleeping Beauty (SB) transposase into the ovary and fallopian tube by direct in vivo electroporation. (B) Oncoprint displaying the genomic status of TP53, RB1, PTEN, and MYC in HGSOC (The Cancer Genome Atlas [TCGA], Pan-cancer dataset). (C) Kaplan–Meier survival curve of C57BL/6 mice electroporated with the indicated combinations of plasmids. (D) Representative hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining of a Trp53;Pten;Rb EPO-GEMM primary tumor (Left) and omentum with a micrometastasis (Right). (Scale bar, 5 mm [Top Left], 200 μm [Top Right], 50 μm [Bottom Left], 25 μm [Bottom Right].) The spleen is labeled with an asterisk. (E) Macroscopic bright-field (BF) images (Top) and H&E sections (Bottom) of genital tracts, peritoneum, and omentum of a mouse bearing a MP EPO-GEMM tumor. (Scale bar, 50 μm [Bottom Left], 500 μm [Bottom Middle and Right].) (F) Representative immunohistochemical staining of a MP EPO-GEMM ovarian tumor for MYC, the proliferation marker Ki67, and the HGSOC markers Wilms Tumor 1 (WT1) and Cancer Antigen 125 (CA-125). (Scale bar, 20 μm.) (G) Correlation of gene set enrichment analysis normalized enrichment scores (NES) derived from RNA-seq data for Hallmark (Left) or KEGG (Right) pathways enriched in human ovarian cancer samples (y axis) and murine EPO-GEMM ovarian cancer (x axis) compared to normal tissue. Highlighted are key pathways; circle size represents the adjusted P value.
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and cytokine receptor interaction). In line with the almost ubiquitous TP53 inactivation in HGSOC, the p53 pathway was among the top down-regulated pathways in the Hallmark gene sets. These results validate the EPO-GEMM approach as a flexible platform to model HGSOC tumors of varying genotypes that resemble the metastatic, histological, genomic, and transcriptomic properties of the human disease.

The similarity of EPO-GEMM ovarian cancers to human HGSOC was striking, given that our electroporation method does not discriminate between cell types within the targeted tissue. To confirm that the tumors originated from epithelial cells, we harnessed the flexibility of the EPO-GEMM approach to directly mutagenize Cytokeratin-8 (CK8)-expressing epithelial cells. To confirm that the tumors originated from epithelial cells, we harnessed the flexibility of the EPO-GEMM approach to directly mutagenize Cytokeratin-8 (CK8)-expressing epithelial cells, a cell type that can serve as a tumor-initiating cell in the absence of TP53 (34), and is also retained in traditional GEMMs arising in Brca1;Trp53;Pten-deficient mice (24). Double transgenic mice harboring a CRE-estrogen receptor fusion transgene (CreER) under the control of the CK8 promoter and a Lox-Stop-Lox (LSL) Cas9-IRES-GFP transgene were treated with tamoxifen and electroporated with vectors expressing a MYC transposon, a transposase, and a TP53 sgRNA. In this setting, only CK8-positive epithelial cells are capable of CRISPR-Cas9-mediated editing upon tamoxifen addition (SI Appendix, Fig. S1G). Tamoxifen treatment triggered expression of GFP in CK8-positive epithelial cells (SI Appendix, Fig. S1H) and led to the formation of GFP-positive ovarian tumors with similar histological and transcriptional features as observed in wild-type (WT) mice harboring tumors of the same genotype (SI Appendix, Fig. S1 I–K). These data confirm the epithelial origin of the EPO-GEMM tumors and imply that relevant epithelial populations in the ovary are most sensitive to the genetic alterations that co-occur in the human disease.

**HR-Deficient Tumors Have Unique Genomic, Immune, and Therapy Response Features.** More than one-third of ovarian cancer patients are classified as HR deficient (9). To model tumors arising in this important tumor subtype, we incorporated sgRNAs targeting Brca1 into the MP combination described above using a vector that coexpresses Tp53 and Brca1 sgRNAs (MYC;Tp53;Brca1, MPB1) (SI Appendix, Fig. S2A). The resulting tumors displayed an onset and histology that was similar to those harboring MYC and TP53 alterations alone (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 B and C). Despite similar latencies, tumors produced with a plasmid mixture including the Brca1 sgRNA invariably displayed indels at the Brca1 sgRNA target site (SI Appendix, Fig. S2D), implying that Brca1 inactivation produced a selective advantage during tumorigenesis. Accordingly, as is characteristic of HR-deficient cells (18), MPB1 tumor cells isolated from EPO-GEMM tumors showed reduced induction of Rad51-containing nuclear foci following irradiation compared to MP tumor cells with intact Brca1 (Fig. 2A).

Compared to HR-proficient tumors, HR-deficient human ovarian cancers acquire even more genomic rearrangements (10), display substantial T cell infiltration (35–37), and are more responsive to platinum-based chemotherapy (38, 39). Similarly, murine MPB1 ovarian EPO-GEMM tumors harbored more CNAs (Fig. 2B) and a greater proportion of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells expressing both activation and exhaustion markers relative to MP tumors (Fig. 2C).

![Image](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2117754119)

**Fig. 2.** HR-deficient tumors have unique genomic, immune, and therapy response features. (A) Representative images showing IF staining of RAD51 (Left) and the quantification of the number of RAD51 foci per nuclei (Right). Cell nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). (Scale bar, 5 μm.) (B) Frequency plot of CNA analysis of MP (n = 10) and MPB1 (n = 11) EPO-GEMM ovarian tumors. (C and D) Immune cell analysis by flow cytometric analysis of representative EPO-GEMM tumors of the indicated genotypes (n = 5 to 8 mice per group). (E) Kaplan–Meier survival curve of C57BL/6 mice electroporated with the indicated combinations of plasmids and treated with vehicle (veh) or cisplatin (cis). Treatment was initiated after tumors were palpable (n = 4 to 13 mice per group).

*P ≤ 0.05, **P ≤ 0.01, ***P ≤ 0.001, ns: not significant; mean ± SEM; analyses performed using unpaired t test (A, C, and D) and log-rank test (E).
to Brca1-proficient counterparts (Fig. 2 C and D and SI Appendix, Fig. S2E). Furthermore, mice harboring primary MPB1 tumors showed significantly improved survival following cisplatin therapy (Fig. 2E), a result that was recapitulated in mice following subcutaneous or intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of primary EPO-GEMM tumor-derived cell lines (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 F–H). Therefore, MPB1 EPO-GEMM tumors recapitulate key biological and clinical features of human HR-deficient tumors.

**Cisplatin Treatment Preferentially Induces Tumor Cell Senescence and Alters Immune Infiltrates in HR-Deficient HGSOC.** As a first step toward assessing mechanisms leading to genotypic differences in intrinsic cisplatin sensitivity, we analyzed the biological responses to cisplatin treatment. Cultured cells established from MP and MPB1 tumors showed similar levels of growth inhibition and apoptosis induction following cisplatin treatment in vitro (SI Appendix, Fig. S3 A and B) and in vivo (SI Appendix, Fig. S3C). In contrast, Brca1-deficient cells showed a much greater proclivity for senescence, displaying an increase in senescence-associated β-galactosidase (SA-β-gal) activity and a decrease in colony-forming potential following cisplatin treatment compared to the Brca1-proficient MP counterparts (SI Appendix, Fig. S3 D and E). Similar results were observed in vivo, with MPB1-derived tumors showing more SA-β-gal activity as measured by the fluorogenic substrate C12RG (40), reduced phosphorylated Rb, and a concomitant decrease in
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**Fig. 3.** Cisplatin treatment preferentially induces tumor cell senescence and alters immune infiltrates in HR-deficient HGSOC. (A) Immunohistochemical staining of phospho-Rb (pRb) and Ki67 and staining of C12RG, a fluorogenic substrate for SA-β-gal activity, of subcutaneously transplanted tumors treated with vehicle or cisplatin. (Scale bar, 20 μm.) Quantification of SA-β-gal activity is shown on the Right (n = 3). (B) Cytokine expression in MP (x axis) or MPB1 (y axis) cell lines treated with cisplatin relative to vehicle (n = 2 independent cell lines per genotype). (C) RT-qPCR analysis of Ccl5 and Cxcl10 in cisplatin-treated BRCA1-proficient (UWB1 + BRCA1) or -deficient (UWB1) human ovarian cancer cells (n = 3 technical replicates). (D) SA-β-gal staining (Left) and quantification (Right) of either BRCA1-proficient (UWB1 + BRCA1) or -deficient (UWB1) human ovarian cancer cell lines after treatment with vehicle or 100 nM cisplatin for 6 d (n = 3). (Scale bar, 50 μm.) (E) Clonogenic crystal violet (CV) assay of human ovarian cancer cells replated in the absence of drugs after 6-d pretreatment as in D (n = 3). (F) Expression of senescence and SASP signatures in patient samples isolated pretreatment and after three cycles of chemotherapy during the Cambridge Translational Cancer Research Ovarian Study 01 (CTCR-OV01) clinical trial (49, 50) (GSE15622). Posttreatment samples are subdivided into resistant and sensitive cases. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ns: not significant; mean ± SEM; analyses performed using unpaired t test (A and C–E) and Wilcoxon signed-rank test (F).
Ki67 staining compared to MP controls after short-term cisplatin treatment (Fig. 3A). Apparently, Brca1 mutations sensitize ovarian tumor cells to cisplatin-induced senescence.

Senescence is a potent tumor suppressive mechanism that involves a stable proliferative arrest coupled to a secretory program known as the senescence-associated secretory phenotype (SASP) (41). The SASP alters the tumor microenvironment (TME), where it can modulate extracellular matrix, tumor vasculature, and the functionality of immune cells (42, 43) that, in some instances, produces an inflammatory TME (44, 45). To examine SASP in our system, we performed cytokine array analysis on a series of cisplatin-treated MP and MPB1 cell lines. Out of the 44 factors assessed in this panel, Ccl5, Cxcl10, and Il6 were the most significantly increased in the Brca1-deficient cells (Fig. 3B) and this correlated with increased mRNA expression in several MPB1 cell lines (SI Appendix, Fig. S3F) and in an isogenic setting in which Brca1 was disrupted in MP tumor cells following in vitro establishment (SI Appendix, Fig. S3G). Taxol, another frontline chemotherapy used for HGSOC treatment, also induced a similar cytokine profile in MPB1 tumor cells (SI Appendix, Fig. S3H).

Interestingly, the SASP profile detected in MPB1 cells following chemotherapy treatment was more restricted than has been observed in other contexts (44, 45), showing predominant secretion of immune modulatory cytokines and no endothelial cell regulatory factors. Accordingly, we did not detect obvious changes in tumor vasculature as assessed by CD31 immunohistochemistry (IF) following cisplatin treatment (SI Appendix, Fig. S3I).

We also examined cisplatin responses in human cancer cells and patients. We analyzed the BRCA1-mutant UWB1.289 cell line along with its isogenic counterpart with forced expression of the BRCA1 WT gene (46). Cisplatin treatment led to induction of CCL-5 and CXCL-10 in the BRCA1-mutant cells, which was dampened by forced expression of BRCA1-WT (Fig. 3C). The BRCA1-mutant cells showed a more pronounced senescence response, as evaluated by increased SA-β-gal activity and a decreased colony-forming potential following cisplatin treatment (Fig. 3D and E). Additionally, a well-characterized BRCA1-deficient breast cancer cell line (47) was also more prone to cisplatin-induced senescence than a BRCA1-proficient counterpart (SI Appendix, Fig. S3 J and K). In patients, a retrospective analysis of RNA-seq data from matched pre- and posttreatment samples (48) showed an enrichment for genes linked to senescence and SASP posttherapy (SI Appendix, Fig. S3L) with CCL-5 and IL-6 being among the most enriched genes in these signatures. Furthermore, in a dataset where outcomes were known (49, 50) (GSE15622), expression of senescence signatures was higher in the sensitive tumors (Fig. 3F). We also observed higher expression of transcripts linked to the cytosolic DNA-sensing pathway in sensitive tumors, which has previously been associated with senescence (51). While this dataset did not allow for classification of patients based on BRCA1 or HR status, it is consistent with the notion that senescence induction improves outcomes in HGSOC patients. Thus, Brca1 loss is sufficient to predispose ovarian cancer cells to induction of a chemotherapy-induced senescence program that appears associated with improved outcomes in patients.

Cisplatin Treatment Leads to a cGas/STING-Dependent Infiltration of T and Natural Killer (NK) Cells in HR-Deficient Tumors. Ccl5, Cxcl10, and Il6 are immune modulatory cytokines and members of the Interferon Stimulated Genes (ISG) family that act downstream of cGAS/STING signaling (52) and are often associated with the SASP (53, 54). cGAS is an intracellular innate immune sensor of cytosolic double-stranded DNA (55) that can be activated by nucleic acids present in micronuclei and can increase in cells with rampant genome instability (56). Breast and ovarian cancers harboring BRCA1 mutations have been shown to harbor high levels of micronuclei and cGAS/STING activity (57, 58). In agreement, cultured MPB1 tumor cells displayed a trend toward more micronuclei than MP tumor cells, a difference that was exacerbated following cisplatin treatment (Fig. 4A). A similar increase was seen in DNA damage as evaluated by γH2AX staining (Fig. 4B). These effects correlated with a genotype-specific difference in immune infiltrates of transplanted tumors following cisplatin therapy. Specifically, MPB1 tumors showed a substantial increase in T and NK cells (Fig. 4 C and D) and a marked reduction of M2-like macrophages compared to MP controls (SI Appendix, Fig. S4 A–C).

To test whether the cGAS/STING pathway contributed to the observed genotype-specific effects on drug responses, we generated two independent shRNAs capable of suppressing cGAS expression (SI Appendix, Fig. S4D), transduced these into MPB1 tumor cells, and examined their impact on senescence and tumor phenotypes following cisplatin treatment. While cGAS suppression did not prevent drug-induced proliferative arrest or the appearance of senescence markers (SI Appendix, Fig. S4 E–G), it substantially reduced Ccl5, Cxcl10, and Il6 expression (Fig. 4E and SI Appendix, Fig. S4H). In vivo, cGAS/STING suppression blunted the therapy-induced accumulation of T and NK cells in transplanted MPB1 tumors (Fig. 4 F and G), while having no effect on myeloid cell infiltration (SI Appendix, Fig. S4 I–K).

These data are consistent with a model whereby preferential senescence in Brca1-deficient tumor cells contributes to cGAS/STING activation and the establishment of a proinflammatory TME.

Brca1 Loss Sensitizes Tumors to Chemo and ICB Combination Therapy. Tumors displaying an inflamed microenvironment often up-regulate molecules that blunt antitumor immunity, a phenomenon that can also occur following cisplatin treatment (59, 60). Accordingly, cisplatin treatment induced cell surface expression of the immune checkpoint molecule PD-L1 on immune and tumor cells in MPB1 (but not MP) tumors (SI Appendix, Fig. S5 A and B). In patients and in a range of preclinical models, such a scenario often predicts increased tumor sensitivity to ICB (61). Accordingly, treatment outcomes were examined in MP or MPB1 EPO-GEMMs or mice harboring syngeneic subcutaneous or i.p. tumors generated by transplantation of EPO-GEMM–derived tumor cells. Of note, the i.p. context mimics the clinically relevant context of disseminated disease after surgical resection of the primary tumor.

In line with recent findings showing HGSOC patients receive little if any clinical benefit from ICB monotherapy (12), neither MP nor MPB1 tumors showed appreciable responses to anti–PD-1 therapy. In contrast, MPB1 tumors responded more effectively to cisplatin and anti–PD-1 combination therapy compared to MP tumors, in all three tumor settings (Fig. 5 A and B and SI Appendix, Fig. S5 C–F). This increased responsiveness was associated with an increase in the number of tumor-infiltrating CD8+ T cells that expressed the activation marker Granzyme B (Fig. 5C). Interestingly, cGAS suppression in Brca1-deficient tumors curtailed the responsiveness to combination therapy, but not single chemotherapy treatment, resulting in reduced clearance of senescent cells (Fig. 5 D and E). These data demonstrate that chemo- and immunotherapy uniquely synergize in Brca1-deficient EPO-GEMM tumors, whereas cGAS/STING activation plays an important role in immune cell recruitment and clearance of senescent cells but is not necessarily required for primary chemotherapy response.

While many ovarian cancer patients initially respond to treatment, most patients eventually develop resistance. To study the process of disease relapse in previously responding tumors, we generated a cell line from a Brca1-mutant EPO-GEMM tumor that progressed following treatment with cisplatin and anti–PD-1 antibody and tested responsiveness to cisplatin...
therapy in vitro and to cisplatin and anti–PD-1 combination therapy in vivo following subcutaneous injection into syngeneic recipients. Interestingly, tumors formed by these cells did not respond to the combination of cisplatin and ICB in vivo (Fig. 5), an effect that correlated with a reduced propensity to undergo senescence (Fig. 5G) and induce SASP (Fig. 5H).

While loss of 53BP1 can restore error-free DNA repair in Brca1-mutant cells (62), 53BP1 foci were still induced upon cisplatin treatment (SI Appendix, Fig. S5G) implying that this mechanism is not responsible for therapy resistance in our system. These data underscore the role of therapy-induced senescence as a mediator of response and resistance to platinum-based chemotherapy in HR-deficient ovarian cancer and its potential to sensitize these tumors to ICB.

Discussion

HGSOC is a genetically unique tumor type that almost uniformly develops resistance to conventional, targeted, and immune therapies. In this study, we produced a flexible nongermline-based mouse model that recapitulates the genetic, histological, and molecular features of human HGSOC. We illustrate its use for

---

Fig. 4. Cisplatin treatment leads to a cGas/STING-dependent infiltration of T and NK cells in HR-deficient tumors. (A) Micronuclei staining of MP or MPB1 cell lines treated with cisplatin. Cell nuclei were stained with DAPI (gray) (n = 3 independent cell lines per genotype). (Scale bar, 20 μm.) (B) γH2AX staining (green) of MP or MPB1 cell lines treated with cisplatin. Cell nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue) (n = 5). (Scale bar, 20 μm.) (C and D) Representative flow cytometry plots (Left) and quantification (Right) of T cell (C) or NK cell (D) infiltration in subcutaneously transplanted ovarian tumors after treatment with two cycles of cisplatin (n = 5 to 6 mice per group). (E) RT-qPCR analysis of Ccl5, Cxcl10, and Il6 in cell lines containing control Renilla (shRen) or cGas shRNAs (shcGas). Expression ratio of cisplatin treated relative to vehicle treated is shown (n = 3). (F and G) Flow cytometry analysis of T (F) and NK (G) cell infiltration in subcutaneously transplanted MPB1 ovarian tumors containing control Renilla or cGas shRNAs after treatment with two cycles of cisplatin (n = 8 to 12 mice per group). *P ≤ 0.05, **P ≤ 0.01, ***P ≤ 0.001, ns: not significant; mean ± SEM; analyses performed using unpaired t test (A–G).
Fig. 5. Brca1 loss sensitizes tumors to chemo and ICB combination therapy. (A and B) Kaplan–Meier survival curve of MP (A) or MPB1 (B) EPO-GEMM mice treated with the indicated drugs. Treatment was initiated after tumors were detected by abdominal palpation (n = 4 to 9 mice per group). (C) Representative IF staining and quantification (mean number of cells per field ± SEM, P ≤ 0.05) of subcutaneously transplanted MPB1 ovarian tumors treated with indicated treatments (n = 5 fields for two independent tumors). (Scale bar, 20 μm.) (D) Tumor growth over time of transplanted MPB1 cell lines containing control Renilla (shRen, Top) or cGas shRNAs (shcGas, Bottom) with vehicle, cisplatin, or cisplatin + ICB (n = 5 to 6 mice per group). (E) Staining and quantification of C12RG, a fluorogenic substrate for SA-β-gal activity, in tumors treated as in D (n = 3). (Scale bar, 20 μm.) (F) Tumor growth of transplanted MPB1 or resistant MPB1 (MPB1_res) cell lines treated with vehicle or cisplatin + ICB (n = 5 to 6 mice per group). (G) SA-β-gal staining (Left) and quantification (Right) of MPB1 or MPB1_res cell lines treated with vehicle or cisplatin for 6 d (n = 3). (Scale bar, 50 μm.) (H) RT-qPCR analysis of Ccl5 and Cxcl10 in MPB1 or MPB1_res cell lines (n = 3 technical replicates). Expression ratio of cisplatin treated relative to vehicle treated is shown. *P ≤ 0.05, **P ≤ 0.01, ***P ≤ 0.001, ns: not significant; mean ± SEM; analyses performed using log-rank test (A and B), unpaired t test (C and E–H), and one-way ANOVA (D).
studies genetic interactions during tumorigenesis and exploring molecular mechanisms that dictate treatment response. Our results add to previous work showing that HR defects can produce distinct tumor phenotypes and vulnerabilities (63, 64), and link a chemotherapy-induced senescence program to therapeutic outcomes.

We believe that the EPO-GEMM approach described herein provides a platform that will revolutionize preclinical HGSOC research. While traditional germline models offer similar capabilities, they are simply too time consuming and asynchronous to be a workhorse system. By contrast, the EPO-GEMM approach enables the production of autochthonous tumors in immunocompetent mice that naturally disseminate, enabling the relatively synchronous production of cohorts of tumor-bearing mice simply from a set of plasmids and commercially available immunocompetent WT mice. While the use of lineage-specific Cas9 or CRE transgenes allows for the control of the cell of origin, electroporation of the ovary and fallopian tube of WT mice produces epithelial tumors that resemble human HGSOC, similar to what was observed in cell line studies (28). As such, the approach can be applied to any strain of recipient mice, enabling the study of the influence of host factors on tumor trajectories.

Our study is complementary to a recent report that also used tissue electroporation to generate immune-competent ovarian cancer models (65). While the methods used in both studies are conceptually similar, they differ in the choice of oncogenic lesions, latency, and spontaneous metastatic spread in WT hosts. Furthermore, our study incorporates transgene vectors for oncogene expression and confirms that the resulting tumors are of epithelial origin and display molecular similarities to the human disease. By incorporating MYC overexpression and Brca1 disruption into the platform, we substantially accelerate tumor onset and enable modeling of clinically important HR-deficient tumors. Collectively, these approaches provide a powerful orthogonal system to ovarian cancer models produced from tumor-derived cell or organoid lines (15–19, 66–68).

Our results implicate a cellular senescence program as an important component of response and resistance in HGSOC. Previous work suggests that senescence-inducing therapeutics can stimulate a SASP-dependent remodeling of the TME that, in some instances, leads to senescent cell clearance or sensitizes tumors to immune recognition following checkpoint blockade (44, 45). By contrast, in other settings, treatment-associated SASP programs can stimulate tumor relapse and dissemination (69). Herein, we show that the ability of cisplatin or taxol to induce senescence in ovarian cancer cells depends on tumor genotype, being substantially more pronounced following treatment of Brca1-deficient (compared to Brca1-proficient) ovarian tumors. In turn, this leads to an increase in micronuclei and a cGas/STING-mediated SASP that alters immune cell infiltrates and sensitizes the Brca1-deficient tumors to ICB.

Our results implicate a cellular senescence program as an important component of response and resistance in HGSOC. Previous work suggests that senescence-inducing therapeutics can stimulate a SASP-dependent remodeling of the TME that, in some instances, leads to senescent cell clearance or sensitizes tumors to immune recognition following checkpoint blockade (44, 45). By contrast, in other settings, treatment-associated SASP programs can stimulate tumor relapse and dissemination (69). Herein, we show that the ability of cisplatin or taxol to induce senescence in ovarian cancer cells depends on tumor genotype, being substantially more pronounced following treatment of Brca1-deficient (compared to Brca1-proficient) ovarian tumors. In turn, this leads to an increase in micronuclei and a cGas/STING-mediated SASP that alters immune cell infiltrates and sensitizes the Brca1-deficient tumors to ICB.

Our study is complementary to a recent report that also used tissue electroporation to generate immune-competent ovarian cancer models (65). While the methods used in both studies are conceptually similar, they differ in the choice of oncogenic lesions, latency, and spontaneous metastatic spread in WT hosts. Furthermore, our study incorporates transgene vectors for oncogene expression and confirms that the resulting tumors are of epithelial origin and display molecular similarities to the human disease. By incorporating MYC overexpression and Brca1 disruption into the platform, we substantially accelerate tumor onset and enable modeling of clinically important HR-deficient tumors. Collectively, these approaches provide a powerful orthogonal system to ovarian cancer models produced from tumor-derived cell or organoid lines (15–19, 66–68).

Our results implicate a cellular senescence program as an important component of response and resistance in HGSOC. Previous work suggests that senescence-inducing therapeutics can stimulate a SASP-dependent remodeling of the TME that, in some instances, leads to senescent cell clearance or sensitizes tumors to immune recognition following checkpoint blockade (44, 45). By contrast, in other settings, treatment-associated SASP programs can stimulate tumor relapse and dissemination (69). Herein, we show that the ability of cisplatin or taxol to induce senescence in ovarian cancer cells depends on tumor genotype, being substantially more pronounced following treatment of Brca1-deficient (compared to Brca1-proficient) ovarian tumors. In turn, this leads to an increase in micronuclei and a cGas/STING-mediated SASP that alters immune cell infiltrates and sensitizes the Brca1-deficient tumors to ICB.

Consistent with the importance of the senescence program in therapy response, senescence signatures can be detected in posttreatment samples from HGSOC patients. Moreover, tumors derived from a Brca1-deficient cancer that progressed on treatment lose their ability to induce senescence and SASP upon cisplatin treatment, leading to resistance to cisplatin in combination with ICB. Interestingly, the increased propensity of Brca1-deficient tumors to undergo senescence and/or activate the cGas/STING pathway appears to extend to other agents, as Brca1-deficient models of breast and ovarian cancer treated with PARP inhibitors or, as shown here, taxol show similar behaviors (70–74). As such, senescence induction may underlie the improved response of HR-deficient tumors to genome destabilizing therapies in the clinic.

In our system, the SASP program triggered by cisplatin therapy in Brca1-deficient ovarian tumors was limited to Ccl5, Cxcl10, and Iil6 of the factors examined. cGas suppression efficiently suppressed SASP induction and sensitization to ICB following cisplatin treatment yet had no effect on treatment outcomes following cisplatin monotherapy. This implies that SASP is sufficient to sensitize tumor cells to ICB and, in agreement, injection of SASP-activated tumor cells sensitizes an immunologically cold murine ovarian model to ICB (75), whereas CCL5 suppression in another model attenuates T cell infiltration (73). While it remains possible that senescence and cGas/STING-dependent cytokine induction are parallel processes, they imply that potent antitumor responses require both cell-intrinsic senescence induction and TME modulation.

Although HR deficiency can increase tumor immunogenicity (76), BRCA1/2 mutations have no effect on the response to ICB monotherapy in HGSOC patients (6, 7, 12). Our model recapitulates these findings: Brca1-deficient tumors displayed an increase in immune infiltration pretreatment yet are nonresponsive to ICB. By contrast, our results imply that frontline chemotherapy or PARP inhibitors should sensitize HR-deficient tumors to checkpoint blockade, yet clinical trials to date fail to validate such hypersensitivities as universally operative in patients (77–80). Instead, these trials identify tumor positivity for PD-L1 and CD8 expression—features of the Brca1-deficient tumors studied herein—as biomarkers of a combinatorial response (81). It seems likely that the disparate outcomes between the human and animal studies reflect the longer course of tumor evolution in patients, which may further degrade components of the senescence machinery. Accordingly, we see that Brca1-deficient tumor cells that acquire senescence defects are nonresponsive to the chemotherapeutic/ICB combination. Future studies incorporating the flexible features of the EPO-GEMM approach will enable the further dissection of mechanisms that dictate ovarian cancer response and resistance and, more broadly, expedite investigation of other clinically relevant aspects of this disease.

Materials and Methods

Below is an abbreviated summary of the materials and methods used. More details can be found in SI Appendix, Supplementary Methods.

Generation of EPO-GEMMs. The 8- to 12-wk-old WT CS78BU, or transgenic CK8-CreER;LSL-Cas9-ires-GFP female mice, were anesthetized with isoflurane, and the surgical site was scrubbed with a povidone-iodine scrub (Beta-dine) and rinsed with 70% alcohol. The target organ was accessed from the left flank, as this allowed for the more readily stabilization of the organ for electroporation than accessing it from the back. After opening the skin and peritoneum, the left ovary and oviduct were exteriorized. A total of 25 μL of a plasmid mix (details in SI Appendix, Table S1) was injected under the ovarian peritoneum, the left ovary and oviduct were exteriorized. A total of 25 μL of a plasmid mix (details in SI Appendix, Table S1) was injected under the ovarian peritoneum, the left ovary and oviduct were exteriorized. A total of 25 μL of a plasmid mix (details in SI Appendix, Table S1) was injected under the ovarian peritoneum, the left ovary and oviduct were exteriorized. A total of 25 μL of a plasmid mix (details in SI Appendix, Table S1) was injected under the ovarian peritoneum, the left ovary and oviduct were exteriorized.
EPO-GEMM Cell Line Generation. For cell line generation, a tumor piece was minced with a razorblade into small pieces, placed in 5 mL of prewarmed collagenase V buffer (1 μg/mL, Sigma-Aldrich), and incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. Dissociated tissue was washed once with phosphate buffered saline, filtered through a 70-μm cell strainer and centrifuged at 1,500 rpm for 5 min. Cells were plated on 10-cm culture dishes coated with 100 μg/mL collagen (PureCol 500S; Advanced Biomatrix). Primary cultures were passaged at least three times to remove fibroblast contamination. All ovarian cancer cell lines were maintained in a humidified incubator at 37 °C with 5% CO2 and grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 100 IU/mL penicillin-streptomycin. All cell lines used in this study tested negative for Mycoplasma. Cell lines were validated to carry the correct genotype and to have tumor-initiating capabilities following subcutaneous and i.p. injection. Multiple tumor-derived cell lines were confirmed to produce consistent treatment response patterns in vitro.

Characterization of Cellular Senescence and CSs/STING Response. Assays to evaluate cellular senescence involved SA-β-gal staining (42) and replating assays after drug withdrawal. SASP profiles were assessed using murine cytokine arrays (Eye Technologies) and RT-qPCR. Micronuclei were visualized and quantified by nuclear DAPI staining. The DNA-damage response was determined using IF for 53BP1, γH2AX, and Rad51 (18). The role of the CSs/STING pathway was assessed by transducing cells with two independent CSs shRNAs validated for knockdown and compared to a well-established control shRNA.

Human Cell Line and Tumor Analyses. UWB1.289, UWB2.89 + BRCA1, MDA-MB-231, and MDA-MB-436 cell lines were purchased from American Type Culture Collection and cultured according to instructions. BioPortal.org was used to plot the frequency of mutations, amplifications, and/or deletions in genes of interest in HGSOC patients from various datasets. To evaluate senescence signatures in human tumor samples, senescence signatures were derived from KEGG and previously published works (44, 83). TPMs (transcripts per million) normalized expression data were used to calculate geometric mean score as the senescence signature scores.

Statistics. Statistical analyses were performed using Prism 6 software (GraphPad Software) as described in the figure legends. Statistically significant differences (P < 0.05) are indicated with asterisks, accompanied by P values in the legends. Statistical significance was determined by Student’s t test, one-way ANOVA, log-rank test, Wilcoxon’s correlation, or Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Survival was measured using the Kaplan–Meier method. Error bars indicate SEM. Unless otherwise stated, the indicated sample size (n) represents biological replicates. All samples that met proper experimental conditions were included in the analysis.

Data Availability. RNA-sequencing data have been deposited in Gene Expression Omnibus (GSE181651).
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