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Abstract

Replication of the genome must be coordinated with gene transcription and cellular metabolism. These processes are controlled in part by the Rad53 (CHEK2 in mammals) checkpoint kinase and the Mrc1 replisome component, especially following replication stress in the presence of limiting deoxyribonucleotides. We examined cell cycle regulated, genome-wide binding of Rad53 to chromatin. The kinase bound to sites of active DNA replication initiation and fork progression, but unexpectedly to the promoters of numerous genes (>20% of all genes) involved in many cellular functions. At some genes, Rad53 promoter binding correlated with changes in gene expression. Rad53 promoter binding to certain genes is influenced by sequence-specific transcription factors and less by checkpoint signaling. In checkpoint mutants, untimely activation of late-replicating origins reduces the transcription of nearby genes, with concomitant localization of Rad53 to their gene bodies. We suggest that the Rad53 checkpoint kinase coordinates genome-wide replication and transcription under stress conditions.
Introduction

Eukaryotic cells initiate DNA synthesis in a temporally controlled manner from multiple replication origins to ensure efficient duplication of the genome (Bell and Labib, 2016; Renard-Guillet et al., 2014). During the course of replication, replisomes have to deal with both endogenous and exogenous stresses that can cause stalling of replication forks. The same DNA template is also transcribed, potentially creating conflicts between replication and transcription that can lead to detrimental effects on genome stability and cell viability (Hamperl and Cimprich, 2016).

To maintain genome stability during S-phase, the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* activates a DNA replication checkpoint (DRC) in response to replication stress via the sensor kinase Mec1 (the mammalian ATM/ATR), the replication fork protein Mrc1 (Claspin in mammals) and other fork proteins (Lanz et al., 2019; Osborn and Elledge, 2003; Pardo et al., 2017; Paulovich and Hartwell, 1995; Saldivar et al., 2017). A second DNA damage checkpoint (DDC) mediated by Rad9 (TP53BP1 in mammals) responds to double strand DNA breaks. Both branches converge on the effector kinase Rad53 (CHEK2 in mammals) which triggers a wide range of downstream events, including stopping cell cycle progression, preventing late origin firing, activating the DNA repair and elevating synthesis of deoxyribonucleoside triphosphates (dNTP). The signaling also promotes widespread changes in gene expression (Jaehnig et al., 2013; Pardo et al., 2017).

Unlike most of the checkpoint genes, both Mec1 and Rad53 kinases are essential for cell viability in unperturbed cells that can be partly explained by their role in regulating dNTP pools (Desany et al., 1998; Forey et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2000). However, it is important to note that kinase null mutants are extremely sick and sensitive to various type of exogenous stress. Under the bypass conditions in cells without Sml1, the inhibitor of ribonucleotide reductase (RNR), cells lacking Rad53 exhibit a more severe defect than cells lacking Mec1, implying that Rad53 has activities beyond checkpoint signaling. Consistent with this suggestion, the kinase deficient mutant *rad53* is lethal lacking checkpoint function but retains growth-associated activity (Gunjan and Verreault, 2003; Hoch et al., 2013; Holzen and Sclafani, 2010; Pellicioli et al., 1999).

Rad53 is central to the transcriptional response to DNA damage, including the Dun1 protein kinase acting downstream of Rad53 to phosphorylate and inactivate the transcriptional repressor Rfx1/Crt1 and thereby up-regulate target genes (Huang et al., 1998), such as *RNR2, RNR3*, and *RNR4*, all encoding subunits of RNR. However, the induced expression of *RNR1*, which encodes the major isoform of the RNR large subunit, is not controlled by the Rfx1 repressor, but by Ixr1 binding to the *RNR1* promoter upon genotoxic stress. This Ixr1-dependent regulation of *RNR1* is independent of Dun1 but requires Rad53 (Tsaponina et al., 2011). Another Rad53-dependent, Dun1-independent regulation of *RNR1* involves phosphorylation-dependent dissociation of Nrm1 from MBF (Travesa et al., 2012).

In addition to upregulating the dNTP pools, defects in cells lacking Rad53 can be suppressed by manipulating factors functioning in transcription regulation, cell wall maintenance, proteolysis and cell cycle control (Desany et al., 1998; Manfrini et al., 2012). Moreover, Rad53 kinase targets and interaction partners found in biochemical and proteomic studies suggests that the kinase is pleiotropic (Gunjan and Verreault, 2003; Jaehnig et al., 2013; Lao et al., 2018; Smolka et al., 2007, 2006).
In this study, while investigating of the response of yeast cells to replication stresses caused by depletion of dNTPs, we found that Rad53 not only binds to sites of DNA synthesis, but it localized to more than 20% of gene promoters in the S. cerevisiae genome, suggesting a global role in coordinating stress responses. Furthermore, we provide evidence that untimely activation of replication from late origins can negatively affect transcription activity of nearby genes.

**Results**

**Initiation, elongation and recovery of DNA replication in checkpoint mutants**

DNA replication in the presence of low dNTP levels was examined by releasing G1-phase cells for either 45 (HU45) or 90 (HU90) minutes into media containing hydroxyurea (HU), coupled with labeling DNA synthesis with 5-ethyl-2’-deoxyuridine (EdU) (Sheu et al., 2016, 2014). The purified EdU-DNA was subjected to high-throughput DNA sequencing and the reads were mapped to the genome, yielding replication profiles for wild-type (WT) and three DNA damage checkpoint mutants, rad53<sup>K227A</sup> (a kinase-deficient version of Rad53), mrc1Δ (null for Mrc1 mediator of the DRC branch) and rad9Δ (null for Rad9 mediator of DDC branch).

In WT cells, DNA synthesis occurred only from early origins because of the activated DRC checkpoint, which inhibits late origin firing (Figure 1a, [HU90] and Figure 1-figure supplement 1a [HU45 and HU90]). As expected DNA synthesis was readily detected from late origins (red arrows) in the kinase-deficient rad53<sup>K227A</sup> and mrc1Δ mutants. In contrast, the rad9Δ mutant profile appeared identical to that of WT (Figure 1a). Thus, the DRC branch (Mrc1), but not the DDC branch (Rad9), represses late origin firing in response to this replication stress.

Among the 829 active or potential origins of DNA replication (Siow et al., 2012), 256 origins are active in WT cells and 521 origins are active in the rad53<sup>K227A</sup> and mrc1Δ mutants, specifying “early” (E) and “late” (L) firing origins, respectively. The remaining 308 were therefore inactive (I) under these conditions. The EdU peak signals in each mutant for these origin categories shows that the rad53<sup>K227A</sup> mutant favored late origins over early origins (Figure 1b), which was particularly prominent in heterochromatic regions on chromosome III, such as HMR, HML and telomere-proximal regions harboring very late firing origins (Figure 1-figure supplement 1b). This pattern is not seen in the mrc1Δ, suggesting that it is due to loss of Rad53 kinase activity but not DRC signaling.

Rad53 is required for stability of DNA stalled replication forks (Bacal et al., 2018; Kumar and Huberman, 2008; Lopes et al., 2001; Seiler et al., 2007; Tercero et al., 2003), which was confirmed by labelling DNA synthesis during recovery from HU-induced replication stress. Cells that progressed from G1- into S-phase in HU for 45 min. were released from the HU block and DNA synthesis labeled with EdU during an additional 25 min (HU→S25) or were continued in HU for another 45 min. and labeled with EdU (HU→HU45) (Figure 1c). In WT cells, DNA synthesis during recovery from HU continued from the stalled replication forks (Figure 1d). For very efficient early origins, such as ARS305, ARS306 and ARS307 (Figure 1d, black arrows), little new synthesis occurred at origins during the recovery, suggesting efficient initiation at these origins. In contrast, for moderately early origins, such as ARS309 and ARS315 (Figure 1d, brown arrows), DNA synthesis occurs at both the origin and recovered forks in the cell population. DNA synthesis from late origins
is not detectable (Figure 1d, red arrows). Thus, in WT, DNA synthesis during recovery from replication stress continued mainly from already activated replisomes that had progressed away from origins. If the replication stress persisted, DNA synthesis continued slowly only from existing replisomes (Figure 1d).

In the recovering mrc1Δ mutant, DNA synthesis continued from stalled replisomes, albeit slowly, but unlike WT, new initiation at efficient early origins, such as ARS305, ARS306 and ARS307 was also detected (Figure 1d), suggesting that Mrc1 is important for efficient initiation at early origins in addition to its established role in stimulating fork progression (Osborn and Elledge, 2003; Tourrière et al., 2005; Yeeles et al., 2016). During recovery from stress, the rad53K227A mutant failed to restart DNA synthesis at most stalled forks, except for the replicons in the heterochromatic regions, where new initiation was also detected (Figure 1d). Thus, the replication fork collapse was more severe in the absence of Rad53 kinase compared to the absence of checkpoint signaling in the mrc1Δ mutant.

Rad53 is recruited to sites of DNA synthesis independent of checkpoint signaling

To investigate the status of replisomes, chromatin immunoprecipitation and deep sequencing (ChIP-seq) was employed to follow localization of Cdc45, which is associated with activated helicases at the replisomes. G1 arrested cells and cells released for 45 and 90 min. in HU were processed for ChIP-seq analysis (Behrouzi et al., 2016).

Using either normalized read counts or a heatmap analysis around active origins that are ranked in order of DNA replication timing 28, Cdc45 in WT cells was found moving only from early origins, (Figure 2a and 2b; early origins in top panel and late origins in bottom panel). In contrast, Cdc45 is present at both early and late origins in both rad53K227A and mrc1Δ mutants, with slower progression in the mrc1Δ mutant (Figure 2b; Figure 2- figure supplement 1a), consistent with its role in progression at replication forks (Tourrière et al., 2005; Yeeles et al., 2016). Cdc45 in the rad53K227A mutant emanating from late origins continued to move from HU45 to HU90, whereas at the early origins Cdc45 signal did not move further away for origins (Figure 2b). Since Cdc45 can recruit Rad53 to restrict CMG helicase activity (Can et al., 2018; Devbhandari and Remus, 2020), the limited Cdc45 signal at early origins here suggests that, in the absence of active Rad53 kinase, replisomes departed from origins but disintegrated. The persistent signal at origins in HU90 is consistent with firing at early origins in those cells that had not initiated DNA replication during the HU block.

Phosphorylation of histone H2A at serine 129 (S129; γ-H2A) by the sensor kinase Mec1 is an indication of checkpoint activation. γ-H2A ChIP-seq monitors the genome distribution of checkpoint activation under HU stress (Figure 2c and d; Figure 2 – figure supplement 1b). In WT cells, γ-H2A signals are particularly high around the earliest firing origins in HU45 and HU90, suggesting that stress signals emit mostly from early origins. In the rad53K227A and mrc1Δ mutants, γ-H2A is found at both early and late origins, however, in the rad53K227A mutant, the signal at early firing origins reduces with time, suggesting that Rad53 kinase activity is needed to maintain stress signaling by Mec1 at early origins. In contrast, the Mrc1 is not strictly required to induce or maintain γ-H2A.
Interestingly, γ-H2A is observed at genomic regions surrounding the very late origins in G1-phase in both WT and mutants (Figure 2d and Figure 2 – figure supplement 1b). It is possible that these γ-H2A signals reflect a low level of ssDNA gaps at these late-replicating regions that was tolerated and carried over from the previous cell cycle, similar to unpaired post-replication gaps resulting from low level of UV irradiation in S. pombe G2-phase (Callegari and Kelly, 2006).

Rad53 kinase detected by ChIP-seq at genome sites in WT cells largely follows the progression of replication forks (Figure 2e and f; Figure 2 – figure supplement 1c). Rad53 is also detected at late origins in both checkpoint mutants, but dispersed at late times in the rad53^K227A mutant. The spreading of Rad53 signal in the mrc1Δ mutant is more restricted, consistent with slower replication fork progression. Surprisingly, Rad53 binding to replication forks does not require the Mrc1, suggesting checkpoint-independent recruitment of Rad53 to sites of DNA synthesis.

**Rad53 binds to promoters of genes involved in multiple cellular processes**

Unexpectedly, we noticed many Rad53 peaks even in G1 arrested cells (Figure 2e) and many of these peaks localized upstream of transcription start sites (TSS) or promoters (Figure 3). In WT, some peak signals change as cells progress from G1-phase into HU arrested S-phase. For example, Rad53 at the RNR1 promoter increases from G1 to HU45 and HU90 (Figure 3a and b). A similar pattern occurs at the RNR3 promoter. The Rad53 signal at promoters are present in both rad53^K227A and mrc1Δ mutants (Figure 3a). Rad53 binding to promoters also occurs in the smll null mutant (smllΔ) and the mecl null mutant (mec1Δ smllΔ), but is absent in rad53 null (rad53Δ smllΔ), demonstrating antibody specificity (Figure 3b and Figure 3 – figure supplement 1a). Thus, both the sensor kinase Mec1 and Mrc1 are not required for the recruitment of Rad53 to these sites.

Whole genome analysis shows that ~90% of the Rad53 peaks are either upstream of or overlap the TSS (Figure 3 – figure supplement 1b). Rad53 promoter binding is temporally dynamic in a subset of genes, suggesting regulation by cell cycle progression or DNA replication stress. Heatmaps of the Rad53 signals at 2 kb intervals centered on all transcription start sites (TSS) show a global trend of increasing Rad53 binding as cells progress from G1-phase into HU45 or HU90 (Figure 3c), concomitant with increased levels of Rad53 protein in cells treated with HU (Figure 3 – figure supplement 2a). The increase parallels entry into S-phase, as measured by Orc6 phosphorylation, destruction of Smll1 and histone H2A phosphorylation (Figure 3 – figure supplement 2a-c). Additional genes show increased Rad53 binding as cells progress from G1- into S-phase (Figure 4a, upper panels), but at other promoters Rad53 binding decreases during the same time course (Figure 4a, lower panels). However, at most genes Rad53 remains constant.

In this study, two sets of duplicate Rad53 ChIP-Seq experiments were performed in WT, rad53^K227A and mrc1Δ mutants (CP set), and based on the type of genes that bind Rad53, in transcription factor mutants ixr1Δ, swi4Δ, swi6Δ and WT (TP set). Residual analysis in WT identified the top differentially binding (DB) genes (Figure 4b, Figure 4c for CP and TP sets; orange dots). Among the top 1000 DBs from each set, 435 genes were identified in both (Figure 4b, 435 Top DB overlap). Overall, during the G1- to S-phase transition (HU45), there are more genes with increased Rad53 promoter binding than those with decreased binding. Many of these genes encode proteins involved in cell cycle progression (e.g., cyclins and regulators of DNA replication) and cell growth (e.g., cell wall maintenance and mating response).
In the rad53<sup>K227A</sup> mutant, the increase in Rad53 promoter binding is transient and generally weaker, consistent with lower protein levels (Figure 3c). In the mrc1Δ mutant, the binding at the RNRI promoter is reduced compared to WT, despite an increase Rad53 protein (Figure 3a, Figure 3 – figure supplement 2a). In contrast, the increase in Rad53 binding at the PCL1 promoter appears to be less affected by the checkpoint mutations (Figure 3a). Thus, the DRC checkpoint only affects differential binding of Rad53 to a subset of promoters. At other promoters, cell cycle progression or response to mating pheromone due to treatment and removal of α-factor may contribute to differential Rad53 promoter binding.

Visual inspection of the ChIP-Seq peaks suggested that Rad53 bound to numerous gene promoters and TSSs throughout the genome. Rad53 ChIP-Seq was compared to a previous ChIP-Seq data set of the sequence-specific transcription factor Swi6, part of SBF and MBF that control cell-cycle regulated genes (Breeden, 2003). The Gini indices computed for Swi6 and two of our Rad53 replicates are 0.763, 0.2918, and 0.2982, respectively, calculated from Lorenz curves (Figure 3d). Rad53 has a higher coverage for many promoters while Swi6, as expected, shows substantially high coverage only for a limited number of promoters.

**The relationship between Rad53 promoter binding and gene expression**

The relationship between Rad53 promoter recruitment was compared to gene expression from RNA-seq analysis using the same conditions. RNA-seq replicates from 4 strains (WT, rad9Δ, rad53<sup>K227A</sup> and mrc1Δ), each with 3 stages (G1, HU45 and HU90) were analyzed using rank data analysis (Figure 5a). The expression profiles in G1 are very similar among all strains. In HU, however, two groups are evident; rad9Δ is like WT since Rad9 has no role in the DRC checkpoint branch. In contrast, rad53<sup>K227A</sup> and mrc1Δ cluster together in both HU45 and HU90, consistent with Rad53 and Mrc1 functioning together in the response to HU stress.

In the hierarchical clustering, cell cycle stage contributes more to similarities than the genotype (Figure 5a). Pair-wise comparison of G1 to HU45 in WT and rad9Δ cells shows that ~2300 genes exhibited significant expression changes (differentially expressed genes; DEGs; Figure 5b). The number of DEGs increases further to ~3000 when comparing G1 to HU90. In both rad53<sup>K227A</sup> and mrc1Δ mutants, ~2500 DEGs are detected from G1 to HU45, which increases to >3400 in G1 to HU90. The response to cell cycle stage is largely equally distributed between up and down regulation. A WT and rad9Δ comparison shows only 5 DEGs, demonstrating that Rad9 does not contribute to gene expression changes under HU stress.

The overall heatmap signal of Rad53 upstream of TSSs is higher in the significant DEGs than in the insignificant DEGs, suggesting that Rad53 may play a role in control of gene expression (Figure 5c and Figure 5 – figure supplement 1). Gene co-expression analysis of the RNA-seq data yields ten co-expression clusters of DEGs in WT (G1→HU45) (Figure 6a and Figure 6 – figure supplement 1). Specific, dynamic Rad53 binding at promoter regions occurs in most clusters (Figure 6b), with GO functions including cell cycle regulation, mating response, proteolysis, transport, oxidation-reduction process and organic acid metabolism (Figure 6a).
Within the 435 Top DB overlapping genes (Figure 4b), 236 show significant expression changes. Plots of Rad53 binding changes against gene expression changes of these 236 genes show a positive correlation between Rad53 binding change and gene expression change (Figure 6c, left panel). Among this group, 51 out of 54 genes with decreased Rad53 signal are down-regulated in mRNA levels. Genes with increased Rad53 signals are partitioned between up-regulation and down-regulation (108 and 74, respectively). Further break down of the 236 gene group into co-expression clusters of the DEGs in WT (G1→HU45) revealed that genes in clusters 1 and 7 exhibit the strongest correlation between Rad53 binding and gene expression changes (Figure 6c). Thus, specific subsets of DEGs in the shift from G1→HU exhibit correlations between a change in gene expression and Rad53 promoter binding.

**Checkpoint mutants cause down-regulation of gene expression near promiscuously active late origins**

Upon inspection of Rad53 heatmaps around TSSs, we noticed that in several co-expression clusters from the DEGs in the HU45 (mrc1Δ vs WT) comparison (Figure 7a), down-regulated genes tend to have a strong Rad53 signal not only upstream of the TSS, but a broad signal within gene bodies (Figure 7b). This pattern is prominent in the mrc1Δ mutant at HU45 and further intensifies in HU90. The gene body localization is also found transiently in rad53K227A cells (Figure 7 – figure supplement 1). Such a gene body signal is not as prevalent in the WT HU45 and HU90 samples. Since Rad53 is also recruited to active origins and moves with the replication fork, we suspected these gene body signals in the checkpoint mutants may be caused by the promiscuous activation of near-by origins that are normally inactive in WT, creating conflicts between DNA replication and gene transcription. The transient nature of the Rad53 localization at gene body in this group of genes in the rad53K227A mutant is also consistent with the transient signal pattern at these late origins (Figure 2f, bottom panel). Thus, we investigated the relationship between these genes and their closest replication origins.

The distance of replication origins to the nearest TSS, the relative orientation of the gene to the origin (head-on or co-directional) and the origin type (early, late or inactive; Figure 1b) was determined and correlated with the DEG clusters (Figure 7c). Overall, most of the down regulated genes in cluster 1 of this group are situated very close to active origins (< 2 kb between origin center and TSS, light purple marks and <1 kb, dark purple marks). Interestingly, the pattern of origin to promoter distance marks largely mirrored the pattern of the Rad53 ChIP signal within the gene bodies (Figure 7b and 7c). This correlation pattern is not found in the WT ChIP heatmap. Within the DEG group, genes situated 5 kb or more away from closest active origins are similarly distributed between up regulation and down regulation of gene expression (Figure 7d, left panels). However, for those genes that are closer to an active origin, the bias to be down regulated gene increases. For those that gene situated less than 1 kb away from active origins, more than 80% are down-regulated genes.

The DEGs in HU45 (mrc1Δ vs WT) that are more than 5 kb away from active origins are also similarly distributed between up and down regulation (Figure 7d, middle panels). More down regulated genes are found when the nearby origins are active. The bias is even stronger for genes that are close to late origins, which become active in HU when Mrc1 is absent. Because late origins and intermediate early origins are more active in the mrc1Δ mutant, it is possible that nearby gene expression is negatively affected by active DNA synthesis. Furthermore, the bias toward the down
regulation is even stronger (>80%) when the nearby origin is in a head-on orientation towards the gene (Figure 7d, right panels). Similarly, a bias exists toward down regulation of DEGs from HU45 (rad53K227A vs WT) that are close to active origins (Figure 7 – figure supplement 1). The tendency to find a high Rad53 signal at gene bodies in the mrc1Δ and rad53K227A mutants also occurred in the down-regulated DEGs in mrc1Δ (G1→HU45) (Figure 5 – figure supplement 1c), likely caused by the same proximal origins. Thus, the untimely activation of replication origins in the checkpoint mutants affects gene expression and Rad53 binding to gene bodies.

**Rad53 binding changes coincide with the changes in gene expression for targets of cell cycle regulators SBF, MBF and mating response regulator Ste12**

The DEGs in WT (G1→HU45) were associated with co-expression clusters that showed a strong correlation between Rad53 binding and gene expression (Figure 6c, clusters 1 and 7). They contain genes that encode targets of SBF and MBF, key transcription factor complexes comprised of a shared regulatory subunit, Swi6 and the DNA-binding subunits Swi4 and Mbp1, respectively (Breeden, 2003). Their target genes include multiple G1- and S-phase cyclin genes, such as PCL1, CLN1, CLN2, CLB5, CLB6. Evidence suggests that SBF and MBF are directly regulated by Rad53 kinase (Oliveira et al., 2012; Sidorova and Breeden, 2003; Travesa et al., 2012) and Rad53 may regulate expression of targets of Msn4, Swi6, Swi4, and Mbp1 through Dun1-independent mechanisms (Jaehnig et al., 2013). Thus, we analyzed the annotated targets of these transcription factors compiled in the Saccharomyces Genome Database (SGD; https://www.yeastgenome.org). Among the 81 genes that are candidate targets for both Swi4 and Swi6, 36 genes were found in the 236 significant DEGs in the Top DB overlap (Figures 4b and 6c) with an enrichment of 12.91. Scatter plot comparisons of Rad53 binding and gene expression changes of these 36 genes show a clear positive correlation (Figure 8a, SBF top panel). Combining the data from the checkpoint mutants (Figure 8a, SBF bottom panel and Figure 8 – figure supplement 1a) show that most of these genes have similar levels of differential expression in the rad9Δ mutant compared with WT from G1 to HU45, whereas in the mrc1Δ and rad53K227A mutants exhibit different level of changes. Similar plot patterns were found with 26 out of 65 MBP targets with an enrichment of 11.62 (Figure 8a and Figure 8 – figure supplement 1b), including overlap between the targets of SBF and MBF (19 genes). We also found enrichment for targets of transcription factor Msn4 and patterns of correlation (Figure 8a and Figure 8 – figure supplement 1d, Msn4 panels), including 12 out of 22 Msn4 targets that are also SBF targets.

Many of the genes with decreased Rad53 binding at the promoters are mating response genes (Figures 4b and c). Therefore, the targets of Ste12, a key transcription factor activated by MAPK signaling to activate genes involved in mating or pseudohyphal/invasive growth pathways were investigated. Of 183 potential targets of Ste12 annotated in SGD, 34 are in the 236 significant DEGs in the Top DB overlap (Figures 6c and 8a). All the Ste12 targets that have decreased Rad53 binding are down regulated as cells entered S-phase. Moreover, 20 out of the 34 Ste12 targets in the Top DB group show increased Rad53 binding in HU and 11 of these 20 genes are also targets of SBF. Thus, regulation by SBF appears to be responsible for the correlation between increased Rad53 binding at the promoter and up-regulation of these target genes.

**SBF plays a major role in the localization of Rad53 to the promoters of its target genes under replication stress**
To determine the contribution of various transcription regulators in recruitment of Rad53 to gene promoters, Rad53 ChIP-seq analysis in WT, ixr1Δ, swi4Δ and swi6Δ mutants was performed. In the scatter plot of the Rad53 signal upstream of TSSs in G1 versus HU45 from the WT sample, SBF targets in the Top DB (Figure 8b, orange/red diamonds) showed significant deviation from the global trend (blue dots). In swi4Δ and swi6Δ mutants, the signal for all of these SBF targets collapses towards the global trend (purple and light olive dots, swi6Δ and swi4Δ, respectively), suggesting that Rad53 signal changes at these genes depends on SBF. In the ixr1Δ mutant (green dots), the majority of these SBF targets remain deviated from the global trend in the scatter plot, except for the RNR1 gene, indicated in the close-up plots (Figure 8b, lower panels), whose position collapsed in all three mutants. Rad53 binding to the RNR1 promoter is reduced in both SBF mutants, consistent with RNR1 being a target of SBF and MBF ((Bruin et al., 2006)). Rad53 binding is completely eliminated from the TOS6 (target of SBF 6) promoter while for PCL1 and YOX1, both targets of SBF, Rad53 binding does not increase in HU. Interestingly, at the promoter of RNR3, the paralog of RNR1, Rad53 binding in the SBF mutants is low, even though RNR3 may not be a SBF or MBF target. On the other hand, ixr1Δ reduces Rad53 binding to RNR1 in HU but has no effect on Rad53 recruitment at the RNR3 promoter (Figure 8c).

Discussion

Following hydroxyurea induced replication stress, Rad53 was recruited to active origins of DNA replication and to DNA replication forks in a checkpoint independent manner since mrc1Δ and rad53K227A mutants had little effect on binding. Rad53 is targeted to replisomes by the helicase subunits Cdc45 and Mcm2 where it is activated by Mec1 kinase dependent on Mrc1 at the fork, and stabilizes the replisome (Can et al., 2018; Cobb et al., 2005; Lou et al., 2008; McClure and Diffley, 2021; Szyjka et al., 2008). Maintenance of Rad53 at the replication forks requires Rad53 kinase activity but not DRC checkpoint signaling. Since Rad53 kinase can auto-activate itself (Gilbert et al., 2001; Lanz et al., 2019; Pardo et al., 2017; Saldivar et al., 2017), we suggest that either auto-activation or binding to a phosphorylated replisome protein is required for the continued presence of Rad53 at replication forks.

Checkpoint signaling also prevents replication initiation in late replicating regions of the genome (Hamperl and Cimprich, 2016). However, in the checkpoint mutants, these late origins become active and Rad53 was recruited to the body of origin proximal genes. Concomitantly, gene expression of these genes was reduced, perhaps mediated by recruitment of Rad53. We suggest that the normal temporal order of replication of the genome throughout S-phase has evolved to prevent conflicts between replication and transcription, which is particularly important in a gene dense genome such as S. cerevisiae. It is known that late replicating genes are tethered to the nuclear periphery and checkpoint signaling, including Rad53 kinase, is required for preventing topological impediments for replication fork progression (Bermejo et al., 2011; Hamperl and Cimprich, 2016). Moreover, during normal replication, Mec1 may locally activate Rad53 to deal with difficult to replicate regions or regions of replication-transcription conflict without triggering full blown checkpoint activation (Bastos de Oliveira et al., 2015). Rad53 kinase inhibits Mrc1 stimulation of the CMG helicase (McClure and Diffley, 2021), consistent with our observation that replication fork progression is limited in the absence of Mrc1 and that replication forks cannot be rescued after DNA damage in rad53K227A cells (Forey et al., 2020).
Unexpectedly we also found Rad53 constitutively bound to > 20% of the gene promoters in the yeast genome, independent of Mrc1 and Rad53 kinase activities. The genes encode proteins with diverse activities, including various aspects of cell cycle, metabolism, protein modification, ion transport, cell wall organization and cell growth. The levels of Rad53 binding to most of these genes did not change during the time course in HU, whereas Rad53 binding increased at promoters for genes such as RNR1, RNR3 and TOS6. In contrast, Rad53 levels decreased on the promoters of genes involved in response to mating pheromone as cells exited from α-factor induced G1 arrest into the cell division cycle. The prevalent and dynamic changes in Rad53 promoter-bound levels did not necessarily depend on checkpoint signaling at genes like PCL1, but in some cases such as RNR1, the increase in Rad53 levels was reduced in checkpoint mutants.

The conditions employed in this study, cell cycle entry in the presence of hydroxyurea, may determine the nature of the genes that display dynamic binding of Rad53 to gene promoters. It is known that Rad53 phosphorylates transcription factors such as the SBF and MBF subunit Swi6 and the MBF co-repressor Nrm1 (Sidorova and Breeden, 2003; Travesa et al., 2012) and that Ixr1 controls transcription of RNR1 (Tsaponina et al., 2011). Removal of Swi4, Swi6 or Ixr1 reduced, and in some cases eliminated Rad53 binding to promoters of genes controlled by these transcription factors. Rad53 bound to the Nrm1 promoter, suggesting an additional regulation of cell cycle-dependent transcription control by Rad53. Rad53 also bound to promoters of genes encoding histones H3 and H4, suggesting that in addition to its known role in histone degradation (Gunjan and Verreault, 2003) Rad53 controls histone gene expression. This is consistent with previous findings that Rad53 targets Yta7 (Smolka et al., 2006), which interacts with FACT to regulate histone gene expression and inhibits Spt21NPAT-regulated histone genes expression (Bruhn et al., 2020; Gradolatto et al., 2008). In the absence of Rad53 protein, histone levels become elevated, causing global effects on gene expression (Bruhn et al., 2020; Tsaponina et al., 2011).

Our data is consistent with the possibility that the Rad53 kinase contributes to the transcriptional regulation as a structural component, as previously suggested for several MAP kinases (Alepuz et al., 2001; Kim et al., 2008; Sanz et al., 2018). Like the stress induced kinase Hog1, Rad53 binding to promoters may be dynamic in other stress conditions, which is under investigation. A major unanswered question is how does Rad53 bind to so many diverse promoter sites.
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**Materials and methods**

**Yeast strains and methods**

Yeast strains generated in this study were derived from W303-1a (MATa ade2-1 can1-100 his3-11,15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1) and are described in Supplemental Table 1. All the yeast strains used for the whole-genome DNA replication profile analyses have a copy of the BrdU-Inc cassette inserted into the URA3 locus ((Viggiani and Aparicio, 2006)). For G1 arrest of bar1Δ strains, exponentially
growing yeast cells (~10^7 cell/mL) in YPD were synchronized in G1 with 25 ng/mL of α-factor for 150 min at 30°C. For G1 arrest of BARI strains, exponentially growing cells were grown in normal YPD, then transferred into YPD (pH3.9), grown to ~10^7 cell/mL, and then synchronized in G1 with three doses of α-factor at 2 µg/mL at 0-, 50-, and 100-min time point at 30°C. Cells were collected at 150 min for release. To release from G1 arrest, cells were collected by filtration and promptly washed twice on the filter using one culture volume of H2O and then resuspended into YPD medium containing 0.2 mg/mL pronase E (Sigma).

**Protein sample preparation and immunoblot analysis**

TCA extraction of yeast proteins was as described previously ((Sheu et al., 2014)). For immunoblot analysis, protein samples were fractionated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. Immunoblot analyses for Orc6 (SB49), Rad53 (ab104232, Abcam), γ-H2A (ab15083, Abcam) and Sml1 (AS10 847, Agrisera) were performed as described ((Sheu et al., 2016, 2014)).

**Isolation and preparation of DNA for whole-genome replication profile analysis**

Modified protocol based on previously described ((Sheu et al., 2016, 2014)). Briefly, yeast cells were synchronized in G1 with α-factor and released into medium containing 0.2 mg/mL pronase E, 0.5 mM 5-ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine (EdU) with or without addition of 200 mM HU as indicated in the main text. At the indicated time point, cells were collected for preparation of genomic DNA. The genomic DNA were fragmented, biotinylated, and then purified. Libraries for Illumina sequencing were constructed using TruSeq ChIP Library Preparation Kit (Illumina). Libraries were pooled and submitted for 50 bp paired-end sequencing.

**Sample preparation for Chromatin immunoprecipitation coupled to deep sequencing (ChIP-seq)**

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) was performed as described ((Behrouzi et al., 2016)) with modification. About 10^9 synchronized yeast cells were fixed with 1% formaldehyde for 15 min at room temperature (RT), then quenched with 130 mM glycine for 5 min at RT, harvested by centrifugation, washed twice with TBS (50 mM Tris.HCl pH 7.6, 150 mM NaCl), and flash frozen. Cell pellets were resuspended in 600 µl lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% Na-Deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 1 mM PMSF, protease inhibitor tablet (Roche)), and disrupted by bead beating using multi-tube vortex (Multi-Tube Vortexer, Baxter Scientific Products) for 12-15 cycles of 30 seconds vortex at maximum intensity. Cell extracts were collected and sonicated using Bioruptor (UCD-200, Diagenode) for 38 cycles of pulse for 30 seconds "ON", 30 seconds "OFF" at amplitude setting High (H). The extract was centrifuged for 5 min at 14,000 rpm. The soluble chromatin was used for IP.

Antibodies against Cdc45 (CS1485, this lab (Sheu and Stillman, 2006)), Rad53 (ab104232, Abcam), γ-H2A (ab15083, Abcam) was preincubated with washed Dynabeads Protein A/G (Invitrogen, 1002D and 1004D). For each immunoprecipitation, 80 µl antibody-coupled beads was added to soluble chromatin. Samples were incubated overnight at 4°C with rotation, after which the beads were collected on magnetic stands, and washed 3 times with 1 ml lysis buffer and once with 1 ml TE, and eluted with 250 µl preheated buffer (50 mM Tris.HCl pH 8.0, 10 mM EDTA, 1% SDS) at 65°C for 15 min. Immunoprecipitated samples were incubated overnight at 65°C to reverse crosslink, and
treated with 50 μg RNase A at 37°C for 1 hr. 5 μl proteinase K (Roche) was added and incubation
was continued at 55°C for 1 hr. Samples were purified using MinElute PCR purification kit
(Qiagen). Libraries for Illumina sequencing were constructed using TruSeq ChIP Library Preparation
Kit (Illumina, IP-202-1012 and IP-202-1024).

The duplicate Rad53 ChIP-Seq data was compared to published ChIP-Seq data for Swi6 (Park et al.,
2013) (SRX360900: GSM1241092: swi6_DMSO_illumina; Saccharomyces cerevisiae; ChIP-Seq),

Sample preparation for RNA seq
About 2-3x10^8 flash-frozen yeast cells were resuspended in Trizol (cell pellet: Trizol = 1:10) and
vortex for 15 sec and incubate 25°C for 5 min. Add 200 μl chloroform per 1 ml of Trizol-cell
suspension, vortex 15 sec, then incubate at room temp for 5 min and centrifuged to recover the
aqueous layer. The RNA in the aqueous layer were further purified and concentrated using PureLink
Column (Invitrogen, 12183018A). The RNA was eluted in 50 μl and store at 20°C if not used
immediately. Store at -80°C for long term. Paired-end RNA-seq libraries were prepared using
TruSeq stranded mRNA library preparation kit (Illumina, 20020594).

Generation of coverage tracks using the Galaxy platform
For visualization of read coverage in the Integrated Genome Browser ((Freese et al., 2016), the
coverage tracks were generated using the Galaxy platform maintained by the Bioinformatics Shared
Resource (BSR) of Cold Spring Harbor Lab. The paired-end reads from each library were trimmed to
31 bases and mapped to sacCer3 genome using Bowtie ((Langmead, 2010)). The coverage track of
mapped reads was then generated using bamCoverage ((Ramírez et al., 2014)) with normalization to
1x genome.

Definition of the origin-types
Based on the BamCoverage output for EdU signal in WT, rad53^{K227A} and mrc1Δ, we categorized 829
origins listed in the oriDB database ((Siow et al., 2012)). We define the early origins as the one whose
signal at the first time point is larger than 2. The late origins are extracted from the rest of the origins if the
average signal value at the later time point is larger than 2 in rad53^{K227A} and mrc1Δ mutants. Among the
829 entries in oriDB, we defined 521 as active origins (with EdU signal in WT or checkpoint mutants
rad53^{K227A} and mrc1Δ), in which 256 was categorized as early origins (with EdU signal in WT) and
265 as late origins (with signal in checkpoint mutants but not in WT). The remaining 308 entries do
not have significant signal under our condition and were deemed inactive origins.

Computational analysis of sequence data
The sequenced reads were trimmed by cutadapt with an option of “nextseq-trim”, then aligned by
STAR ((Dobin et al., 2013)) in a paired-end mode to the sacCer3 genome masked at repetitive
regions. The gene structure is referred from SGD reference genome annotation R64.1.1 as of Oct.
2018. For RNA-seq quantification analysis, the total counts of aligned reads were computed for each
gene by applying “GeneCounts” mode. For ChIP-seq quantification analysis, the reads were mapped
using the same pipeline. Additionally, peak calling was done by MACS2 in a narrow peak mode.

Gene expression analysis
Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) and their p-values were computed for each pair of the cases by \texttt{binomWaldTest} after size factor normalization using DESeq2 (\cite{Love2014}). Using the list of DEGs, GO and KEGG enrichment analyses were performed via Pathview library. ClusterProfiler was applied to visualize fold changes of DEGs in each KEGG pathway. Co-expression analysis of significant DEGs was further performed base on co-expression network constructed in CoCoCoNet (\cite{Lee2020}). CoCoCoNet has established the co-expression matrix of Spearman’s correlation ranking based on 2,690 samples downloaded from SRA database. We carried out clustering for the correlation matrix downloaded from CoCoCoNet (yeast\_metaAggnet) by dynamicTreeCut in R (or hierarchical clustering) to obtain at most 10 clusters. The enrichment analysis for the gene set of each cluster was performed in the same way with RNA-seq analysis.

**ChIP-seq signal normalization**

For ChIP-seq signal normalization, two different methods were applied to different types of analysis. For ChIP-seq residual analysis, we used simple normalization. In this process, each case sample is compared with the corresponding control sample of DNA input to compute log2 fold changes within each 25 bp window reciprocally scaled by multiplying the total read counts of another sample. Then, the average of fold changes is computed for each duplicate. For ChIP-seq heatmap analysis, we employed the origin-aware normalization to account for the higher background around origin region as a result of DNA replication. In the origin-aware normalization, the same computation used in simple normalization, or log2 fold change with scaling by the total read count, is independently applied for the region proximal to the origins and others. For the heatmap presented in this paper, the origin-proximal region is defined as the region within 5,000 bp upstream and downstream.

**Heatmap analyses at origins and TSS**

After the average fold change computation and normalization from ChIP-seq signals, the signal strength is visualized around the target regions such as TSSs and replication origins are extracted using \texttt{normalizeToMatrix} function in EnrichedHeatmap (window size is 25 bp and average mode is \texttt{w0}). We ordered heatmaps to examine a different signal enrichment pattern for the characteristics of each origin or gene. For the heatmap row of each origin is ordered by the assigned replication timing for ChIP-seq signals around replication origins. The replication time for the origins are annotated with the replication timing data published previously (\cite{Yabuki2002}). From the estimated replication time for each 1,000 bp window, we extracted the closest window from the center of each replication origin and assigned it as the representative replication timing if their distance is no more than 5,000 bp. Early and late origins groups are categorized according to the definition of the origin-types using the replication profile data from this study. The final set of the replication origins used in the heatmap analysis are obtained after filtering out the replication origins overlapped with any of 238 hyper-ChIPable regions defined in the previous study (\cite{Teytelman2013}). In total, 167 early and 231 late origins pass this filter and are used in the heatmaps analysis in this study. For heatmaps of the ChIP-seq signals around TSS, we ordered genes based on RNA-seq fold changes for all DEGs or per co-expression cluster of DEGs based on gene co-expression network constructed in CoCoCoNet (\cite{Lee2020}).

**ChIP-seq residual analysis**

To detect the time-dependent increase or decrease of Rad53 binding signals, we first focused on the 500 bp window upstream from each TSS and computed the sum of the fold change signals estimated for each 25-bp window scaled by the window size as an activity of Rad53 binding for each gene. The
overall activity scores are varied for each time point probably because of the different Rad53 protein level or other batch-specific reasons. To adjust such sample specific differences for a fair comparison, a linear regression is applied for the activity scores of all genes between G1 and other time points HU45 and HU90 using lm function in R. Then we selected top genes showing the deviated signals from the overall tendency according to the absolute residual values between the actual and predicted values, excluding the genes with signal value lower than -0.075 after scaling the maximal signal to 1. Top 1,000 genes with the highest absolute residual values were selected from 2 sets of experiments. The common 435 genes among the duplicates were selected for further analysis.

**Data Availability**
All data supporting this work are available at public data sites. XXXX Source data are provide with this paper. XXXXURL.

**Code Availability**
R scripts for the co-expression analyses including clustering and enrichment analysis are available at https://github.com/carushi/yeast_coexp_analysis.

**Figure legends**

**Figure 1. DNA synthesis under stress caused by depletion of dNTP pool and during recovery from the stress.**

a, Yeast cells were synchronized in G1-phase and released into YPD containing 0.2 M HU for 90 min. (Top panel) Replication profiles of Chromosome IV for the wild type (WT), rad53K227A and mrc1Δ mutants. (Bottom panel) Replication profiles of wild type (WT), rad9Δ and rad53K227A mutants. Red arrows point out some late origins. Ori-DB track indicate positions of replication origins annotated in OriDB (Siow et al., 2012). b, Scatter plot of EdU signals from early (E), late (L) and inactive (I) origins in WT rad53K227A and mrc1Δ mutants. c, Scheme for accessing DNA synthesis during recovery from HU stress. d, Cells that had progressed from G1 into S-phase in HU for 45 min. were released from the HU block and DNA synthesis labeled with EdU during an additional 25 min (HU→S25) or were continued in HU for another 45 min. and labeled with EdU (HU→HU45). Replication profiles of Chromosome III is shown as an example.

**Figure 1 – figure supplement 1. DNA synthesis under stress caused by depletion of dNTP pool**

Yeast cells were synchronized in G1 phase and released into YPD containing 0.2 M HU for 45 and 90 min (HU45 and HU90, respectively). a, Replication profiles of Chromosome IV for the wild type (WT) and mrc1Δ mutants and for the WT and rad53K227A mutants. b, Replication profiles of Chromosome III of WT, rad9Δ and rad53K227A mutants at HU90. Red arrows point out some late origins. Location of some heterochromatin regions are also indicated (black text and arrows).

**Figure 2. Replisome status and checkpoint signaling at replication origins under replication stress**

Cells were synchronized in G1-phase and released into YPD containing 0.2 M HU for 45 and 90 min (HU45 and HU90, respectively). WT, rad53K227A and mrc1Δ mutant cells at stages of G1, HU45 and HU90 were collected and processed for ChIP-seq analysis for distribution of Cdc45, γ-H2A and Rad53 at genome locations. a, c and e, Coverage tracks of ChIP-seq signals generated from mapped
reads using BamCoverage with normalization of 1X genome size. b, d and f, Heatmaps of ChIP-seq signal across 30 kb interval centered on active origins. Early origins (top panels) and late origins (bottom panels) are ordered according to the associated replication timing data reported in a previous study ((Yabuki et al., 2002)). a, Distribution of Cdc45 ChIP-seq signal on chromosome III. b, Heatmaps of Cdc45 ChIP-seq signal around active origins. c, γ-H2A ChIP-seq signal on chromosome III. d, Heatmaps of γ-H2A ChIP-seq signal around active origins. e, Rad53 ChIP-seq signal on chromosome III. f, Heatmaps of Rad53 ChIP-seq signal around active origins.

**Figure 2 – figure supplement 1. Heatmaps of ChIP-seq signal across 30 kb centered on all active origins**

Cells were synchronized in G1 phase and released into YPD containing 0.2 M HU for 45 and 90 min (HU45 and HU90, respectively). WT, rad53K227A and mrc1Δ mutant cells at stages of G1, HU45 and HU90 were collected and processed for ChIP-seq analysis. a, b, and c, Heatmaps of ChIP-seq signal of Cdc45, γ-H2A and Rad53, respectively, across 30 kb centered on all active origins as defined in this study (see Definition of the origin-types in methods section). Origins are ordered according to the associated replication timing data reported in previous study (Yabuki et al., 2002).

**Figure 3. Rad53 is recruited to TSS and the binding changes with the cell cycle stage**

a, Distribution of Rad53 ChIP-seq signal near RNR1, PCL1 and TOS6 genes in WT, rad53K227A and mrc1Δ mutant cells at stages of G1, HU45 and HU90. b, Rad53 ChIP-seq profiles in WT, sml1Δ, rad53Δsml1Δ, and mec1Δsml1Δ near RNR1 gene. Asynchronous yeast cultures were processed for ChIP-seq analysis for distribution of Rad53. Tracks from WT G1, HU45 and HU90 are also included for reference. c, Heatmaps and average signals of Rad53 ChIP-seq signal across 2 kb interval centered on transcription start sites (TSS) for WT, rad53K227A and mrc1Δ mutant cells at stages of G1, HU45 and HU90.

**Figure 3 – figure supplement 1. Recruitment of Rad53 to upstream TSS depends on the presence of Rad53**

a. Rad53 ChIP-seq profiles in WT, sml1Δ, rad53Δsml1Δ, and mec1Δsml1Δ for chromosome III. Asynchronous yeast cultures were processed for ChIP-seq analysis for distribution of Rad53. The results from two independent experiments are shown. Experiment 1 compares only sml1Δ, rad53Δsml1Δ. Experiment 2 is the same shown in Figure 3b. Tracks from WT G1, HU45 and HU90 are also included for reference. b, Pie charts showing the distribution of Rad53 ChIP-seq peaks in relation to genes.

**Figure 3 – figure supplement 2. Relative level of Rad53 protein changes in cells**

a, Immunoblots monitoring protein status for Rad53, Orc6, γ-H2A and Sm11 during checkpoint activation from G1 to HU45 and HU90. b, Comparison of Rad53 protein level in G1 extracts from WT, rad53K227A, mrc1Δ and rad9Δ cells. Blots for Orc6, γ-H2A and Sm11 are included for reference. c, Comparison of Rad53 protein level in HU90 extracts from WT, rad53K227A, mrc1Δ and rad9Δ cells. 14 % SDS-PAGE was used for the Rad53 blot to allow collapsing of all phosphorylated forms into a single band. Two-fold dilutions of the samples are loaded.

**Figure 4. Identification of genes with Rad53 binding changes at the promoters**

a. Examples of coverage tracks for selected genes show Rad53 signal changes at the indicated promoters from G1 to HU. (b) Scatter plots compare the signals in G1 and HU45 at 500 bp intervals
upstream of TSS for all genes in WT. Orange dots indicated the 1000 genes with highest binding changes (Top 1000 DB) and satisfying the filter of minimal signal of -0.075 (Maximal = 1). The two plots represent WT data sets from two separate experiments (see text). (e) Binding changes for 435 genes that are in both sets of Top 1000 DB (435 Top DB overlap).

**Figure 5.** Gene expression changes in WT and checkpoint mutants under stress and the tendency of higher Rad53 binding at promoter of genes with significant differential expression

(a) Rank data analysis of RNA-seq samples. WT, rad9Δ, rad53<sup>K227A</sup> and mrc1Δ cells were synchronized in G1-phase and released into YPD containing 0.2 M HU for 45 and 90 min (HU45 and HU90, respectively). Cells at stages of G1, HU45 and HU90 were collected and processed for RNA-seq analysis. (b) Bar graph summarizing the number of genes that show statistically significant differential expression (DEGs) in pair-wise comparison as indicated to the right. Blue bars, down-regulated DEGs. Orange bars, up-regulated DEGs. (c) Average Rad53 ChIP-seq signal across 2 kb interval centered on at TSS for statistically significant (red) and insignificant (cyan) DEGs.

**Figure 5 – figure supplement 1.** Average Rad53 ChIP-seq signal and heatmaps of signal across 2 kb interval centered on TSS for various groups of time-dependent differentially expressed genes (DEGs)

(a) Rad53 ChIP-seq signal in WT, rad53<sup>K227A</sup> and mrc1Δ mutant cells at stages G1, HU45 and HU90 for DEGs in WT(G1→HU45) and in WT(G1→HU90). **b and c,** Rad53 ChIP-seq signal DEGs in rad53<sup>K227A</sup>(G1→HU45) and mrc1Δ(G1→HU45), respectively. Genes in each group are arranged according to the differential expression level from up to down. Average ChIP-seq signal for significant (red) and for insignificant (cyan) DEGs are plotted on top of the heatmap.

**Figure 6.** Correlation between differential binding of Rad53 at promoter and differential gene expression

(a) Co-expression cluster matrix for significant DEGs in WT (G1→HU45). Cluster (C): color codes for DEG clusters. Gene(G): level of differential expression. **b,** Heatmaps of Rad53 ChIP-seq signal across 2 kb interval centered on TSS for DEG clusters in a. Genes within each cluster are ordered by the level of expression changes from up to down. **c,** Scatter plots of binding changes against expression changes for the 236 significant DEGs in the 435 Top DB overlap group (top, left) and subgroups in clusters 1, 2, 4 and 7.

**Figure 6 – figure supplement 1.** Rad53 ChIP-seq signal and heatmaps of signal across 2 kb interval centered on TSS for co-expression clusters in significant DEG in WT (G1→HU45)

Genes in each group are arranged according to the log2 based differential expression level from up to down. The three left most heatmap columns for WT samples are identical as in Figure 6b.

**Figure 7.** Origin-proximal DEGs are biased towards down-regulation in the mrc1Δ mutant.

(a) Co-expression cluster matrix for significant DEGs in HU45 (mrc1Δ vs WT). Cluster (C): color codes for DEG clusters. Gene(G): level of differential expression. **b,** Heatmaps of Rad53 ChIP-seq signal across 2 kb interval centered on TSS for DEG clusters in a. ChIP-seq signal in WT, rad53<sup>K227A</sup> and mrc1Δ mutant cells at stages G1, HU45 and HU90 are shown. **c,** Summary of gene-origin relation in DEGs co-expression clusters for HU45 (mrc1Δ vs WT). Distance between each TSS and its nearest origin center is indicated in pink gradient as well as light purple (<2 kb) and dark purple (<1 kb). Relative TSS-origin orientation and origin type are indicated. **d,** Proportion of down/up
regulation of DEGs as categorized by TSS to origin distance (left panels), origin type within 5 kb (middle panels) and orientation (right panels; CD: co-directional; HO: head-on).

**Figure 7 – figure supplement 1.** Origin-proximal DEGs are biased towards down-regulation in the rad53ΔK227A mutant.

a. Co-expression cluster matrix for significant DEGs in HU45 (rad53ΔK227A vs WT). Cluster (C): color codes for DEG clusters. Gene(G): level of differential expression. b, Heatmaps of Rad53 ChIP-seq signal across 2 kb interval centered on TSS for DEG clusters above. ChIP-seq signal in WT, rad53ΔK227A and mrc1Δ mutant cells at stages G1, HU45 and HU90 are shown. c, Summary of gene-origin relation in DEGs co-expression clusters for HU45(rad53ΔK227A vs WT). Distance between each TSS and its nearest origin center is indicated in pink gradient as well as light purple (<2 kb) and dark purple (<1 kb). Relative TSS-origin orientation and origin type are indicated.

**Figure 8.** SBF plays a major role in the localization of Rad53 to the promoters of its target genes under replication stress

Differential binding of Rad53 at promoters and differential expression of target genes of SBF, MBF, Msn4 and Ste12. a, Top panels: scatter plots of binding changes (DB residual) and expression changes (log2 Fold change) for targets of indicated transcription regulators that are in the 236 significant DEGs in the Top DB overlap (Figure 4). Bottom panels: scatter plots above adding the expression change data from the checkpoint mutants. Enrichment of transcription regulator targets in the 236 Top DB DEGs. b, Top panels: Scatter plots illustrating the Rad53 signal upstream of TSS for all genes in WT, ixr1Δ, swi4Δ and swi6Δ mutants. SBF targets found in the 435 Top DB overlap are show as orange or red diamond and RNRI in red diamond. Bottom panels: Close-up for specific area from above panels. c, Distribution of Rad53 ChIP-seq signal near selected Top DB genes that are targets of SBF, MBF, Ixr1 or Rfx1 in WT, ixr1Δ, swi4Δ and swi6Δ mutants at stages of G1, HU45 and HU90.

**Figure 8 – figure supplement 1.** Differential binding of Rad53 at promoter and differential expression of target genes of SBF, MBF, Msn4 and Ste12.

a, b, c and d, Targets of SBF, MBF, Ste12 and Msn4, respectively. Scatter plots of binding changes (DB residual) and expression changes (log2 Fold change) for targets of indicated transcription regulators that are in the 236 significant DEGs in the Top DB overlap. Expression change data from WT, rad53ΔK227A, mrc1Δ and rad9Δ are presented in pairwise manner for comparison and column graphs of expression change data from WT, rad53ΔK227A, mrc1Δ and rad9Δ cells for genes presented in the scatter plots above.
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### Supplemental Table 1

Yeast strains used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>genotype</th>
<th>source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YS2571</td>
<td>MATa bar1Δ::TRP1 URA3::BrdU-Inc ade2-1 can1-100 his3-11,-15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1</td>
<td>Sheu et al 2014(Sheu et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YS3110</td>
<td>MATa rad53K227A::KanMX4 bar1Δ::TRP1 URA3::BrdU-Inc ade2-1 can1-100 his3-11,-15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YS3285</td>
<td>MATa mrc1Δ::KanMX4 bar1Δ::TRP1 URA3::BrdU-Inc ade2-1 can1-100 his3-11,-15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YS3382</td>
<td>MATa rad9Δ::HIS3 bar1Δ::TRP1 URA3::BrdU-Inc ade2-1 can1-100 his3-11,-15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YS3388</td>
<td>MATa ixr1Δ::HIS3 bar1Δ::TRP1 URA3::BrdU-Inc ade2-1 can1-100 his3-11,-15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YS3401</td>
<td>MATa swi4Δ::HIS3 bar1Δ::TRP1 URA3::BrdU-Inc ade2-1 can1-100 his3-11,-15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YS3406</td>
<td>MATa swi6Δ::HIS3 bar1Δ::TRP1 URA3::BrdU-Inc ade2-1 can1-100 his3-11,-15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YS2828</td>
<td>MATa URA3::BrdU-Inc ade2-1 can1-100 his3-11,-15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1</td>
<td>Sheu et al 2016(Sheu et al., 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YS3066</td>
<td>MATa sml1Δ::HIS3 URA3::BrdU-Inc ade2-1 can1-100 his3-11,-15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1</td>
<td>Sheu et al 2016(Sheu et al., 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YS3075</td>
<td>MATa mec1Δ::TRP1 sml1Δ::HIS3 URA3::BrdU-Inc ade2-1 can1-100 his3-11,-15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1</td>
<td>Sheu et al 2016(Sheu et al., 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YS3077</td>
<td>MATa rad53Δ::KanMX sml1Δ::HIS3 URA3::BrdU-Inc ade2-1 can1-100 his3-11,-15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1</td>
<td>Sheu et al 2016(Sheu et al., 2016)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1

(a) Representative S-phase histograms for each condition. The horizontal scale represents the number of cell cycles, and the vertical scale represents the fraction of cells in each cycle phase. The different colors represent different treatment conditions: WT, rad53Δ, rad9Δ, OriDB, and ChrIV.

(b) EdU signal at origins. The x-axis represents origin groups, and the y-axis represents the EdU signal.

(c) Experimental protocol. The protocol includes synchronizing in G1, releasing to HU stress, removing HU stress, and continuing HU stress.

(d) Genomic footprints. The different colors represent different conditions: WT, rad53Δ, and mrc1Δ. The y-axis represents the chromosome, and the x-axis represents the genomic position. The footprints are color-coded to indicate efficient, moderate, and late origins.
Figure 1 - figure supplement

(a) 

(b)
Figure 2 – figure supplement 1

(a) Cdc45 ChIP signal

(b) γ-H2A ChIP signal

(c) Rad53 ChIP signal
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Rad53 ChIP-seq in WT and mutant cells
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Distribution of Rad53 peaks in relation to genes
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**A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rad53</th>
<th>Orc6</th>
<th>γH2A</th>
<th>Sml1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rad53K227A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mrc1Δ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rad9Δ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10% (77:1) for Rad53 and Orc6

14% (37.5:1) for γH2A and Sml1

**B**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rad53</th>
<th>Orc6</th>
<th>γH2A</th>
<th>Sml1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rad53K227A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mrc1Δ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rad9Δ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x G1 extract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10% (77:1) for Rad53 and Orc6

14% (37.5:1) for γH2A and Sml1

**C**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rad53</th>
<th>γH2A</th>
<th>Orc6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rad53K227A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mrc1Δ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rad9Δ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x HU90 extract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10% (77:1) for Orc6

14% (37.5:1) for γH2A and Rad53
Figure 4

(a) Gene expression levels for different conditions and stages.

(b) Heatmap showing the overlap of top DBs and increased/decreased Rad53 binding.

(c) Scatter plots comparing HU45 and HU90 conditions for TF and CP sets, with counts of increased and decreased Rad53 binding.
Figure 5

(a) Heatmap showing gene expression across different stages and genotypes. Genotype categories include WT, rad53<sup>Δ227A</sup>, rad9<sup>Δ</sup>, and mrc1<sup>Δ</sup>. Ranking is indicated by color intensity.

(b) Bar chart depicting differentially expressed gene (DEG) types across comparisons.

- HU90 (rad9<sup>Δ</sup> vs WT)
- HU45 (rad9<sup>Δ</sup> vs WT)
- G1 (rad9<sup>Δ</sup> vs WT)
- HU90 (mrc1<sup>Δ</sup> vs WT)
- HU45 (mrc1<sup>Δ</sup> vs WT)
- G1 (mrc1<sup>Δ</sup> vs WT)
- HU90 (rad53<sup>Δ227A</sup> vs WT)
- HU45 (rad53<sup>Δ227A</sup> vs WT)
- G1 (rad53<sup>Δ227A</sup> vs WT)
- rad9<sup>Δ</sup> (G1→HU90)
- mrc1<sup>Δ</sup> (G1→HU90)
- rad53<sup>Δ227A</sup> (G1→HU90)
- WT (G1→HU90)
- rad9<sup>Δ</sup> (G1→HU45)
- mrc1<sup>Δ</sup> (G1→HU45)
- rad53<sup>Δ227A</sup> (G1→HU45)
- WT (G1→HU45)

(c) Rad53 ChIP signal showing significant and insignificant DEGs across different conditions (G1, HU45, HU90).
Figure 6

Summary of GO function DEG clusters for WT(G1→HU45)

1. mitotic cell cycle, cell division (up/down)
2. ubiquitin-dependent protein catabolic process, proteolysis (up)
3. vesicle-mediated transport, nucleotide transport (down)
4. Processes related to mating (down)
5. oxidation-reduction process, carbohydrate metabolism and energy process
6. ribosome biogenesis
7. Organic acid metabolism (down); cell wall organization (up)
Figure 6 – figure supplement 1
Figure 7

**Summary of GO function**

DEG clusters for HU45 ($mrc1Δ$ vs WT)

1. (up) mitotic cell cycle, cytokinesis
2. cell cycle checkpoint; ubiquitin-dependent protein catabolic process; proteolysis
3. organic acid biosynthetic process
4. chromatin assembly or disassembly (histone genes)

---

**b**

Rad53 signal

**c**

Distance (TSS to origin) and expression changes

DEG down-regulated

DEG up-regulated

Origin type within 5 kb

Origin-gene orientation and expression changes

none

inactive

late

early

---

**d**

Gene number in group

Gene proportion in group (%)

Gene number in group

Gene proportion in group (%)

Gene number in group

Gene proportion in group (%)

---
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Figure 7 – figure supplement 1

Summary of GO function:
DEG clusters HU45 (rad53K227A vs WT)

1. cell cycle, replication, repair, negative regulation of gene expression
2. oxidation-reduction process, energy derivation
3. proteolysis; actin cytoskeleton organization and ER stress
6. nucleotide, oxidation-reduction process; all histone genes
7. ribosome biogenesis
Figure 8

(a) Targets of:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SBF</th>
<th>MBF</th>
<th>Ste12</th>
<th>Msn4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="SBF plot" /> N=36</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="MBF plot" /> N=26</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Ste12 plot" /> N=34</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Msn4 plot" /> N=22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- WT
- rad53Δ
- mrc1Δ
- rad9Δ

Enrichment:
- SBF: 12.91
- MBF: 11.62
- Ste12: 5.40
- Msn4: 3.20

(b) HU45 signal upstream of TSS vs G1 signal upstream of TSS:

- WT
- ixl1Δ
- swi6Δ
- swi4Δ

- SBF targets
- RNR1

(c) Heatmap of gene expression:

- DUN1
- RNR3
- RNR1
- CLB2,5
- CLB1,6
- TOS6
- PCL1
- YOX1

Coordinate of Chromosome: IV IX V XVI VII XIV XIV XIII

HU90 HU45 G1 HU90 HU45 G1 HU90 HU45 G1
Figure 8 – figure supplement 1

**a**

Targets of SBF in WT, rad53Δ, mrc1Δ, and rad9Δ. Log2 Field change (G1/HU45) vs. DB residual (G1/HU45).

**b**

Targets of MBF in WT, rad53Δ, mrc1Δ, and rad9Δ. Log2 Field change (G1/HU45) vs. DB residual (G1/HU45).

**c**

Targets of Ste12 in WT, rad53Δ, mrc1Δ, and rad9Δ. Log2 Field change (G1/HU45) vs. DB residual (G1/HU45).

**d**

Targets of Msn4 in WT, rad53Δ, mrc1Δ, and rad9Δ. Log2 Field change (G1/HU45) vs. DB residual (G1/HU45).

**Sample order in columns**

1. HU45 WT
2. HU90
3. HU45 rad53Δ
4. HU45 mrc1Δ
5. HU45 rad9Δ
6. HU90
7. HU45
8. HU90
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