# A Gene Encoding a Protein with Seven Zinc Finger Domains Acts on the Sexual Differentiation Pathways of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*

# Hao-Peng Xu,\* Tripathi Rajavashisth,† Navdeep Grewal,† Vincent Jung,\* Michael Riggs,\* Linda Rodgers,\* and Michael Wigler\*

\*Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, New York 11724; and †Division of Medical Genetics, Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, Torrance, California 90502

Submitted November 11, 1991; Accepted May 13, 1992

*Byr3* was selected as a multicopy suppressor of the sporulation defects of diploid *Schizo-saccharomyces pombe* cells that lack *ras1*. Like cells mutant at *byr1* and *byr2*, two genes that encode putative protein kinases and that in multiple copies are also suppressors of the sporulation defects of *ras1* null diploid cells, cells mutant at *byr3* are viable but defective in conjugation. Nucleic acid sequence indicates *byr3* has the capacity to encode a protein with seven zinc finger binding domains, similar in structure to the cellular nucleic acid binding protein (CNBP), a human protein that was identified on the basis of its ability to bind DNA. Expression of CNBP in yeast can partially suppress conjugation defects of cells lacking *byr3*.

# INTRODUCTION

RAS proteins are small guanine nucleotide binding proteins that have been structurally conserved throughout the evolution of eukaryotes, and homologs have been found in two highly divergent species of yeast, Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Schizosaccharomyces pombe (Fukui and Kaziro, 1985). RAS function is best understood in S. cerevisiae, where RAS1 and RAS2 regulate adenylyl cyclase in a guanine nucleotide triphosphate (GTP) dependent manner (Broek et al., 1985; Field et al., 1987). Paradoxically, regulation of adenylyl cyclase does not appear to be the function of RAS proteins in vertebrates (Beckner et al., 1985; Birchmeier et al., 1985) or even in the yeast S. pombe (Fukui et al., 1986; Nadin-Davis et al., 1986b). We have begun to study the function of RAS in *S. pombe* in the hope of uncovering a common underlying mechanism of its action.

*S. pombe* contains a single *ras* homolog, *ras1* (Fukui and Kaziro, 1985; Nadin-Davis *et al.*, 1986b). The biochemical function of ras1 is not known. *ras1* null haploid strains fail to conjugate, and *ras1* null diploid strains fail to sporulate (Fukui *et al.*, 1986). *ras1* null strains are more spherical in shape than the rod-shaped wild-type *S. pombe* strains (Nadin-Davis and Nasim, 1988). Cells expressing the activated mutant *ras1*<sup>val17</sup> gene are par-

© 1992 by The American Society for Cell Biology

tially defective in conjugation (Fukui *et al.*, 1986; Nadin-Davis *et al.*, 1986a). When exposed to mating pheromone, they become pear shaped and develop an elongated conjugation tube, but mate poorly. Otherwise,  $ras1^{val17}$  cells are normal. These observations indicate that, in *S. pombe*, *ras1* is not an essential gene, but its product participates in the processes of sexual differentiation and the determination of cell shape.

S. pombe is predominantly a haploid organism with two mating types, designated  $h^+$  and  $h^-$  (Egel, 1989). Homothallic (h<sup>90</sup>) haploid strains switch mating type and hence cultures of h<sup>90</sup> cells can self-mate. When starved, and in the presence of mating pheromone, haploid cells will conjugate and, with high probability, undergo meiosis and sporulation without intervening vegetative growth. Several ste (sterile) genes have been defined (Michael and Gutz, 1987). Cells mutant in these genes are frequently defective both in conjugation and sporulation, indicating that the regulation of these distinct processes have many shared components. At least one ste gene, ras1 (or equivalently, ste5), comes in two alleles: one causing defective conjugation and one causing defective conjugation and sporulation. This indicates that the requirement for an intact signaling pathway is more stringent for conjugation than for sporulation.

Table 1.	Genotype of	<i>S</i> .	pombe	strains	
		•••	F	0 11 411 10	

Sp870ª	h <sup>90</sup> leu1.32 ade6.210 ura4-D18
SpBU	h <sup>90</sup> leu1.32 ade6.210 ura4-D18 byr1::ura4
SpBUD	h <sup>90</sup> /h <sup>90</sup> leu1.32/leu1.32 ade6.210/ade6.210
1	ura4-D18/ura4-D18 byr1::ura4/byr1::ura4
SpB2U	h <sup>90</sup> /leu1.32 ade6.210 ura4-D18 byr2::URA4
SpB2UD	h <sup>90</sup> /h <sup>90</sup> leu1.32/leu1.32 ade6.210/ade6.210
•	ura4-D18/ura4-D18 byr2::ura4/byr2::ura4
SpB3U	h <sup>90</sup> leu1.32 ade6.210 ura4-D18 byr3::ura4
SpR2A	h <sup>90</sup> leu1.32 ade6.216 ura4::RAS2 <sup>ala17</sup>
SpRU	h <sup>90</sup> leu1.32 ade6.210 ura4-D18 ras1::ura4
SpRUD	h <sup>90</sup> /h <sup>90</sup> leu1.32/leu1.32 ade6.210/ade6.210
-	ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ras1::ura4/ras1::ura4
Sp255 <sup>a</sup>	h <sup>-s</sup> ade6.210
Sp256 <sup>a</sup>	h <sup>-s</sup> ade6.216
Sp258 <sup>a</sup>	h <sup>+N</sup> ade6.216
SpRN1	h <sup>90</sup> leu1.32 ade6.210 ura4-D18 ras1-DBglII-Nhe

See MATERIALS AND METHODS for more details.

<sup>a</sup> The strains are generous gifts from David Beach.

Several nonessential genes are candidates for encoding components of the ras1 signaling pathway. ste6 encodes a protein that is homologous to the CDC25 protein of S. cerevisiae (Hughes et al., 1990). Hence, ste6, like CDC25, is thought to catalyze guanine nucleotide exchange on ras1. ste6 is required only for conjugation. sar1 encodes a protein homologous to mammalian GAP, the human NF1 product and the yeast IRA proteins (Wang et al., 1991a). These proteins accelerate GTP cleavage by RAS proteins (Trahey et al., 1987; Ballester et al., 1990; Tanaka et al., 1990a). Cells lacking sar1 have a phenotype virtually indistinguishable from cells with activated ras1val17. byr1 and byr2 were each found as multicopy suppressors of the sporulation defect of ras1 null diploid cells, and both are predicted to encode serine/threonine kinases (Nadin-Davis and Nasim, 1988; Wang et al., 1991b). Overexpression of the byr1 and byr2 genes only poorly suppress the conjugation defects of ras1<sup>-</sup> haploid cells (see RESULTS). Cells lacking the byr1 and byr2 genes are absolutely defective in sporulation and conjugation. byr1 is equivalent to ste1, and byr2 is probably equivalent to ste8.

In the present report we describe the identification and cloning of *byr3*, another gene that is a multicopy suppressor of the sporulation defects of *ras1* null diploids. *byr3* can encode a protein with seven zinc finger binding motifs that are most homologous to the human cellular nucleic acid binding protein (CNBP) (Rajavashisth *et al.*, 1989). *byr3* is not an essential gene, but its product appears required for efficient conjugation.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Yeast Strains and Microbial Methods

The genotypes of all *S. pombe* strains used in this paper are listed in Table 1. *Escherichia coli* strain DH5 $\alpha$  (Hanahan, 1983) was used for

plasmid preparation and transformation. Yeast strains were grown in either rich medium (YEA, dextrose/yeast extract/adenine) or synthetic medium with appropriate auxotrophic supplements (PMA) (Nadin-Davis *et al.*, 1986b). The iodine vapor staining was performed as previously described (Nadin-Davis *et al.*, 1986b). *S. pombe* strains were transformed by the lithium acetate procedure (Ito *et al.*, 1983). Diploidization of haploid strains was accomplished by treating haploid cells with the lithium acetate procedure (Ito *et al.*, 1983) and then screening for diploid cells with phloxin B (Gutz *et al.*, 1974).

#### Nucleic Acid Manipulation and Analysis of byr3

A S. pombe genomic library comprised of Sau3A partial digested DNA fragments cloned into pWH5, a high copy shuttle vector expressing the S. cerevisiae LEU2 gene, was obtained from David Beach. The average size of the insert DNA was 10 kbp. The pWH5 vector contained the bacterial marker for ampicillin resistance for selection in E. coli (Wright et al., 1986). A plasmid containing the 12-kbp genomic DNA from the byr3 locus (pWH5BYR3) was isolated from the extrachromosomal DNA of the transformed strain of SpRUD as described in the text by method of Nasmyth and Reed, 1980. This DNA was transformed into E. coli (Holmes and Quigley, 1981), and plasmid DNA was obtained from individual E. coli (Katz et al., 1973). Nucleotide sequencing was performed by the dideoxynucleotide chaintermination method with oligo-nucleotide primers (Sanger et al., 1977; Biggin et al., 1983). Serial complementary oligomers for each strand of the gene were synthesized progressively by ABI 380A & 380B DNA synthesizers to serve as primers for complete double stranded sequencing.

#### **Plasmid Constructions**

The S. pombe plasmids used are listed in Table 2. pWH5 is a shuttle vector for E. coli, S. cerevisiae and S. pombe (Wright et al., 1986). Plasmid

Table 2. Plasmid	s used in transforming S. pombe
pAL	Derived from pUC118, with ARS <sup>a</sup> and <i>LEU</i> 2 <sup>b</sup> marker
pIRT5	Derived from pUC118, with ARS and <i>ura4</i> ° marker
pART1	Derived from pUC118, with ARS, <i>LEU2</i> marker and <i>adh</i> <sup>d</sup> promoter
pAAUN	Derived from pUC118, with ARS, <i>ura4, adh</i> promoter and NotI site in polylinker
pAALN	Same as pAAUN except <i>ura</i> <sup>4</sup> is replaced with <i>LEU2</i>
pAAUNL	Derived from pAAUN, encoding peptide epitope from the HA antigen of influenza virus
pAAUNLBYR3	S. pombe byr3 gene in pAAUNL
pAALNLBYR3	S. pombe byr3 gene in pAALNL
pWH5	A shuttle vector for <i>E. coli, S. cerevisiae,</i> and <i>S. pombe</i> containing the <i>LEU2</i> marker
pALR	S. pombe ras1 in pAL vector
pALRV	S. pombe ras1 val17 in pAL vector
pARTBYR1	S. pombe byr1 gene in pART1 vector
pWH5BYR2	S. pombe byr2 gene in pWH5 vector
pWHBYR3	S. pombe byr3 in pWH5
pALBYR3	S. pombe byr3 in pAL
PARTCNBP	Human CNBP in pART1.
pRAS::ura4	S. pombe ras1 disrupted with S. pombe ura4 gene
pALBYR3::ura4	S. pombe byr3 disrupted with ura4

<sup>a</sup> S. pombe autonomously replicating sequences.

<sup>b</sup> S. cerevisiae LEU2 marker.

<sup>c</sup> S. pombe ura4 marker.

<sup>d</sup> S. pombe alcohol dehydrogenase promoter.





В







pIRT5 is a vector containing a 1.2-kbp S. pombe ARS fragment (Losson and Lacroute, 1983) at the EcoRI site and a 1.8-kbp S. pombe ura4 fragment at the HindIII site of pUC118. The plasmid pAL is a pUC118 based vector containing the 2.2-kbp HindIII fragment of the S. cerevisiae LEU2 gene cloned into the HindIII site, and a 1.2-kbp S. pombe ARS fragment cloned at the EcoRI site. The plasmid pART1 has, in addition, a 0.7-kbp S. pombe adh promoter fragment inserted between the Sph I and Pst I sites. Plasmid pAAUN was derived from plasmid pART1 by first replacing the S. cerevisiae LEU2 gene with a 1.8-kbp HindIII ura4 fragment from S. pombe and then adding Not I linkers at the Small site. pAALN is the same as pAAUN except the ura4 gene was replaced by S. cerevisiae LEU2 gene. Plasmid pAALNL and pAAUNL was derived from pAAUN and pAALN by inserting an oligonucleotide encoding an influenza hemagglutinin antigen epitope peptide (Field et al., 1988) downstream of the adh promoter. This oligonucleotide contained a Sal I site for cloning coding sequences downstream and in frame with the peptide epitope.

Plasmid pARTBYR1 contains the S. pombe byr1 gene, which was obtained from S. pombe genomic DNA by the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (Wang et al., 1991b), cloned into the Sma I site of pART1. Plasmid pALR was constructed by inserting a 1.4-kbp BamHI-BglII S. pombe wild-type ras1 gene fragment (Nadin-Davis et al., 1986b) into the Sma I site of pAL. Plasmid pALRV is the same as pALR except that the activated mutant ras1<sup>val17</sup> gene was inserted (Nadin-Davis et al., 1986a). Plasmid pWH5BYR2 contains the S. pombe genomic byr2 gene in the pWH5 vector (Wang et al., 1991b). pWHBYR3 (Figure 2) has a 12-kbp S. pombe genomic DNA sequence containing byr3 gene in the pWH5 vector. pALBYR3 was constructed by subcloning a 6.0kbp BamHI fragment containing the byr3 gene from pWHBYR3 into the Sma I site of the pAL vector. Plasmid pAALNLBYR3 and pAAUNLBYR3 were constructed by inserting the coding sequences of byr3 into the Sal I site of pAALNL and pAAUNL. These coding sequences were obtained with PCR and the following primers:

#### 5'-GTAAAGGAGTGTCGACGATGGAGTCT-3'

#### 5'-AAGTGTGCGAGTCGACAAGGACTGAAG-3',

with plasmid pALBYR3 as template. The primers contain internal *Sal* I restriction enzyme cleavage sites to facilitate cloning into pAAUNL. As the first step in the construction of expression plasmid pARTCNBP, an *Eco*RI fragment corresponding to full-length human CNBP cDNA was subcloned into the *Eco*RI site of Bluescript (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). A 1.4-kbp long fragment containing the complete coding region of human CNBP was then excised with *Sma* I and *Dra* I and inserted into the *Sma* I site of pART1. The resulting plasmid pARTCNBP contains the inserted human sequences under the control of the strong *S. pombe adh* promoter.

Plasmid pRAS, obtained from David Beach, was derived by cloning a 2.5-kbp *S. pombe* genomic DNA fragment containing the *ras1* gene into the *Bam*HI site of pUC118 (Nadin-Davis *et al.*, 1986b). pRAS:: ura4 contains the *ras1* gene inactivated by replacing a part of the gene with the *S. pombe ura4* gene. The plasmid pRAS was digested with *Nhe* I and *Bgl*II, blunt-ended, and a 1.8-kbp blunt-ended fragment of the *ura4* gene was inserted. The construction, pALBYR3::ura4, was made by replacing the *Bal* I fragment containing part of the *byr3* gene in pALBYR3 with a 1.8 kbp of the *S. pombe ura4* gene (Figure 2).

A plasmid, pIH-byr3, which expresses byr3 fusion protein was constructed as follows. The polymerase chain reaction was used to

**Figure 1.** Sporulation induced by the *S. pombe byr3* gene in *S. pombe ras1* null diploid strain SpRUD. The strain SpRUD was transformed with (A), pAL, a *S. pombe* expression vector, (B), pWH5BYR3, a plasmid containing the *S. pombe byr3* gene, or (C), pALR, a plasmid containing *S. pombe ras1* gene. The arrow heads indicate asci, abundant in B and C. Only asexual asci are observed in B because pWHBYR3 suppresses the sporulation defects of the *ras1* null diploid cells but not the conjugation defects of *ras1* null haploid cells.



Figure 2. A restriction endonuclease map of the S. pombe byr3 gene and its deletion/activity analysis. The restriction map of the S. pombe genomic locus cloned in pWH5 (pWH5BYR3) is shown in a, and the region cloned in pAL (pALBYR3) is shown in b. The inserts of various plasmids derived by deleting regions of byr3 locus from pALBYR3 are shown in c through g. The ability of these plasmids to confer sporulation competence to ras1 null diploid cells is indicated on the right. The region of the byr3 locus indicated in g was sequenced on both strands, as indicated by the arrows, the small arrow indicates the gene translation direction. Part of this sequence is presented in the next Figure. The hatched region in g indicates coding sequences.

generate *Sal* I restriction sites flanking the open reading frame of byr3 with the use of the following primers:

#### byr3#1 5'-GTAAAGGAGTGTCGACGATGGAGTCT-3'

#### byr3#2 5'-AAGTGTGCGAGTCGACAAGGACGAAG-3'.

The putative initiating codon is indicated in bold type and the Sal I sites are underlined. The PCR product was digested with Sal I and cloned into an identical site in vector pGEM4 (Promega, Madison, WI) to generate plasmid pGEM-byr3. The vector pMAL-cRI is designed to express fusion proteins containing the maltose-binding protein (MBP) at the amino terminal, facilitating rapid and simple purification by amylose affinity chromatography (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA). Byr3 was cloned downstream of the MBP by the following strategy: The vector pMAL-cRI was digested with HindIII and the 5' overhang partially filled in by Klenow polymerase in the presence of dATP, dCTP, and dGTP. The byr3 fragment was excised from pGEM-byr3 by Sal I digestion and the 5' overhang partially filled in by Klenow polymerase in the presence of dATP, dCTP, and dGTP. The resulting compatible ends were ligated to generate plasmid pMAL-byr3 encoding an in-frame fusion of MBP with byr3. Vector pIH-902 is identical to pMAL-cRI except that it encodes a spacer of 10 asparagines separating the MBP from the inserted protein. Byr3 was introduced into this vector by exchanging an EcoRV/XbaI fragment of pMALbyr3, with an EcoRV/XbaI fragment of pIH-902 to create plasmid

KV/XDai i

pIH-byr3. Plasmid pIH-byr3 was transformed into bacterial host BL21(DE3) for protein expression. The fusion protein expressed from pIH-byr3 bound more strongly to amylose resin than the protein expressed from pMAL-cRI and was used for all further studies.

#### Gene Disruptions in S. pombe

The ras1 gene was disrupted in Sp870 to generate the haploid strain SpRU ( $h^{90}$  leu1.32 ade6.210 ura4-D18 ras1::ura4). The ura4-disrupted ras1 gene fragment was released from the pRAS::ura4 plasmid by digestion with BamHI, purified by agarose gel electrophoresis, and then transformed into the Sp870 wild-type strain. Individual ura4<sup>+</sup> transformants were grown, and Southern blots confirmed that the proper disruption of the single-copy endogenous ras1 gene was obtained. The ras1 null diploid strain, SpRUD, was created from SpRU haploid cells by treatment with the lithium acetate procedure (Ito *et al.*, 1983). The SpRN21 strain was created by deleting the *Nhe* I-BgIII fragment from the ras1 gene in the  $h^{90}$  haploid wild-type strain Sp870. The *Nhe* I-BgIII fragment of the ras1 gene has been includes the C-terminal 20 codons and ~300 bps of 3' flanking sequences. The strain SpRN1 is sterile because the ras1 gene has been inactivated. We named the ras1 allele of this strain ras1-DBgIII-Nhe I.

The byr3 gene was disrupted in Sp870 to obtain the haploid strain SpB3U (h<sup>90</sup> leu1.32 ade6.210 ura4-D18 byr3::ura4). A disrupted byr3 gene fragment was separated from pALBYR3::ura4 by BamHI diges-

-45						TAA	TTT	TCT	TTA	ATA	ATT	TTT	TTT	AAT	TGT	AAA	GGA	GTT	GTT	AAG
1	ATG	GAG	тст	GAA	тст	GTT	ссс	ACC	GTT	сст	CAA	ACC	ACT	CGT	ccc	GGT	сст	CGA	TGC	ТАТ
1	MET	Glu	Ser	Glu	Ser	Val	Pro	Thr	Val	Pro	Gln	Thr	Thr	Arg	Pro	Gly	Pro	Arg	Суз	Tyr
61	AAC	TGT	GGT	GAA	AAC	GGT	CAT	CAA	GCT	CGT	GAG	TGC	ACC	AAG	GGT	TCA	ATC	TGC	TAC	AAT
21	Asn	Суз	Gly	Glu	Asn	Gly	His	Gln	Ala	Arg	Glu	Суз	Thr	Lys	Gly	Ser	Ile	Суз	Tyr	Asn
121	TGC	AAT	CAG	ACC	GGT	CAC	AAG	GCT	AGC	GAA	TGC	ACT	GAG	ССТ	CAA	CAG	GAA	AAA	ACT	TGC
41	Суз	Asn	Gln	Thr	Gly	His	Lys	Ala	Ser	Glu	Суз	Thr	Glu	Pro	Gln	Gln	Glu	Lys	Thr	Суз
181	TAT	GCT	TGT	GGT	ACC	GCC	GGA	CAT	стс	GTT	CGT	GAT	TGC	ссс	AGC	AGC	ССТ	AAC	ссс	CGT
61	Tyr	Ala	Суз	Gly	Thr	Ala	Gly	His	Leu	Val	Arg	Asp	Суз	Pro	Ser	Ser	Pro	Asn	Pro	Arg
241	CAA	GGT	GCG	GAA	TGT	TAC	AAG	TGT	GGT	CGT	GTT	GGT	CAC	ATT	GCT	AGA	GAC	TGT	CGT	ACA
81	Gln	Gly	Ala	Glu	Суз	Tyr	Lys	Суз	Gly	Arg	Val	Gly	His	Ile	Ala	Arg	Asp	Суз	Arg	Thr
					_															
301	ААТ	GGT	CAA	CAA	AGT	GGC	GGA	CGA	TTT	GGT	GGT	CAT	CGC	тсс	AAC	ATG	AAT	TGC	TAT	GCT
301 101	AAT Asn	GGT Gly	C <b>AA</b> Gln	C <b>AA</b> Gln	AGT Ser	GGC Gly	GGA Gly	CGA Arg	TTT Phe	GGT Gly	GGT Gly	CAT His	CGC Arg	TCC Ser	AAC Asn	ATG MET	AAT Asn	тсс <b>Суз</b>	TAT Tyr	GCT Ala
301 101 361	AAT Asn TGT	GGT Gly GGC	CAA Gln TCT	CAA Gln TAT	AGT Ser GGC	GGC Gly CAT	GGA Gly CAA	CGA Arg GCC	TTT Phe CGT	GGT Gly GAT	GGT Gly TGC	CAT His ACT	CGC Arg ATG	TCC Ser GGC	AAC Asn GTG	ATG MET AAA	AAT Asn TGC	TGC <b>Cys</b> TAC	TAT Tyr TCT	GCT Ala TGT
301 101 361 121	AAT Asn TGT <b>Cys</b>	GGT Gly GGC Gly	CAA Gln TCT Ser	CAA Gln TAT Tyr	AGT Ser GGC Gly	GGC Gly CAT <b>Hi</b> s	GGA Gly C <b>AA</b> Gln	CGA Arg GCC Ala	TTT Phe CGT Arg	GGT Gly GAT Asp	GGT Gly TGC <b>Cys</b>	CAT His ACT Thr	CGC Arg ATG MET	TCC Ser GGC Gly	AAC Asn GTG Val	ATG MET AAA Lys	AAT Asn TGC <b>Cys</b>	TGC <b>Cys</b> TAC Tyr	TAT Tyr TCT Ser	GCT Ala TGT <b>Cys</b>
301 101 361 121 421	AAT Asn TGT <b>Cys</b> GGT	GGT Gly GGC Gly AAG	CAA Gln TCT Ser ATT	CAA Gln TAT Tyr GGA	AGT Ser GGC Gly CAC	GGC Gly CAT His CGC	GGA Gly CAA Gln AGC	CGA Arg GCC Ala TTT	TTT Phe CGT Arg GAA	GGT Gly GAT Asp TGT	GGT Gly TGC <b>Cys</b> CAA	CAT His ACT Thr CAA	CGC Arg ATG MET GCT	TCC Ser GGC Gly TCA	AAC Asn GTG Val GAT	ATG MET AAA Lys GGT	AAT Asn TGC <b>Cys</b> CAA	TGC <b>Cys</b> TAC Tyr CTT	TAT Tyr TCT Ser TGT	GCT Ala TGT <b>Cys</b> TAC
301 101 361 121 421 141	AAT Asn TGT <b>Cys</b> GGT Gly	GGT Gly GGC Gly AAG Lys	CAA Gln TCT Ser ATT Ile	CAA Gln TAT Tyr GGA Gly	AGT Ser GGC Gly CAC His	GGC Gly CAT His CGC Arg	GGA Gly CAA Gln AGC Ser	CGA Arg GCC Ala TTT Phe	TTT Phe CGT Arg GAA Glu	GGT Gly GAT Asp TGT <b>Cys</b>	GGT Gly TGC <b>Cys</b> CAA Gln	CAT His ACT Thr CAA Gln	CGC Arg ATG MET GCT Ala	TCC Ser GGC Gly TCA Ser	AAC Asn GTG Val GAT Asp	ATG MET AAA Lys GGT Gly	AAT Asn TGC <b>Cys</b> CAA Gln	TGC <b>Cys</b> TAC Tyr CTT Leu	TAT Tyr TCT Ser TGT <b>Cys</b>	GCT Ala TGT <b>Cys</b> TAC Tyr
301 101 361 121 421 141 481	AAT Asn TGT <b>Cys</b> GGT Gly AAG	GGT Gly GGC Gly AAG Lys TGT	CAA Gln TCT Ser ATT Ile AAT	CAA Gln TAT Tyr GGA Gly CAA	AGT Ser GGC Gly CAC His	GGC Gly CAT <b>Hi</b> CGC Arg GGC	GGA Gly CAA Gln AGC Ser CAC	CGA Arg GCC Ala TTT Phe ATC	TTT Phe CGT Arg GAA Glu GCC	GGT Gly GAT Asp TGT <b>Cys</b>	GGT Gly TGC <b>Cys</b> CAA Gln AAT	CAT His ACT Thr CAA Gln TGC	CGC Arg ATG MET GCT Ala ACC	TCC Ser GGC Gly TCA Ser TCT	AAC Asn GTG Val GAT Asp CCT	ATG MET AAA Lys GGT Gly GTA	AAT Asn TGC <b>Cys</b> CAA Gln ATT	TGC <b>Cys</b> TAC Tyr CTT Leu GAG	TAT Tyr TCT Ser TGT <b>Cys</b> GCA	GCT Ala TGT Cys TAC Tyr TAA
301 101 361 121 421 141 481 161	AAT Asn TGT <b>Cys</b> GGT Gly AAG Lys	GGT Gly GGC Gly AAG Lys TGT <b>Cys</b>	CAA Gln TCT Ser ATT Ile AAT Asn	CAA Gln TAT Tyr GGA Gly CAA Gln	AGT Ser GGC Gly CAC His CCA Pro	GGC Gly CAT His CGC Arg GGC Gly	GGA Gly CAA Gln AGC Ser CAC <b>His</b>	CGA Arg GCC Ala TTT Phe ATC Ile	TTT Phe CGT Arg GAA Glu GCC Ala	GGT Gly GAT Asp TGT <b>Cys</b> GTC Val	GGT Gly TGC <b>Cys</b> CAA Gln AAT Asn	CAT His ACT Thr CAA Gln TGC <b>Cys</b>	CGC Arg ATG MET GCT Ala ACC Thr	TCC Ser GGC Gly TCA Ser TCT Ser	AAC Asn GTG Val GAT Asp CCT Pro	ATG MET AAA Lys GGT Gly GTA Val	AAT Asn TGC <b>Cys</b> CAA Gln ATT Ile	TGC <b>Cys</b> TAC Tyr CTT Leu GAG Glu	TAT Tyr TCT Ser TGT <b>Cys</b> GCA Ala	GCT Ala TGT <b>Cys</b> TAC Tyr <b>TAA</b>
301 101 361 121 421 141 481 161 541	AAT Asn TGT <b>Cys</b> GGT Gly AAG Lys GTG	GGT Gly GGC Gly AAG Lys TGT <b>Cys</b>	CAA Gln TCT Ser ATT Ile AAT Asn GCT	CAA Gln TAT Tyr GGA Gly CAA Gln ATT	AGT Ser GGC Gly CAC His CCA Pro TAT	GGC Gly CAT His CGC Arg GGC Gly AAC	GGA Gly CAA Gln AGC Ser CAC His CCT	CGA Arg GCC Ala TTT Phe ATC Ile TTG	TTT Phe CGT Arg GAA Glu GCC Ala TCG	GGT Gly GAT Asp TGT <b>Cys</b> GTC Val TGG	GGT Gly TGC <b>Cys</b> CAA Gln AAT Asn TAT	CAT His ACT Thr CAA Gln TGC <b>Cys</b> GAA	CGC Arg ATG MET GCT Ala ACC Thr GAT	TCC Ser GGC Gly TCA Ser TCT Ser ATT	AAC Asn GTG Val GAT Asp CCT Pro TTT	ATG MET AAA Lys GGT Gly GTA Val TCT	AAT Asn TGC <b>Cys</b> CAA Gln ATT Ile TTT	TGC <b>Cys</b> TAC Tyr CTT Leu GAG Glu TCT	TAT Tyr TCT Ser TGT <b>Cys</b> GCA Ala TGT	GCT Ala TGT <b>Cys</b> TAC Tyr <b>TAA</b> CCG

**Figure 3.** The sequence of the *S. pombe byr3* coding region and the predicted 179 amino acid product. The in-frame stop codons located either directly upstream or downstream of the open-reading frame are indicated in bold. The seven metal-binding motifs are underlined, and the Cys and His residues of this motif are indicated in bold. The numbers in the left margin represent nucleotide and amino acid coordinates.

tion, purified by agarose gel electrophoresis and transformed into the Sp870 wild-type strain. Transformed strains in which the *byr3* gene had been disrupted properly were identified by Southern blots.

# Induction and Expression of MBP-byr3 Fusion Protein

A 500-ml culture of E. coli cells containing plasmid pIH-byr3 was grown at 37°C in LB medium (1% bacto-tryptone; 0.5% yeast extract; 1% NaCl) containing 0.2% glucose and 100  $\mu$ g/ml of ampicillin to an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.4. The P<sub>tac</sub> promoter was derepressed by adjusting the media to 0.3 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) followed by a 3-h induction. Cells were pelleted, resuspended, and frozen in 25 ml of lysis buffer (10 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.0; 30 mM NaCl; 0.25% Tween-20; 10 mM  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol [ $\beta$ ME]; 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride [PMSF]; 10 µM ZnSO4). Zinc was included in all buffers on the assumption that it would stabilize the seven zinc finger motifs of byr3. All work, unless otherwise indicated, was carried out at 4°C. The cells were lysed by thawing and sonicating. Cellular debris was removed by centrifugation at 10 000 rpm for 30 min in a Sorvall HB-4 rotor. The fusion protein was purified from the supernatant with a one-step batch purification procedure: 2.5 ml of amylose resin, previously equilibrated in column buffer (10 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.0; 0.5 M NaCl; 10 mM  $\beta$ ME; 10  $\mu$ M ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) was added to the supernatant and incubated on ice for 30 min. The resin was pelleted by low speed centrifugation (500 rpm in an IEC DPR-6000 centrifuge) and washed twice with 10 ml column buffer containing 0.25% Tween-20 and 10 mM  $\beta$ ME, twice with 10 ml column buffer (50 mM *N*-2-hydroxyethylpiperazine-*N*'-2-ethanesulfonic acid [HEPES], pH 7.5; 50 mM KCl; 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>; 10 mM  $\beta$ ME; 10  $\mu$ M ZnSO<sub>4</sub>; 20% glycerol), once in 10 ml DNA-binding buffer containing 50% glycerol, and finally resuspended in the same buffer to twice the resin volume and stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C.

#### Binding of <sup>32</sup>P-DNA to MBP-byr3

S. pombe DNA, sonicated to an average size of 200–300 bps, was labeled by <sup>32</sup>P-dCTP with random priming to a specific activity of  $\sim 10^8$  cpm/µg. Conditions used for DNA binding and washing were previously described by Kinzler and Vogelstein (1989). MBP-byr3/ amylose resin suspension (20 µl) was washed once in 1 ml of DNA binding-buffer. The pellet was resuspended in 20 µl of the same buffer containing ~80 000 cpm of <sup>32</sup>P-labeled DNA in the presence, or absence, of cold competitor double-stranded DNA (either poly dI-dC [Pharmacia, Piscataway, NJ] or sonicated salmon sperm DNA). The

17	PRCYNCGENGHOARECT	byr 3
4	NECFKCGRSGHWARECP	CNBP
36	SICYNCNOTGHLASECT	byr 3
52	DICYRCEESGHLAKDCD	CNBP
58	KTCYACGTAGHLVRDCP	byr 3
72	DACYNCGRGGHIAKDCK	CNBP
83	AECYKCGRVGHIARDCR	byr 3
96	QCCYNCGKPGHLARDCD	CNBP
116	MNCYACGSYGHQARDCT	byr 3
117	QKCYSCGEFGHIQKDCT	CNBP
135	VKCYSCGKIGHRSFECQ	byr 3
135	VKCYRCGETGHVAINCS	CNBP
157	QLCYKCNOPGHIAVNCT	byr 3
156	VNCYRCGESGHLARECT	CNBP
Consensus		
byr 3	XXCYXCGXXGHXAXXCX	
CNBP	XXCYXCGXXGHXAXXCX	

**Figure 4.** Alignment of the zinc finger repeats of the *S. pombe* byr3 and of the human CNBP protein sequences (Rajavashisth *et al.*, 1989). The amino acid positions of the repeats are indicated on the left. The consensus sequences (bottom) show the characteristic features of a typical repeat unit and is matched with the consensus sequence of zinc finger repeats in retroviral NBPs (Covey, 1986). Considerable conservation is also observed between the invariant residues of the motifs.

XXCXXCGXXGHXXXXCX

mixture was incubated for 30 min at 4°C in a rotating shaker. The resin was pelleted at 3000 rpm for 30 s in an Eppendorf microfuge, the supernatant removed, and the pellet washed 4× with wash buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5; 150 mM KCl; 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>; 10  $\mu$ M ZnSO<sub>4</sub>; 1% Triton X-100; 0.05% sodium dodecyl sulfate [SDS]). The <sup>32</sup>P-labeled DNA adhering to the washed pellet was quantitated by Cerenkov counting. SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) analysis confirmed that MBP-byr3 or MBP remained stably bound to amylose resin under these DNA binding and washing conditions.

#### Mating Assays

NBPs

The *ras1* null  $h^{90}$  strain, SpRN1, was transformed with multicopy plasmids expressing the *ras1*, *byr1*, *byr2*, or *byr3* genes to test their ability to overcome the mating defective phenotype of SpRN1. Cultures of transformed SpRN1 were mated with Sp256, a  $h^-$  strain, or with Sp258, a  $h^+$  strain. The different mating type cells were cultured separately for 2 d in PMA (minimum medium with adenine), then 5 × 10<sup>7</sup> cells from each mating type cells were mixed and cultured for another 4 h in the same medium. The mixture was spread on PMA plates for 24 h and then replicated onto PM plates (same as PMA except without adenine) for 5 d to select diploid cells by adenine prototrophy. The diploid colonies were verified by another replica plating onto PM plates and further confirmed by microscopic examination.

### Immunoprecipitation of <sup>35</sup>S-met Labeled Fusion byr3 Protein

The *ras1* null  $h^{90}$  strain (SpRU), the *byr1* null  $h^{90}$  strain (SpBU), the *byr2* null  $h^{90}$  strain (SpB2U), and the wild-type strain (SpB70) were transformed with pAALNLBYR3 to express a byr3 protein, "pbyr3," that is fused with an influenza hemagglutinin antigen epitope peptide

and to test the possibility that the level of expression of byr3 protein or its apparent mobility on SDS-PAGE was related to the expression of the ras1, byr1, or byr2 genes. Cells were grown in PMA medium to a concentration of  $5 \times 10^7$ /ml at 30°C.  $5 \times 10^8$  cells were used per immunoprecipitate. The cells were labeled in PMA medium with 0.2 mCi <sup>35</sup>S-met for 4 h at 30°C and washed with IP (immunoprecipitation) buffer #1 (50 mM NaF, 5 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol [DTT], and 0.1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride [PMSF], 0.1 mM aprotinin, 0.1 mM leupeptin, and 0.1 mM pepstatin in PBS) at 4°C. The cells were broken by glass beads in 0.3 ml IP buffer #1 at 4°C, then 1 ml ice cold IP buffer #2 (0.1% Triton X-100, 0.1% deoxycholate in IP buffer #1) was added. The supernatant of the cell lysate was precleared with 40 µl of 1:1 protein A-agarose suspension (Boehringer Mannheim Biochemicals, Indianapolis, IN) for 30 min on a rotator at 4°C, and the supernatant was collected by spinning at 12 000  $\times$  g in a microcentrifuge. The first antibody, mouse monoclonal antibody to influenza hemagglutinin antigen epitope peptide (Field et al., 1988), was added to the supernatant and incubated for 1 h on a rotator at 4°C. The reaction sat on wet ice for another hour, and the supernatant was collected by spinning (as mentioned above) to remove debris. Protein A-agarose suspension (40  $\mu$ l) was added to the supernatant and rotated for 20 min at 4°C. The precipitate was collected by spinning (as mentioned above). The protein A-agarose pellets were washed three times with ice cold IP buffer #1. For electrophoresis, 35  $\mu$ l of sample buffer was added to the pellets and heated to 100°C for 2 min. The samples were loaded on a 10-20% acrylamide gradient gel and run at 25  $\mu$ A.



**Figure 5.** Immunoprecipitation of byr3 fusion protein. Cells with various genetic backgrounds were labeled with <sup>35</sup>S-methionine. Extracts were prepared from: (lane A), Sp870, a wild-type strain, transformed with pAL, a *S. pombe* expression vector; (lane B), Sp870, transformed with pAALNLBYR3; (lane C), SpBU, a *byr1* null strain, transformed with pAALNLBYR3; (lane D), SpB2U, a *byr2* null strain, transformed with pAALNLBYR3; (lane E), SpRU, a *ras1* null strain, transformed with pAALNLBYR3; (lane E), SpRU, a *aras1* null strain, transformed with pAALNLBYR3; (lane E), SpRU, a *aras1* null strain, transformed with pAALNLBYR3; (lane E), SpRU, a *aras1* null strain, transformed with pAALNLBYR3. The proteins were run on a 10–20% acrylamide gradient gel. The arrows point to the expressed byr3 fusion protein ("pbyr3"). The molecular weight standards in daltons (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Richmond, CA) are marked on the left.

		poly o	ll-dC	<u> </u>	Salmon sp	erm DNA	
	Competitor	0 µg	10 µg	0 µg	10 µg	20 µg	40 µg
Protein MBP MBP-byr3		786 22 169	303 20 900	nd 18 310	nd 2 764	nd 1 271	nd 696

 $8 \times 10^4$  cpm of  ${}^{32}$ P-labeled, sonicated S. pombe DNA was incubated with either maltose-binding protein (MBP), as a negative control, or the byr3 fusion protein (MBP-byr3). Both MBP and MBP-byr3 were expressed in E. coli and were used complexed with amylose resin. Values are the cpm of labeled DNA adhering to the amylose resin/MBP complex. Either poly dI-dC or salmon sperm DNA was used as a competitor during incubation in the amounts indicated. See MATERIALS AND METHODS for more details. nd, not done.

### Immunoprecipitation of <sup>32</sup>P-Labeled Fusion byr3 Protein

The wild-type strain, Sp870, was transformed with pAL or pAALNLBYR3, respectively. The byr1 null strain, the byr2 null strain, and the ras1 null strain were transformed with pAALNLBYR3, respectively. All the transformed strains mentioned above were grown in the minimum medium, PMA, to a concentration of  $5 \times 10^7$  cells/ ml. Sixty milliliters of each cell culture were spun down at 2000 rpm and were washed with nitrogen and phosphate-free PMA medium once, and then resuspended in 10 ml of nitrogen and phosphate-free PMA medium with 0.2 mCi of phosphate-32P (9000 Ci/mmol, NEN Du Pont, Wilmington, DE). The cells were harvested, after growing 6 h, by spinning at 2000 rpm. The treatment of the cells and the following steps for examining the phosphorylated byr3 gene product were the same as those used for immunoprecipitation of <sup>35</sup>S-labeled fusion byr3 protein except the IP buffer #1 contains 2 mM of sodium orthovanadate.

# RESULTS

#### Isolating byr3

The *byr1* and *byr2* genes, each capable of bypassing the requirement for ras1 during sporulation, are believed to act downstream of ras1. (Nadin-Davis et al., 1990; Wang et al., 1991b). We sought to discover additional genes

Table 4. Conjugation efficiency of	of a <i>byr</i> 3 null strain
SpB3U	Sp870
85/701	485/679
55/670	394/605
67/601	323/665
62/678	403/655
51/630	460/650
9.8ª	80.2ª

Five independent clones of SpB3U, a byr3 null strain, and five of the wild-type strain, Sp870, from which it derived, were starved on PMA plates for 4 d. The number of conjugated cells to the total number of cells counted, as determined microscopically, is presented for each clone.

Average, as a percentage of total, is as indicated.

of S. pombe that, when contained on a multicopy plasmid, could induce sporulation in a *ras1* null diploid cell. We designed our screen to exclude genes that can also suppress the loss of *byr1*. The diploid SpRUD ( $h^{90}/h^{90}$ leu1.32/leu1.32 ade6.210/ade6.210 ura4-D18/ura4D-18 ras1::ura4/ras1::ura4) fails to form spores, and hence colonies of SpRUD do not stain black when exposed to iodine vapor. SpRUD was transformed with an S. pombe genomic library made from the LEU2 vector pWH5. Leu<sup>+</sup> transformants ( $5 \times 10^4$ ) were selected and stained with iodine vapor to detect colonies capable of forming spores. Seven of eleven positively staining transformants showed a plasmid-dependent phenotype, and plasmids were rescued from these into E. coli. These plasmids were next tested for the ability to induce conjugation in the *byr1* null haploid strain SpBU (see Table 1). Plasmids that could not induce conjugation in SpBU were further characterized. These plasmids fell into three classes. One class contained ras1; a second class contained mei3; and a third class defined a new genetic

Table 5. Conjugation efficiency of a byr3 null strain transformed with the human CNBP gene

Plasmid	pAL	pARTCNBP	pALBYR3
	67/584	202/645	282/589
	72/607	129/602	327/603
	82/608	151/583	302/639
	71/649	146/614	329/621
	80 / 599	131/610	176/566
	12.2ª	24.9ª	46.5ª

The byr3 null strain, SpBU3, was transformed with the indicated plasmids, and five independent Leu<sup>+</sup> transformants were picked from each transformation. The number of conjugating cells/total number of cells counted is presented after each transformant was cultivated for 4 d on PMA plates. Plasmids were pAL, a control vector; pARTCNBP, expressing the human CNBP gene from an adh1 promoter; and pALBYR3, a vector expressing byr3 from an adh1 promoter. \* Average number of conjugated cells expressed as a percentage.

in the ras1 null strain SpRN1									
Gene on multicopy plasmid	Mating partner	Number of diploid colonies	Mating partner	Number of diploid colonies					
none	Sp256	0	Sp258	0					
byr1	Sp256	74	Sp258	51					
byr2	Sp256	10	Sp258	6					
byr3	Sp256	0	Sp258	0					
ras1	Sp256	$\sim 2 \times 10^3$	Sp258	$\sim 2 \times 10^3$					

Table 6. Mating suppression assay of byr genes

The strain SpRN1 was transformed with either the control plasmid pAL (none), pARTBYR1 (for byr1), pWH5BYR2 (for byr2), pALBYR3 (for byr3), or pALR (for ras1), and tested for mating with Sp256  $(h^{-})$ or Sp258  $(h^+)$  by cocultivation and selection for adenine prototrophs. The number of verified diploid colonies is presented. See MATERIALS AND METHODS and text for more details.

locus we call byr3 (see Figure 1). The previously identified gene, byr2, was not found in this genomic screen, and hence the screen was not exhaustive. mei3 is known to encode a product that down regulates the ran1/pat1 gene product (McLeod et al., 1987) and thereby induces sporulation. mei3 induces sporulation even in the haploid SpBU strain, and therefore acts downstream of *byr1*. We do not discuss this gene further here.

# Nucleic Acid Sequence and Predicted Product of byr3

The limits of *byr3* were determined by analysis of subclones (see Figure 2). The smallest restriction endonuclease fragment, capable of encoding a suppressor of the sporulation defects of ras1 null diploid cells, was sequenced. Nucleotide sequence of this fragment revealed the long open-reading frame shown in Figure 3. The open-reading frame of 179 codons is initiated by an ATG codon, which is preceded by a stop codon 42 nucleotides upstream in the same frame, and an inframe stop codon 537 nucleotides downstream from this ATG. The predicted amino acid sequence of the byr3 product is also shown in Figure 3. Searches of GenBank and EMBL data banks, with the FASTDB program, revealed that two regions of the byr3 product, amino acids 30-90 and 100-150, were 43 and 38% identical to the gag polyprotein of Caprine Arthritis Encephalitis Virus CG-1 (CEAVCG-1) (Clements, unpublished data) and human immunodeficiency virus type 2 ST-1 (HIV2ST-1) (Kumar *et al.*, 1990), respectively. These regions were located in nucleic acid binding protein (NBP) region in the gag polyproteins. The NBP region has the metal binding motif:  $CX_2CX_4HX_4C$ , where C is cysteine, H is histidine, and X is any amino acid (Berg, 1986). This motif was first discovered in low-molecular-weight proteins, encoded by retroviruses, which bind to single

binding motif appears seven times in a cellular nucleic acid binding protein (CNBP) (Rajavashisth et al., 1989). CNBP binds a sterol regulatory element (SRE) in both a sequence-specific and a single-strand-specific manner (Rajavashisth et al., 1989). In the byr3 protein, there are also seven such metal-binding domains. The homologous regions between the byr3, CNBP, and NBP proteins are located in the metal-binding motif (Figure 4). To confirm that the predicted open reading frame be-

stranded DNA or RNA (Covey, 1986). The same metal-

longed to byr3 and was sufficient to encode a functional protein, we constructed plasmids pAAUNLBYR3 and pAALNLBYR3 that joined this sequence in frame to a sequence encoding a peptide epitope from the HA antigen of the influenza virus, driven from the strong S. pombe adh promoter (see MATERIALS AND METHODS and Table 2). Both plasmids were capable of inducing sporulation in ras1 null diploid cells. Cells containing these vectors produce a protein of the correct molecular size that immunoprecipitates with antibodies to the peptide epitope (see Figure 5). A protein of the same molecular weight is observed on Western blotting.

# DNA Binding Assay of pBYR3 Fusion Protein

Human CNBP is reported to be a nucleic acid binding protein. To test if byr3 protein has the same property, we engineered an *E. coli* system expressing byr3 as a fusion to a maltose binding protein (MBP). MBP-byr3 and MBP were expressed from plasmids pIH-byr3, and pIH-902, respectively, and were used as a complex with amylose resin for these studies. MBP-byr3 protein was

Table 7. Effects of expression of various genes on the conjugation of a byr3 null strain

Ge	ne None	ras1	byr1	byr2	byr3
Transformar	nts				
#1	40/602	2 163/523	49/600	184/556	171/517
#2	28/437	7 216/537	4/618	298/640	176/563
#3	73/523	3 303 / 572	61/550	221/551	178/592
#4	61/589	227/580	11/588	221/551	159,7554
#5	52/589	249/611	26/558	219/597	138/538
	9.3ª	41.0ª	5.2ª	39.5ª	29.7ª

Vectors expressing various genes were used to transform the byr3 null strain SpB3U: pAL (none) is a S. pombe expression vector; pALR carries the S. pombe ras1 gene in the pAL vector; pALBYR1 carries the S. pombe byr1 gene in the pAL vector; pALBYR2 carries the S. pombe byr2 gene in the pAL vector; pAALNLBYR3 carries the S. pombe byr3 gene in the pAALNL vector, expressed as a fusion protein with an influenza hemagglutinin antigen epitope, downstream of the adh1 promoter. Independent transformants were picked and maintained on PMA plates for 3 d. The ratio of the conjugated cells to the total cells counted is presented.

\* Average is expressed as a percentage.

stably maintained as a complex with the amylose resin. Analysis by SDS-PAGE indicates that the protein bound to the resin corresponded to the predicted molecular weight of 66 000 Da for the MBP-byr3 fusion protein. Approximately 10  $\mu$ g of either MBP, expressed from plasmid pIH-902, or 15  $\mu$ g of the MBP-byr3 fusion protein, expressed from pIH-byr3 was bound to 50  $\mu$ l of the resin suspension. This was determined by comparison of Coumassie-Blue staining with known concentrations of bovine serum albumin after separation by SDS-PAGE. S. pombe DNA was sonicated to an average size of 200-300 bps, labeled with <sup>32</sup>P-dCTP by random priming, and incubated with MBP or MBP-byr3. Approximately 80 000 cpm of <sup>32</sup>P-labeled DNA was used in each reaction in the presence or absence of double stranded competitor DNA. The amount of radiolabeled material associated with the proteins was then determined. The results (Table 3) indicate that the byr3 fusion protein is capable of binding to the S. pombe double stranded DNA.

# Phenotype of byr3 Null Cells

The cloned *byr3* gene carried on the plasmid pAL was disrupted with the *ura4* gene as described in MATE-RIALS AND METHODS, thus creating plasmid pALBYR3::ura4 in which the promoter and first 125 codons (out of 179) of *byr3* are deleted. The haploid *ura4<sup>-</sup>* strain Sp870 was then transformed with the *Bam*HI restriction endonuclease fragment containing the disrupted gene flanking the *ura4* marker. Many Ura4<sup>+</sup> colonies were obtained and blotted with the *byr3* gene as probe. Most of the Ura4<sup>+</sup> colonies contained *ura4* insertions at the *byr3* locus, suggesting that *byr3* disrupted cells are viable. This was subsequently confirmed by tetrad analysis of diploids disrupted at a single *byr3* locus. The *byr3* null strain SpB3U was chosen for further studies.

The *byr3* null strain SpB3U has a normal morphology. It is homothallic and hence is capable, in principle, of self-mating and sporulating when starved. The strain has an apparently normal growth rate, but colonies of this strain stain weakly with iodine vapor. Microscopic examination of cells from such colonies revealed that the level of conjugation, as determined by the observation of zygotic asci, was considerably lower than that observed in wild-type h<sup>90</sup> colonies (see also Table 4). To determine if the byr3 null strain was defective in sporulation, diploidized colonies were picked as described in MATERIALS AND METHODS. Colonies of the diploidized strains stain as dark black on exposure to iodine vapor as do wild-type diploid cells, and microscopic examination revealed numerous asci. Haploid progeny of such diploids display the same deficiency in conjugation as the starting byr3 null strain SpB3U. Thus cells with byr3 disruptions are partially defective in conjugation but appear wild type with respect to sporulation and in other respects appear normal.

# Human CNBP Restores Conjugation of the byr3 Null Strain

The S. pombe byr3 and the human CNBP protein both contain seven repeats of the consensus sequence,  $CX_2CX_4HX_4C$ , which is a "zinc finger" motif found in a number of nucleic acid binding protein. The sizes of these two proteins are similar; the S. pombe byr3 is predicted to have 179 residues, and the human CNBP 180. The similarity between byr3 and CNBP suggests that a similar biological function may be found. To test this possibility, a plasmid, pARTCNBP, was constructed to express the human CNBP protein in yeast. This plasmid was transformed into the byr3 null strain, SpB3U (see Table 5), and transformants were examined for the ability to conjugate. The results suggest that expression of the human CNBP is capable of restoring conjugation of the SpB3U strain towards wild-type levels, although not as efficiently as expression of byr3 itself from a similar plasmid. Expression of the human CNBP gene in ras1 null diploid yeast failed to restore sporulation.

# Suppression of Other Phenotypes of ras1 Null Cells by byr Plasmids

*S. pombe* strains lacking *ras1* are also defective in conjugation and have a round cell shape. Multicopy plasmids expressing *byr1* and *byr2* do not restore cell shape to *ras1<sup>-</sup>* strains (Nadin-Davis and Nasim, 1988; Wang *et al.*, 1991b). Multicopy plasmids expressing *byr3* likewise fail to restore cell shape to these strains.

It has been reported by others, and by us, that multicopy plasmids expressing either byr1 (Nadin-Davis and Nasim, 1988) or byr2 (Wang et al., 1991b) could not suppress the conjugation defects of ras1 null cells. These conclusions were based upon microscopic examination of  $h^{90}$  ras1 null cells grown in dense patches on solid medium. We have reexamined this question with the use of a more sensitive genetic selection for conjugation with a mating-competent partner. ras1 null,  $h^{90}$  cells, transformed with various test plasmids, were incubated with either  $h^+$  or  $h^-$  ras1 wild-type strains under nutrient conditions promoting conjugation (see MATERIALS AND METHODS). The ras1 null,  $h^{90}$  cells contained the ade6.210 marker, whereas the ras1 wild-type cells contained the ade6.216 marker. After cocultivation and replica plating, adenine prototrophic cells were selected, and colonies containing sporulating cells were counted. From these experiments it was evident that multicopy plasmids expressing either *byr1* or *byr2* were capable of restoring low levels of conjugation to *ras1* null cells (see Table 6). In contrast, pALBYR3, which expresses the byr3 gene, failed to induce measurable levels of conjugation.

### Further Genetic Interactions of byr3

Multicopy plasmids expressing *ras1*, *ras1*<sup>val17</sup>, *byr1*, and *byr2* were all tested for the ability to alter the phenotype





С







of the byr3 null strain SpB3U. Plasmids expressing ras1 and byr2 effectively restored normal levels of conjugation (see Table 7 and Figure 6). In contrast, the plasmid pARTBYR1, expressing byr1, was not capable of restoring this activity even though this plasmid was capable of restoring sporulation to ras1 and to byr2 null diploid cells, restoring conjugation and sporulation to byr1 null cells (Wang et al., 1991b), and was more effective than the byr2 plasmid, pWH5BYR2, at restoring conjugation to ras1 null cells (see Table 6). Expression of ras1val17 made the byr3 null strain more sterile and increased its agglutinability. Moreover, expression of ras1val17 induced conjugation tubes and a pear shape morphology in the byr3 null strain, as it does in wildtype homothallic strains. Hence byr3 function is not required for the phenotypes associated with activated  $ras1^{val17}$ .

Next we explored the ability of multicopy plasmids expressing *byr3* to restore phenotypic defects in *S. pombe* strains carrying mutations in other loci. We used the following host strains: SpBUD, a diploid strain that carries a complete disruption of *byr1* at both alleles and is absolutely defective in sporulation; SpB2UD, a diploid strain that is completely defective at both alleles of *byr2* and is absolutely defective in sporulation; and SpR2A, a haploid strain that expresses the dominant interfering *RAS2*<sup>ala22</sup> gene of the yeast *S. cerevisiae*, and is thus partially sterile (Powers *et al.*, 1989; Wang *et al.*, 1991b). The multicopy plasmid pALBYR3 was not able to restore sporulation to SpBUD, or to SpB2UD, but was able to restore conjugal competence to the *RAS2*<sup>ala22</sup> strain (see Figure 7).

# Mutation in ras1, byr1, or byr2 do not Affect the Physical Properties of the byr3 Product

In view of the apparent involvement between *byr3* and the sexual differentiation pathway, and that at least two genes required for this pathway probably encode protein kinases, we sought to determine if the covalent state of byr3 protein is affected by mutations in genes involved in sexual differentiation. To this end, we expressed an epitope fusion of byr3 from an *adh1* promoter in strains that were either otherwise wild type, *ras1* null, *byr1* null, or *byr2* null, and labeled cells with either <sup>32</sup>P phosphate or with <sup>35</sup>S-methionine. Cell extracts were immunoprecipitated with monoclonal antibody specific to the epitope, and immunoprecipitates resolved by SDS-PAGE. The results of <sup>32</sup>P labeling suggest that byr3 is a phosphoprotein but that the amount of label is not dependent on genetic background. Moreover, the results of <sup>35</sup>S labeling, shown in Figure 5, indicate that the mobility of the byr3 protein is not affected either. These experiments fail to find evidence that the byr3 protein is a substrate for protein kinases that function on the sexual differentiation pathway.

# DISCUSSION

The function of RAS in the yeast *S. cerevisiae* is at least partly understood: one effector is adenylyl cyclase (Toda *et al.*, 1985). The function of ras1 in *S. pombe* is still unclear, but adenylyl cyclase is not its effector (Fukui *et al.*, 1986). In an attempt to define the ras1 dependent signal transduction pathways in *S. pombe*, we have sought to identify genes that can suppress *ras1* null phenotypes when carried on multicopy plasmids. In this manner, both *byr1* and *byr2* were previously identified (Nadin-Davis and Nasim, 1988; Wang *et al.*, 1991b). In this report we have described a third gene, *byr3*.

The significance of these identifications extends beyond the narrow objective of defining the effectors of RAS and encompasses the broader question of how signal transduction pathways are composed and woven together. We know that ras1 participates in the pathways of sexual differentiation, culminating in both conjugation and sporulation. Many components in addition to ras1 are shared in the consumation of these two events. The two genes, byr1 and byr2, each expected to encode protein kinases, and also to participate in both conjugation and sporulation. Like byr1 and byr2, the overexpression of byr3 can influence sporulation and conjugation. Overexpression of byr3 restores sporulation in *ras1* null diploids and restores conjugation in *S. pombe* strains that express the interfering S. cerevisiae RAS2<sup>ala22</sup> gene. Overexpression of byr3 does not have as profound an effect on these events in ras1 null cells as does overexpression of either *byr1* or *byr2*, because the latter can restore measurable mating activity to ras1 null haploid cells, whereas overexpression of byr3 cannot.

Disruption of *byr3* leads to diminished conjugal activity in haploid cells, without a measurable effect on sporulation, whereas disruption of *byr1* and *byr2* leads to defects in both conjugation and sporulation. This result can be readily explained, because disruption of *byr3* leads to only a partial debilitation of the signal transduction pathways of sexual function: disruption of *byr1* or *byr2* leads to a complete inhibition of mating and sporulation. Several lines of evidence indicate that efficient conjugation is more sensitive to perturbation of this signaling pathway than is sporulation: cells with

**Figure 6.** Effects of multicopy expression of *byr1*, *byr2*, and *ras1* on the phenotype of the *byr3* null strain SpB3U. The strain SpB3U was transformed with (A) pAL, a *S. pombe* expression vector, (B) pARTBYR1, a plasmid containing the *S. pombe byr1* gene, (C) pWH5BYR2, a plasmid containing the *S. pombe byr2* gene, (D) pALR, a plasmid containing the *S. pombe tas1* gene, and (E) pALBYR3, a plasmid containing the *S. pombe byr3* gene. Cells were maintained for 3 d in PMA before photographing. The arrow heads indicate asci resulting from conjugation, evident in C, D, and E, but lacking in A and B.





В



С



interfering  $RAS2^{a|a22}$  are defective in conjugation, but not in sporulation (Wang *et al.*, 1991b); cells with activated  $ras1^{val17}$  are defective in conjugation but not sporulation (Fukui, *et al.*, 1986; Nadin-Davis *et al.*, 1986a); cells lacking *ste6*, which probably encodes a ras1 nucleotide exchange catalyst, are defective in conjugation but not in sporulation (Hughes *et al.*, 1990); overexpression of either *byr1* or *byr2* readily suppresses the sporulation defects of *ras1* null diploid cells, but only very weakly suppress the conjugation defects of *ras1* null haploid cells (Wang *et al.*, 1991b and this report); overexpression of *byr1* suppresses the sporulation defects of *byr2* null diploid cells but not the conjugation defects of *byr2* null haploid cells (Wang *et al.*, 1991b).

We do not understand why byr3 does not appear to be essential in the sexual differentiation pathway. One possibility is that byr3 does not carry out an essential function but merely improves conjugal efficiency. Alternately, byr3 may carry out an essential function, but that a byr3 homolog exists in S. pombe with redundant function. Low stringency hybridization experiments with the byr3 gene as probe have been performed but have not yielded an unambiguous answer (Xu, unpublished data). Given the structural and functional homology between byr3 and the human protein CNBP, (see below), it is quite feasible that functional homologs of byr3 exist in S. pombe that are sufficiently diverged to escape detection by conventional hybridization techniques. Many examples can be found of proteins with homologous function that have only a bare amount of conserved primary structure.

The discovery of each new gene operating in the sexual differentiation pathway presents an opportunity to order it with respect to other genes in the pathway. Although this ordering cannot be made without certain assumptions, and these assumptions are often unstated and unproven, we believe the tentative model created thereby is useful. First, each of byr1, byr2, and byr3 can suppress the sporulation defect of ras1 null diploid cells. These results suggest that each acts downstream of ras1. One assumption required for this conclusion is that *ras1* disruption creates an impenetrable blockade of the signal transduction cascade at its point of action. Second, disruption of byr1, byr2, or byr3 does not produce the morphological abnormality of ras1 disruption, namely abnormally round cells. Thus ras1 must control more than the ensemble of the known byr proteins. The assumption required for this conclusion is that there are

**Figure 7.** Effects of expressing *byr3* on the phenotype of SpR2A. SpR2A is a haploid strain that expresses the dominant interfering  $RAS2^{ala22}$  gene of the yeast *S. cerevisiae*, and is thus partially sterile. The strain was transformed with (A), pAL, a *S. pombe* expression vector, (B), pALBYR3, a plasmid containing the *S. pombe byr3* gene, (C), pALR, a plasmid containing the *S. pombe ras1* gene. The arrow heads in B and C indicate asci that have resulted from haploid conjugation.

no other genes that duplicate the function of the *byr* genes. Although we can have considerable confidence in this assumption for the *byr1* and *byr2* genes, which, as already discussed, create absolute blocks in conjugation and sporulation, we can have little confidence in this assumption for *byr3*. Third, multicopy *ras1* and multicopy *byr2* can each restore conjugation efficiency to cells lacking *byr3*, but multicopy *byr1* fails to do this. Because multicopy *byr1* is superior to multicopy *byr2* in suppressing the conjugation defects of *ras1* null haploid cells (Table 6), we infer from this that byr2 and ras1 each have some function that is not shared by byr1. This conclusion is consistent with our previous analysis that placed *byr2* upstream of *byr1* (Wang *et al.*, 1991b).

Another way to order protein/protein interactions is by biochemical analysis. We therefore sought evidence that byr3 might be a "substrate" of one of the components of the ras1/byr dependent signal transduction cascade. byr3 is a phosphoprotein, but neither its degree of phosphorylation nor its apparent mobility in SDS polyacrylamide gels appears to be a function of the presence or absence of *ras1*, *byr1*, or *byr2*.

The predicted primary sequence of the byr3 product is quite unusual in that it contains seven repeats of the motif CX<sub>2</sub>CX<sub>4</sub>HX<sub>4</sub>C. A similar zinc finger motif is found in a number of nucleic acid binding proteins, and matches a motif found in the gag polyproteins of retroviruses (Berg, 1986). A mammalian protein, CNBP, has been described that, like byr3, contains precisely seven units of this repeat (Rajavashisth et al., 1989). The homology between CNBP and byr3 repeats is the closest we have found in the sequence data banks. The similarity in the overall structure of byr3 and CNBP suggests that a similarity in biochemical function will be found. To test this hypothesis, we expressed CNBP in S. pombe. Expression of CNBP increased the conjugal efficiency of cells lacking byr3, consistent with the idea that both proteins have common cellular functions. Expression of CNBP failed, however, to induce sporulation in *ras1* null diploids cells suggesting that it does not function equivalently to byr3 in S. pombe.

CNBP was found by its ability to bind to the DNA motif GTGCGGTG that has been found near sterol responsive genes. Like CNBP, byr3 binds to DNA. byr3 was expressed as a fusion to maltose-binding protein and purified as a complex to amylose resin. The MBP-byr3 fusion protein stably associates with the amylose resin under the DNA binding and washing conditions used. This stable association permits for a rapid separation, by low-speed centrifugation, of unbound from bound <sup>32</sup>P-labeled DNA. We have found that the fusion protein can bind <sup>32</sup>P-labeled DNA and that this binding can be competed by unlabeled DNA.

On the basis of on its DNA binding characteristics, Rajavashisth *et al.*, 1989, hypothesized that CNBP is involved in the repression of transcriptional activity of sterol responsive genes. This hypothesis has received no direct experimental support, at this time. Another hypothesis is that byr3 and CNBP bind RNA. Although several proteins with the zinc finger binding motif  $CX_2CX_{12-14}HX_2H$  are transcriptional activators, the motif  $CX_2CX_4HX_4C$  is associated with retroviral nucleocapsid proteins (Berg, 1986), and the similar metal-binding motif  $CX_2CX_6HX_2H$  is found in *E. coli* alanyl-tRNA synthetase (Miller *et al.*, 1991). The discovery of the conservation of proteins with seven units of this zinc finger binding motif, and, in particular their discovery in yeast, may lead to understanding of the way these molecules participate in cellular events.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank D. Beach for generous gifts of plasmids and yeast strains and library and P. Bird for help preparing this manuscript. We thank J. Duffy and P. Renna for help making the figures and photos in this manuscript. This work was supported by the National Cancer Institute, the American Cancer Society, and the American Heart Association. T. Rajavashisth is a senior investigator of the American Heart Association-Greater Los Angeles Affiliate. M. Wigler is an American Cancer Society Research Professor.

# REFERENCES

Ballester, R., Marchuk, D., Boguski, M., Saulino, A., Letcher, R., Wigler, M., and Collins, F. (1990). The NF1 locus encodes a protein functionally related to mammalian GAP and yeast IRA proteins. Cell *63*, 851–859.

Beckner, S., Hattori, S., and Shih, T. (1985). The ras oncogene product p21 is not a regulatory component of adenylate cyclase. Nature 317, 71–72.

Berg, J. (1986). Potential metal-binding domains in nucleic acid binding proteins. Science 232, 485–487.

Biggin, M., Gibson, T., and Hong, G. (1983). Buffer gradient gels and <sup>35</sup>S label as an aid to rapid DNA sequence determination. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *80*, 3963–3965.

Birchmeier, C., Broek, D., and Wigler, M. (1985). RAS proteins can induce meiosis in *Xenopus* oocytes. Cell 43, 615–621.

Broek, D., Samily, N., Fasano, O., Fujiyama, A., Tamanoi, F., Northup, J., and Wigler, M. (1985). Differential activation of yeast adenylate cyclase by wild type and mutant ras proteins. Cell 41, 763–769.

Covey, S. (1986). Amino-acid sequence homology in gag region of reverse transcribing elements and the coat protein gene of Cauliflower mosaic-virus. Nucleic Acids Res. 14, 623–633.

Egel, R. (1989). Mating-type genes, meiosis, and sporulation. In: Molecular Biology of the Fission Yeast, ed. A. Nasim, P. Young, and B. Johnson, New York: Academic Press, 31–68.

Field, J., Nikawa, J., Broek, D., MacDonald, B., Rodgers, L., Wilson, I., Lerner, R., and Wigler, M. (1988) Purification of a RAS-responsive adenylyl cyclase complex from *Sccharomyces cerevisiae* by use of an epitope addition method. Mol. Cell. Biol. *8*, 2159–2165.

Fukui, Y., Kazasa, T., Kaziro, Y., Takeda, T., and Yamamoto, M. (1986). Role of a ras homolog in the life cycle of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. Cell 44, 329–336.

Fukui, Y., and Kaziro, Y. (1985). Molecular cloning and sequence

#### H.-P. Xu et al.

analysis of a ras gene from Schizosaccharomyces pombe. EMBO J. 4, 687–691.

Gutz, H., Heslot, H., Leupold, U., and Loprieno, N. (1974). *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. In: Handbook of Genetics, vol. 1, ed. R. King, New York: Plenum 395-446.

Hanahan, D. (1983). Studies on transformation of *Escherichia coli* with plasmids. J. Mol. Biol. *166*, 557–580.

Holmes, D., and Quigley, M. (1981). A rapid boiling method for the preparation of bacterial plasmids. Anal. Biochem. 114, 193–197.

Hughes, D., Fukui, Y., and Yamamoto, M. (1990). Homologous activaters of *ras* in fission and budding yeast. Nature 344, 355–357.

Ito, H., Fukuda, Y., Murata, K., and Kimura, A. (1983). Transformation of intact yeast cells treated with alkali. J. Bacterial. 153, 163–168.

Katz, L., Kingsbury, D., and Helinski, D. (1973). Stimulation by cyclic adenosine monophosphate of plasmid deoxyribonucleic acid replication and catabolite repression of the plasmid deoxyribonucleic acid-protein relaxation complex. J. Bacteriol. *114*, 577–591.

Kinzler, K., and Vogelstein, B. (1989). Whole Genome PCR: application to the identification of sequences bound by gene regulatory proteins. Nucleic Acids Res. *17*, 3645–3653.

Kinzler, K., and Vogelstein, B. (1990). The GLI gene encodes a nuclear protein which binds specific sequences in the human genome. Mol. Cell. Biol. 10, 634–642.

Kumar, P., Hui, H., Kappes, J., Haggarty, B., Hoxie, J., Arya, S., Shaw, G., and Hahn, B. (1990). Molecular characterization of an attenuated human immunodeficiency virus 2 isolate. J. Virol. *64*, 890–901.

Losson, R., and Lacroute, F. (1983). Plasmid carrying the yeast omp decarboxylase structural and regulatary genes: transcription regulation in a foreign environment. Cell 32, 371–377.

McLeod, M., Stein, M., and Beach, D. (1987). The product of the  $mei3^+$  gene expressed under control of the mating-type locus, induces meiosis and sporulation in fission yeast. EMBO. J. 6, 729–736.

Michael, H., and Gutz, H. (1987). Sterility (ste) genes of Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Yeast 3, 5–9.

Miller, W., Hill, K., and Schimmel, P. (1991). Evidence for a "Cysteine-Histidine Box" metal-binding site in an *E. coli* Aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase. Biochemistry *30*, 6970–6976.

Nadin-Davis, S., and Nasim, A. (1988). A gene which encodes a predicted protein kinase can restore some functions of the *ras* gene in fission yeast. EMBO J. 7, 985–993.

Nadin-Davis, S., and Nasim, A. (1990). Schizosaccharomyces pombe

ras1 and byr1 are functionally related genes of the ste family that affect starvation-induced transcription of mating-type genes. Mol. Cell. Biol. 10, 549–560.

Nadin-Davis, S., Nasim, A., and Beach, D. (1986a). Involvement of *ras* in sexual differentiation but not in growth control in fission yeast. EMBO J. 5, 2963–2971.

Nadin-Davis, S., Yang, R., Narang, S., and Nasim, A. (1986b). The cloning and characterization of a *ras* gene from *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. I. Mol. Evol. 23, 41–51.

Nasmyth, K., and Reed, S. (1980). Isolation of genes by complementation in yeast: molecular cloning of a cell-cycle gene. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77, 2119–2123.

Powers, S., O'Neill, K., and Wigler, M. (1989). Dominant yeast and mammalian *RAS* mutants that interfere with the *CDC25*-dependent activation of wild-type *RAS* in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 9, 390–393.

Rajavashisth, T., Taylor, A., Andalibi, A., Svenson, K., and Lusis, A. (1989). Identification of a zinc finger protein that binds to the sterol regulatory element. Science 245, 640–643.

Sanger, F., Nicklen, S., and Coulson, A. (1977). DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74, 5463–5467.

Tanaka, K., Nakafuku, M., Satoh, T., Marshall, M., Gibbs, J., Matsumoto, K., Kaziro, Y., and Toh-e, A. (1990a). *S. cerevisiae* genes IRA1 and IRA2 encode proteins that may be functionally equivalent to mammalian ras GTPase activating protein. Cell *60*, 803–807.

Toda, T., Uno, I., Ishikawa, T., Powers, S., Kataoka, T., Broek, D., Cameron, S., Broach, J., Matsumoto, K., and Wigler, M. (1985). In yeast, *RAS* proteins are controlling elements of the adenylate cyclase. Cell 40, 27–36.

Trahey, M., and McCormick, F. (1987). A cytoplasmic protein stimulates normal N-*ras* p21 GTPase, but does not affect oncogenic mutants. Science 238, 542–545.

Wang, Y., Boguski, M., Riggs, M., Rodgers, L., and Wigler, M. (1991a). Sar1, a gene from *Schizosaccharmyces pombe* encoding a protein that regulates ras1. Cell Regul. 2, 453–465.

Wang, Y., Xu, H.-P., Riggs, M., Rodgers, L., and Wigler, M. (1991b). byr2, a *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* gene encoding a protein kinase capable of partial suppression of the ras1 mutant phenotype. Mol. Cell. Bio. 11, 3554–3563.

Wright, A., Maundrell, K., Heyer, W., Beach, D., and Nurse, P. (1986). Vectors for the construction of gene banks and the integration of cloned genes in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Plasmid 15, 156–158.