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Abstract. The extracellular segment of the receptor-type protein tyrosine phosphatase PTPµ, possesses an MAM domain, an immunoglobulin domain, and four fibronectin type-III repeats. It binds homophilically, i.e., PTPµ on the surface of one cell binds to PTPµ on an apposing cell, and the binding site lies within the immunoglobulin domain. The intracellular segment of PTPµ has two PTP domains and a juxtamembrane segment that is homologous to the conserved intracellular domain of the cadherins. In cadherins, this segment interacts with proteins termed catenins to mediate association with the actin cytoskeleton. In this article, we demonstrate that PTPµ associates with a complex containing cadherins, α- and β-catenin in mink lung (MvLu) cells, and in rat heart, lung, and brain tissues. Greater than 80% of the cadherin in the cell is cleared from Triton X-100 lysates of MvLu cells after immunoprecipitation with antibodies to PTPµ; however, the complex is dissociated when lysates are prepared in more stringent, SDS-containing RIPA buffer. In vitro binding studies demonstrated that the intracellular segment of PTPµ binds directly to the intracellular domain of E-cadherin, but not to α- or β-catenin. Consistent with their ability to interact in vivo, PTPµ, cadherins, and catenins all localized to points of cell-cell contact in MvLu cells, as assessed by immunocytochemical staining. After pervanadate treatment of MvLu cells, which inhibits cellular tyrosine phosphatase activity including PTPµ, the cadherins associated with PTPµ are now found in a tyrosine-phosphorylated form, indicating that the cadherins may be an endogenous substrate for PTPµ. These data suggest that PTPµ may be one of the enzymes that regulates the dynamic tyrosine phosphorylation, and thus function, of the cadherin/catenin complex in vivo.

Many cellular processes are regulated by reversible tyrosine phosphorylation, controlled by the balanced and opposing actions of protein tyrosine kinases (PTKs)1 and protein tyrosine phosphatases (PTPs). PTPs exist in both soluble and transmembrane, receptor-like forms (Charbonneau and Tonks, 1992; Tonks, 1993). In the case of the receptor PTPs (RPTPs), there is the potential for regulating activity by the binding of ligands to the extracellular segment of the protein. Several of the transmembrane PTPs are members of the immunoglobulin superfamily, and display structural motifs in their extracellular segments that are suggestive of a role in cell-cell adhesion (for review see Brady-Kalnay and Tonks, 1994a). In the case of the receptor PTPs (RPTPs), there is the potential for regulating activity by the binding of ligands to the extracellular segment of the protein. Several of the transmembrane PTPs are members of the immunoglobulin superfamily, and display structural motifs in their extracellular segments that are suggestive of a role in cell-cell adhesion (for review see Brady-Kalnay and Tonks, 1994a). To date, two RPTPs, PTPµ and PTPπ, have been shown to mediate aggregation via homophilic binding (Brady-Kalnay et al., 1993; Gebbink et al., 1993). These two RPTPs is an identical molecule on an apposing cell, suggesting that they may transduce signals in response to cell contact. Information concerning ligands for other RPTPs is also beginning to emerge. PTPyβ is a chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan (Barnea et al., 1994; Maeda et al., 1994; Shitara et al., 1994). Phosphacan, a splice variant comprising the PTPyβ extracellular segment, has been shown to interact heterophilically with N-CAM, Ng-CAM, and tenascin (Grumet et al., 1994; Maurel et al., 1994; Milev et al., 1994).

Our studies have focused on PTPµ. We have shown previously that PTPµ can mediate aggregation of cells via homophilic binding (Brady-Kalnay et al., 1993), and that the homophilic binding site resides in the Ig domain (Brady-Kalnay and Tonks, 1994b). The intracellular segment of PTPµ is characterized by two PTP domains and a juxtamembrane segment that is ~70 residues longer than the equivalent segment in most other RPTPs, and displays homology to the entire intracellular domain of members of the cadherin family (for review see Brady-Kalnay and Tonks, 1994a). Cadherins are a major family of calcium-dependent cell-cell adhesion molecules that also bind by a homophilic mechanism and localize to specialized intercel-
lar junctions called adherens junctions (for reviews see Grunwald, 1993; Nagafuchi et al., 1993). The cadherins are transmembrane proteins possessing an extracellular calcium-binding segment and an intracellular domain that is highly conserved (~90% identity) among most members of the family. Deletions of the conserved intracellular segment can prevent adhesion even when the extracellular binding domain is intact (Nagafuchi and Takeichi, 1988). The cytoplasmic domain of the cadherins interacts with three molecules termed catenins (α, β, γ), and the complex associates with cortical actin (Rimm et al., 1995b; for reviews see Ranscht, 1994; Cowin, 1994). Two of the cadherins have been cloned. α-catenin is homologous to vinculin, a cytoskeletal-associated protein, β-catenin is homologous to plakoglobin, a protein found at desmosomes, and armadillo, a segment polarity gene in Drosophila melanogaster (Herrenknecht et al., 1991; McCrea et al., 1991; Nagafuchi et al., 1991). Immunochemical data suggest that γ-catenin is identical to plakoglobin (Knudsen and Wheelock, 1992; Pippenhagen and Nelson, 1993). It appears that β-catenin and plakoglobin bind directly to the cytoplasmic domain of E-cadherin while α-catenin binds directly to β-catenin and plakoglobin (Aberle et al., 1994). This interaction between the cadherins and catenins is essential for cadherin-mediated adhesion and association of the complex with the cytoskeleton. Based on the structural similarity between PTPα and the cadherins, we postulated that PTPα may interact with cadherins and catenin-like molecules (Tonks et al., 1992).

Here, we have examined protein-protein interactions involving PTPα, and have demonstrated that the cadherins and α- and β-catenin coimmunoprecipitated with PTPα from lysates of rat heart, lung, and brain, as well as mink lung MvLu cells. In vitro binding studies indicated that the intracellular segment of PTPα bound directly to the intracellular domain of E-cadherin, but not to α- or β-catenin. We have demonstrated by immunocytochemistry that PTPα, cadherins, and catenins were localized at points of cell–cell contact in MvLu cells, and thus are in the appropriate subcellular location to interact in vivo. After pervanadate treatment of MvLu cells, which inhibits cellular tyrosine phosphatase activity, the PTPα-associated cadherins accumulated in a tyrosine-phosphorylated form. These results indicate that PTPα may regulate the phosphorylation of tyrosyl residues in cadherins and catenins, and thus may also regulate their function.

Materials and Methods

Cells

MvLu cells were grown as previously described (Brady-Kalnay et al., 1993). The cells were treated with tetracycline and harvested 3 d after infection with recombinant baculovirus as described (Brady-Kalnay et al., 1993), harvested 3 d after infection by centrifugation, and lysed in Triton buffer as described below.

Antibodies

An mAb to the intracellular segment of PTPα (SRK15) and a monoclonal anti-epidermal growth factor toward the extracellular segment of PTPα (BK2) have been described previously (Brady-Kalnay et al., 1993; Brady-Kalnay and Tonks, 1994b). Polyclonal antibodies to glutathione-S-transferase (GST) and α-catenin (RAC) were generated as described (Rimm et al., 1995a). A polyclonal antibody against β-catenin (RBC) was generated using full-length protein fused to GST as the antigen. mAbs to α- (TAC) and β-catenin (TBC) were purchased from Transduction Laboratories (Lexington, KY). Monoclonal (MPan) and polyclonal (RPan) pan cadherin antibodies, which react with the conserved COOH-terminal 24 amino acids of the cadherin cytoplasmic domain, and nonspecific mouse IgG were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO). Generally, mAbs to the cadherins and catenins were used for immunoblotting, while the polyclonal antibodies were used for immunohistochemistry.

Electrophoresis and Immunoblotting

For most experiments, MvLu cells were lysed in Triton-containing buffer (20 mM Tris, pH 7.6, 1% Triton X-100, 5 mM EDTA, 5 µg/ml leupeptin, 5 µg/ml aprotinin, 1 mM benzamidine, 200 µM phenylarsine oxide, 1 mM vanadate, and 0.1 mM molybdate) and scraped off the dish. In selected experiments, cells were lysed in RIPA buffer (20 mM Tris pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA, 0.15 mM NaCl, 10 mM KCl, 1% NP-40, 0.1% deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 5 µg/ml leupeptin, 5 µg/ml aprotinin, 1 mM benzamidine, 200 µM phenylarsine oxide, 1 mM vanadate, and 0.1 mM molybdate). Tissue lysates were prepared by homogenization in Triton-containing buffer. After incubation on ice for 30 min, the lysate was centrifuged at 5,000 g for 5 min, and the Triton-soluble material was recovered in the supernatant. The amount of protein was determined by the Bradford method using BSA as a standard. Immunoprecipitates were prepared from 400 µg of protein from a Triton-soluble lysate using primary antibody that had been coupled to beads. The immunoprecipitates were centrifuged, and the supernatant (“cleared fraction”) and the beads were separated. For the supernatant, 4X sample buffer was added (to a final concentration of 1X) and boiled at 95°C for 5 min. The beads were washed four times in Triton- or BSA-containing buffer, and the bound material was eluted by addition of 100 µl of 2X sample buffer and boiling for 5 min at 95°C. One-fifth of the immunoprecipitation (IP) (20 µl) was loaded per lane of the gel, the proteins were separated by electrophoresis on 6% SDS polyacrylamide gels, and transferred to nitrocellulose for immunoblotting as described previously (Brady-Kalnay et al., 1993).

GST Constructs and Overlay Blots

GST was expressed and purified as described (Brady-Kalnay et al., 1993). GST fusion proteins were generated as follows: a construct encoding the COOH-terminal 151 amino acids of E-cadherin was synthesized by PCR and cloned into pGEX-2T. Full-length cDNA for α-catenin was isolated by RT-PCR, and subcloned into pGEX-2T. Full-length cDNA for β-catenin was amplified by RT-PCR using primers based on the sequence in Genbank for human β-catenin, and a fusion protein was made by subcloning into pGEX-KX. Fusion constructs of both the NH2-terminal (residues 1–375) and COOH-terminal (residues 315–781) segments of β-catenin with GST were also generated by RT-PCR and cloned into pGEX-KX. Expression of GST-tagged proteins in Escherichia coli was induced by isopropylthio-β-D-galactosidase (IPTG). The bacteria were collected at centrifugation at 3,000 g for 10 min, and lysed in PBS containing 1% Triton X-100, 5 µg/ml leupeptin, 5 µg/ml aprotinin, and 1 mM benzamidine, sonicated and centrifuged at 3,000 g for 10 min again to remove debris. The supernatant was passed over glutathione-Sepharose beads (Pharmacia LKB Biotechnology
The immobilized protein was partially renatured by washing the blot three times for a total of 4 h with 5% nonfat dry milk in TBST (660 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 0.05% Tween). The protein probe was added to the blot at 10 μg/ml in TBST containing 5% nonfat dry milk, and incubated at 4°C overnight. The blot was washed four times in TBST to remove uncomplexed probe. Bound probe was detected immunologically by enhanced chemiluminescence.

**Immunocytochemistry**

All chemicals were diluted in PBS. Cells were fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde for 15 min at room temperature (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Fort Washington, PA). The cells were permeabilized with 0.5% saponin, blocked with 5% normal goat serum, and incubated with primary antibody for 1 h. The cells were washed with PBS containing 0.5% saponin, and incubated with rhodamine-conjugated secondary antibody (Cappel Research Products, Durham, NC) for 1 h. The fluorescent staining was examined using an oil immersion objective, magnification, 63, of a microscope (Carl Zeiss, Inc., Thornwood, NY) equipped for epifluorescence.

**Results**

**Cadherin and Catenin Expression in a Lung Cell Line**

In light of the structural similarity between the juxtamembrane segment of PTPμ and the intracellular segment of the cadherins, we examined whether PTPμ associates with the cadherin/catenin complex in MvLu cells, which express PTPμ endogenously (Brady-Kalnay et al., 1993). The PTPμ protein exists in vivo in both a full-length form and a form that is proteolytically processed into two noncovalently associated fragments, one of which (E subunit) contains most of the extracellular segment while the other fragment (P subunit) contains a small portion of extracellular segment, the transmembrane domain, and the entire intracellular segment (Brady-Kalnay and Tonks, 1994b). The full-length form of PTPμ migrates at ~200 kD while each of the cleaved fragments migrate at ~100 kD upon SDS-PAGE. The full-length form and the E subunit reacted with an antibody generated against the extracellular segment of PTPμ (Fig. 1 A).

As expected from published observations, antibodies to α-catenin recognized a protein of ~100 kD (Fig. 1 B), whereas antibodies to β-catenin recognized a protein of ~90 kD (Fig. 1 C) in immunoblots of MvLu cell lysates. The pancadherin antibody, which was generated against the highly conserved COOH-terminal 24 amino acids of N-cadherin, reacts with most classical cadherins (Geiger et al., 1990). In MvLu cells, this antibody recognized two species (130 and 116 kD) on an immunoblot (Fig. 1 D, lane E), but only immunoprecipitated the 130-kD protein from Triton buffer lysates (see Fig. 2 B, lane C). To verify that these proteins that are immunoreactive to the pancadherin antibody behave as cadherins, we analyzed their sensitivity to trypsinization in the presence or absence of calcium. This test is based on the original description of calcium-independent versus calcium-dependent adhesion molecules (Takeichi, 1977). In this procedure, the extracellular segment of the cadherins (calcium-dependent adhesion molecules) is protected from trypsinization by the presence of calcium, but cleaved in the absence of calcium. The cleavage of the cadherins coincides with these proteins being rendered nonfunctional in aggregation assays (Takeichi, 1977; Brackenbury et al., 1981). As shown in Fig. 1 D (lane L), the proteins that are reactive to the pancadherin antibody are cleaved by trypsin in the absence of calcium. The fragment that appeared in this lane could be resolved into two bands on an 8% gel (data not shown), suggesting that both cadherins are susceptible to tryptic cleavage in the absence of calcium. The 130-kD band is protected from trypsinization in the presence of calcium (lane T). As has been reported for cadherin 5 (Tanihara et al., 1994), the 116-kD form appears to be more sensitive to trypsinization in the presence of calcium, however, analysis of immunoprecipitates indicates that it is partially protected (data not shown).

**Figure 1.** Characterization of PTPμ, cadherin, α-catenin and β-catenin in MvLu cells. This figure shows immunoblots of lysates from MvLu cells. Each lane contains 15 μg of lysate protein. A was probed with an antibody (BK2) to the extracellular segment of PTPμ; B, an antibody (TAC) to α-catenin; C, an antibody (TBC) to β-catenin; D, an antibody (RPan) to cadherin. In panel D, immunoblots of lysates from MvLu cells treated with EDTA alone (E), low trypsin plus EDTA (L), or trypsin plus calcium (T) are presented. The two cadherins were degraded by trypsin in the absence of calcium (L) while the 130-kD band was protected from trypsinization by the presence of calcium (T).

**Figure 2.** PTPμ, cadherin, and α- and β-catenin are associated in a complex in MvLu cells. This figure illustrates IP immunoblots of lysates from MvLu cells using mouse IgG (M), PTPμ (μ), cadherin (C), α-catenin (α) or β-catenin (β) antibodies for immunoprecipitation. These immunoprecipitates were subjected to SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose, and probed with antibodies to PTPμ (SK15; A), cadherin (RPan; B), α-catenin (RAC; C), or β-catenin (RBC; D). PTPμ immunoprecipitates contained cadherins and α- and β-catenin.
PTP$\mu$ Associates with Cadherins and Catenins In Vivo

We analyzed protein/protein interactions by IP immunoblots, in which proteins are immunoprecipitated with antibodies coupled to beads, the bound material is eluted and resolved by SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose, and probed with various antibodies by immunoblotting. Both the full-length (200-kD) and the cleaved (100-kD) forms of PTP$\mu$ were immunoprecipitated by antibodies to the extracellular segment of PTP$\mu$ (Fig. 2 A, lane $\mu$), but not by mouse IgG (lane $M$). When immunoprecipitates of PTP$\mu$ were probed on immunoblots with anti-pancadherin antibodies, an association between the 130- and 116-kD cadherin and PTP$\mu$ was detected (Fig. 2 B, lane $\mu$). Only the 130-kD cadherin was immunoprecipitated by antipancadherin antibody (Fig. 2 B, lane C). The lower band in Fig. 2 B, lane C is nonspecific; it cross-reacted with the goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody, and was also seen when other rabbit primary antibodies were used. Immunoprecipitates of $\beta$-catenin also contained both the 130- and 116-kD cadherins (Fig. 2 B, lane $\beta$) that were not detected when mouse IgG was used for immunoprecipitation (Fig. 2 B, lane $M$). Similarly, an association between PTP$\mu$ and $\alpha$- and $\beta$-catenin was detected when antibodies to $\alpha$-catenin (Fig. 2 C) or $\beta$-catenin (Fig. 2 D) were used as probes in the IP immunoblot. As shown in Fig. 2 C, immunoprecipitates of PTP$\mu$ (lane $\mu$) contain a protein that comigrated with the protein recognized by blotting $\alpha$-catenin immunoprecipitates with $\alpha$-catenin antibodies (lane $\alpha$). Similarly, immunoprecipitates of PTP$\mu$ contained a protein that comigrated with $\beta$-catenin, and was recognized by antibodies to $\alpha$-catenin (Fig. 2 D, lanes $\mu$ and $\beta$). Neither $\alpha$- nor $\beta$-catenin were immunoprecipitated with mouse IgG (Fig. 2 C and D, lane $M$). Based on these data, it appears that we can isolate complexes containing PTP$\mu$, cadherins, $\alpha$- and $\beta$-catenin in MvLu cells which endogenously express these proteins.

We have also observed this complex in rat tissue lysates. Fig. 3 illustrates immunoprecipitates, using mouse IgG (lanes 1, 3, 5) or antibodies to PTP$\mu$ (lanes 2, 4, 6), from lung (lanes 1 and 2), heart (lanes 3 and 4), and brain (lanes 5 and 6). A demonstrates that PTP$\mu$ was expressed in all three tissues, and was present both in full-length (200-kD) and proteolytically processed (100-kD) forms. The band that runs below 100 kD is not related to PTP$\mu$, as determined by its failure to react with other PTP$\mu$ antibodies; it cross-reacted with the secondary antibody on IP immunoblots. As shown in B, proteins of different molecular weights that were reactive to the pan-cadherin antibody coimmunoprecipitated with PTP$\mu$ in the three tissues. Using specific antibodies, we have identified two of the cadherins associated with PTP$\mu$ in lung as E-cadherin and cadherin 4 (data not shown). Similar to the pattern of association observed in the MvLu mink lung cell line, we also detected $\alpha$- and $\beta$-catenin in immunoprecipitates of PTP$\mu$ from rat lung (lane 2, C and D). We only detected $\beta$-catenin in the complex with PTP$\mu$ and cadherin in heart and brain. As seen in Fig. 3 D, the amount of $\beta$-catenin present in the PTP$\mu$ immunoprecipitates from the different tissues is variable. The amount of $\alpha$- and $\beta$-catenin associated with PTP$\mu$ does not reflect the total amount of these proteins in the tissues. Thus, $\alpha$-catenin is present at similar levels in all three tissues, and the highest amount of $\beta$-catenin associated with PTP$\mu$ is observed in lung, even though of the tissues examined, $\beta$-catenin is actually most abundant in brain (data not shown). Since $\alpha$-catenin associates with PTP$\mu$ indirectly, through its interaction with $\beta$-catenin (see Fig. 6), the presence or absence of $\alpha$-catenin in the complex may be determined by the level of $\beta$-catenin. Whether this reflects differential regulation of the complex between tissues remains to be established.

In the preceding analyses using antibody to the extracellular segment of PTP$\mu$, cadherins and catenins coimmunoprecipitated with the phosphatase. However, we wanted to verify that this reflects the isolation of a multiprotein complex, rather than the presence of a cross-reacting epitope in the various proteins. Therefore, we examined whether the complex could be isolated under conditions of increased stringency after lysis of the cells in a RIPA buffer containing detergents 1% NP-40, 0.1% SDS, and 0.1% deoxycholate. Mouse IgG does not immunoprecipitate PTP$\mu$ or the cadherins (Fig. 4, A and B, lanes 1 and 3). Anti-PTP$\mu$ antibodies immunoprecipitated both full-length and cleaved forms of the phosphatase from either Triton or RIPA buffer lysates (Fig. 4 A, lanes 2 and 4). Anti-PTP$\mu$ immunoprecipitates from Triton buffer lysates also contained cadherins (Fig. 4 B, lane 2), whereas cadherins were not found in the immunoprecipitates from RIPA buffer lysates (Fig. 4 B, lane 4). Fig. 4 C demonstrates that equal amounts of cadherins were present in both the Triton and RIPA cell extracts. Equivalent results were observed for both $\alpha$- and $\beta$-catenin, i.e., they were
The complex of cadherins and PTPμ is not stable to extraction in SDS-containing RIPA buffer. A and B show immunoblots using antibodies to PTPμ (SK15) or cadherins (RPan), respectively. C is an immunoblot using antibody (RPan) to cadherin. Mouse IgG was used for immunoprecipitation in lanes 1 and 3 (A and B). PTPμ antibody (BK2) was used for immunoprecipitation in lanes 2 and 4. PTPμ was immunoprecipitated in both Triton (A, lane 2) and RIPA buffers (A, lane 4). Cadherins were only associated with PTPμ in Triton buffer (B, lane 2) but not RIPA buffer (B, lane 4). C shows that equal amounts of cadherins were present in both Triton (lane 1) and RIPA (lane 2) buffer lysates.

only recovered in PTPμ immunoprecipitates from Triton buffer lysates, and not when RIPA buffer was used to lyse the cells (data not shown). These data suggest that the PTPμ antibodies do not cross-react with the cadherins, and that the cadherins and catenins were found in immunoprecipitates of the phosphatase because they are associated with PTPμ or an additional protein that also binds to PTPμ.

To determine the fraction of total cadherin that was associated with PTPμ in these cells, we determined how much cadherin remained in the supernatant after immunoprecipitation using various antibodies. In this procedure, IPs were performed, and the proteins that remained in the supernatant after the beads were removed ("cleared fraction") were compared by immunoblotting. When various control antibodies were used, no cadherin was cleared from the supernatant (Fig. 5, lanes 1, 3, 4). However, a substantial amount (~80%) of both cadherins was cleared from the Triton buffer lysates (Fig. 5 A, lane 2) but not RIPA buffer lysates (Fig. 5 B, lane 2) after immunoprecipitation with anti-PTPμ antibodies.

Analysis of the Binding Interactions between PTPμ, Cadherins, and Catenins In Vitro

To define which segments of PTPμ, the cadherins, and the catenins were involved in complex formation, we set up the following overlay assays to detect binding interactions in vitro (for review see Carr and Scott, 1992). In overlay assays, proteins are subjected to electrophoresis on SDS-PAGE gels, transferred to nitrocellulose, renatured, and protein probes are added in solution to the blot. The protein probe binds to its partner on the nitrocellulose filter and the bound protein is detected with a specific primary antibody followed by a secondary antibody and chemiluminescence. Fig. 6 A illustrates an immunoblot with anti-GST antibody to demonstrate that the various fusion proteins were expressed and migrated at the appropriate apparent molecular weight after SDS-PAGE. Control overlay blots demonstrated that β-catenin bound both to the intracellular domain of E-cadherin and to α-catenin (B), whereas α-catenin bound...
only to β-catenin (C). The binding site for α-catenin was in
the NH2-terminal segment of β-catenin (C). When PTPµ,
was used as the protein probe in the overlay blots, we ob-
served that the phosphatase bound to the intracellular
domain of E-cadherin but did not bind α- or β-catenin (D).
If PTPµ was preincubated with the intracellular domain of
E-cadherin before addition to the overlay blot, the binding
to E-cadherin on the blot was blocked (E). A chimeric
molecule containing the intracellular segment of PTPµ
fused to the extracellular segment of the EGF receptor,
was used as the probe in the overlay blot and detected us-
aging anti-EGF receptor antibodies. This probe also bound
to E-cadherin (F), demonstrating that it is the intracellular
segment of PTPµ that binds to the intracellular segment of
E-cadherin. These data indicate that PTPµ and cadherins
interact directly both in vivo and in vitro.

**Immunocytochemistry Confirms the Localization of PTPµ, Cadherins, and Catenins at Points of Cell/Cell Contact**

Cadherins and catenins are located at points of cell-cell con-
tact, including adherens junctions. To determine whether
PTPµ displayed a similar distribution, we performed im-
munocytochemistry on MvLu cells. Fig. 7, A and B, shows
an immunocytochemical analysis of subconfluent cultures
of MvLu cells. PTPµ was localized at points of cell-cell
contact. Interestingly, filopodial extensions that contact
adjacent cells also contained PTPµ (Fig. 7, A and B). When
cells were plated at higher density, PTPµ was re-
stricted to points of cell-cell contact (C and D). Antibod-
ies to the cadherins (E and F) and β-catenin (G and H)
also decorated points of cell-cell contact in MvLu cells.
Similar staining patterns were observed for α-catenin
(data not shown). Double-label immunocytochemistry
demonstrated that the distribution of PTPµ, cadherins,
and catenins directly overlapped at points of cell-cell contact
(data not shown). This similarity in their subcellular dis-
tribution confirms that under normal physiological levels of
expression, these proteins are in the appropriate location
to interact with one another in vivo.

**Inhibition of PTPs Leads to an Accumulation of Tyrosine-phosphorylated Cadherins in the Complex with PTPµ**

To address the physiological significance of this associa-
tion, we tested the possibility that cadherins may be physi-
ological substrates of PTPµ. At the present time there are
no specific inhibitors of PTPµ available. Therefore, we
treated MvLu cells with pervanadate, a broad specificity
inhibitor of cellular PTPs, including PTPµ, to examine its
effect on the phosphorylation of tyrosyl residues in cad-
herins. Treatment of cells with 10 µM pervanadate had lit-
tle apparent effect on PTP activity, as indicated by the
very weak signal in anti-phosphotyrosine antibody immu-
noblot (data not shown). As illustrated in lane 1, only
trace amounts of cadherin were immunoprecipitated with
anti-phosphotyrosine antibodies when MvLu cells were
treated with 10 µM pervanadate (pTYR-IP-10). In contrast,
there was a large increase in the level of tyrosine-phosphor-
ylated cadherins, as determined by immunoprecipitation
with anti-phosphotyrosine antibody (pTYR-IP-50), after
treatment of the cells with 50 µM pervanadate. After inhibi-
tion of PTP activity, the resultant tyrosine phosphoryla-
tion of the cadherins correlated with a retardation in their
electrophoretic mobility, which was most pronounced for
the 116-kD molecule (compare the two lanes designated
*lysate 10* and *lysate 50*). Immunoprecipitates of PTPµ from
MvLu cell lysates prepared after treatment of the cells
with various concentrations of pervanadate, were immu-
noblotted with the pancadherin antibody. At 10 µM per-
avanadate, which exerted little effect on PTP activity, the
cadherins that coimmunoprecipitate with PTPµ displayed
the expected electrophoretic mobility of the dephosphory-
lated proteins (see lane *PTPµ-IP 10*). However, upon
treatment of the cells with 50 or 100 µM pervanadate,
which effectively inhibited PTP activity including PTPµ,
the cadherins recovered in the PTPµ immunoprecipitates
displayed retarded electrophoretic mobility (lanes *PTPµ-
IP 50* and *100*), similar to that of the tyrosine-phosphory-
lated protein detected in anti-phosphotyrosine antibody
immunoprecipitates (lane *PTPµ-IP Pase*). Interestingly, prolonged incu-
bation of the MvLu cells in 100 µM pervanadate resulted in
a dissociation of the cadherins from PTPµ (data not shown).
These results suggest that when PTPs, including
PTPµ, were inhibited, the associated cadherins become ty-
rosine phosphorylated. Therefore, the cadherins may be
endogenous substrates of PTPµ.

**Discussion**

Cell–cell adhesion molecules of the cadherin superfamily
play a critical role in cytoskeletal organization leading to
cell–junction formation and epithelial polarization. Cad-
herins are not only structural proteins, but also are impli-
cated in signal transduction processes. They function as tu-
mor suppressors, i.e., there is often deletion and/or mutation
of members of the cadherin/catenin complex in many types
of carcinoma. The cadherines associate, through their in-
tracellular segments, with a number of proteins including
α-catenin, β-catenin, and γ-catenin/plakoglobin. β-catenin
and γ-catenin/plakoglobin bind directly to the E-cadherin
cytoplasmic domain, whereas α-catenin binds to both β-cate-
nin and actin directly, thus linking this complex to the
cytoskeleton. These associations are essential for the adhe-
sive functions of cadherins. β-catenin also binds to the
product of the tumor suppressor gene APC (Rubinfeld et
al., 1993; Su et al., 1993; for review see Hulsenk et al.,
1994g). E-cadherin and APC appear to compete for the in-
ternal armadillo-like repeats of β-catenin and plakoglobin,
and thus their binding appears to be mutually exclusive
(Hulsken et al., 1994b; Rubinfeld et al., 1993).

Destabilization of adherens junctions and cadherin-
mediated adhesion correlates with invasion and malignant
progression. There is evidence to suggest that phosphory-
lation of tyrosyl residues in some components of the cad-
herin/catenin complex leads to loss of adhesive function
and breakdown of adherens junctions (Hulsenk et al., 1994a).
Figure 7. Immunocytochemical localization of PTPμ, cadherins, and β-catenin in MvLu cells. A, C, E, and G are phase-contrast micrographs of MvLu cells. B, D, F, and H are fluorescence micrographs. Cells stained with antibodies to PTPμ (SK15, A and B) show that it is localized to filopodial extensions of the cells and points of cell-cell contact in subconfluent MvLu cells. When the cells were plated at higher density, PTPμ was also localized at points of cell-cell contact (SK15, C and D). Antibodies to cadherins (MPan, E and F) and β-catenin antibody (TBC, G and H) also showed localization to cell contact sites.
Presumably, these proteins are normally maintained in a dephosphorylated state through the action of a phosphatase, which is crucial for the stabilization of adhesion and adherens junctions. Here we demonstrate that PTP\textsubscript{\mu}, cadherins, and catenins exist in a complex, and localize to points of cell-cell contact. Furthermore, treatment of MvLu cells with pervanadate, which is a general inhibitor of PTP activity including PTP\textsubscript{\mu}, leads to an accumulation of tyrosine-phosphorylated cadherin in the complex with PTP\textsubscript{\mu}, indicating that the cadherin may be an endogenous substrate of PTP\textsubscript{\mu}. Our results suggest that PTP\textsubscript{\mu} may be one of the enzymes that regulate the dynamic tyrosine phosphorylation of the cadherin/catenin complex, and thus its interaction with the cytoskeleton.

The most commonly used model systems for analysis of cadherin function include MDCK, A431, MCF-7, and L cells. However, as assessed by immunoblotting, these cells do not express significant levels of PTP\textsubscript{\mu} (data not shown). PTP\textsubscript{\mu} displays a restricted tissue distribution. It is particularly prevalent in lung, hence our choice of MvLu cells in which to examine physiological function. Nevertheless, we have also observed complex formation between PTP\textsubscript{\mu}, cadherins, and catenins in primary rat tissues including lung, heart, and brain, which also express PTP\textsubscript{\mu} endogenously. In fact, in lung we have identified two of the cadherins as E-cadherin and cadherin 4. Furthermore, we have demonstrated direct binding in vitro between the intracellular segments of PTP\textsubscript{\mu} and E-cadherin. Our data clearly suggest that at least in these tissues in which it is expressed, PTP\textsubscript{\mu} may regulate the function of the cadherin/catenin complex. The implication is that there may be other PTPs regulating tyrosyl phosphorylation of cadherins and catenins in other cell types. In this regard, it is interesting to note that a homologue of PTP\textsubscript{\mu}, termed PTP\textsubscript{x}, has been described, that displays 77% overall similarity at the amino acid level, and a much broader expression pattern than PTP\textsubscript{\mu} (Jiang et al., 1993). Whether PTP\textsubscript{x} also interacts with cadherins and catenins remains to be established.

There is now a substantial body of information that points to the importance of tyrosine phosphorylation in the control of the integrity of cell junctions and the function of the cadherin/catenin complex. The cadherins localize to adherens junctions which are points of cell-cell contact at which the actin cytoskeleton is anchored (for review see Geiger and Ayalon, 1992). Cell junctions are dynamic structures, and are areas of rapid phosphotyrosine turnover in normal cells (Volberg et al., 1991). PTKs such as src localize to these areas and phosphorylate junctional proteins in both normal and transformed cells (Maher et al., 1985; Tsukita et al., 1991). Aberrant tyrosine phosphorylation induced by expression of \textit{v-src} causes loss of adherens junctions, although tight junctions and desmosomes appear to be unaffected (Warren and Nelson, 1987; Volberg et al., 1992). However, the adherens junctions were able to reform after addition of tyrphostins (PTK inhibitors) to the src-transformed cells (Volberg et al., 1992). Furthermore, inhibition of PTPs in MDCK cells by treatment with pervanadate caused dramatic accumulation of phosphotyrosyl proteins at adherens junctions (Volberg et al., 1992). This led to a deterioration of the adherens junctions with concomitant increase in the number and size of focal contacts, thus indicating differential effects of phosphorylation on junctional structures (Volberg et al., 1992).

Thus, the stability of adherens junctions depends upon the balance between the action of PTKs and PTPs. PTKs, such as members of the src family, have the potential to disrupt tissue architecture by phosphorylating cell junction or cytoskeletal proteins. The PTPs that antagonize these effects will also be crucial components of the systems for control of junctional integrity. The structural features of PTP\textsubscript{\mu} and its association with cadherins and catenins suggest that this RPTP may localize to adherens-type junctions and serve such a regulatory function.

Several studies have shown that tyrosine phosphorylation of cadherins and catenins suppresses cadherin-mediated adhesion and suggest that phosphorylation may play a role in association of the complex with the cytoskeleton (for review see Kemler, 1993). In rat fibroblasts transformed either by \textit{v-src} or \textit{v-sce} in combination with \textit{v-fos}, β-catenin was heavily phosphorylated on tyrosyl residues (Matsuyoshi et al., 1992). This coincided with a change in the morphology of aggregates of these cells from a compact, firmly connected appearance in the untransformed state to a much looser association of the transformed cells. Interestingly, treatment of these cells with herbinycin A, a PTK inhibitor, inhibited β-catenin phosphorylation, and induced tighter aggregation of the transformed cells, whereas vanadate, a PTP inhibitor, inhibited cadherin-mediated aggregation (Matsuyoshi et al., 1992). A similar observation was made in chick embryo fibroblasts transformed with Rous sarcoma virus. Expression of p60<sup>\textit{v-src}</sup> suppressed cadherin-mediated adhesion, an effect that correlated with the
tyrosine phosphorylation of N-cadherin and α- and β-catenin (Hamaguchi et al., 1993). Again these effects were reversed by herbimycin A. In addition, kinase-active but transformation-defective mutants of p60 src neither suppressed cadherin-mediated adhesion, nor phosphorylated N-cadherin and the associated catenins. A temperature- sensitive v-src mutant induced tyrosine phosphorylation of E-cadherin and β-catenin at the permissive temperature in MDCK cells that correlated with a loss of cell–cell contacts and an increase in invasion (Behrens et al., 1993). Thus, the suppression of adhesion and the correlative src-induced tyrosine phosphorylation of cadherins and catenins appears to be highly specific for transformation. These studies indicate a critical role for the balanced action of PTKs and PTPs in regulating the adhesive function of cadherins, suggesting that a PTP, such as PTP μ, may stabilize adhesion by promoting dephosphorylation of the cadherins and catenins.

Tyrosine phosphorylation of the cadherin/catenin complex has been observed not only in transformed cells as described above, but also under normal physiological conditions. Stimulation of tyrosine phosphorylation by hepatocyte growth factor or EGF results in the tyrosine phosphorylation of β-catenin and plakoglobin (Shibamoto et al., 1994). Furthermore, the EGF receptor has been shown to bind directly to β-catenin in vitro, and to associate with the cadherin/catenin complex in epithelial cells (Hoschuetzky et al., 1994). Recently it was shown that the c-erbB-2 gene product also associates with catenins in cancer cells (Ochial et al., 1994). Our demonstration of the association of PTP μ with cadherins suggests a regulatory balance to the tyrosine kinases to permit rapid reversible tyrosine phosphorylation and modulation of the strength of cadherin driven adhesive reactions during processes such as migration and cell division. In addition, our data and others suggest that, for adhesion in normal cells, the cadherins are normally maintained in a dephosphorylated state, at least in part by PTP μ. The potential importance of a cadherin associated PTP similar to PTP μ is clear when one considers that tyrosine phosphorylation of the cadherin/catenin complex can lead to loss of cell–cell adhesion, transformation, and metastasis.
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